PALM BEACH COUNTY COMMISSION ON ETHICS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

To:Alan S. Johnson, Executive DirectorFrom:James A. Poag, InvestigatorRe:C12-011 – Wes Blackman, Chairman, Lake Worth Historical Resources Preservation Board

Background

This matter came to the attention of the Commission on Ethics (COE) staff through sworn complaint dated September 17, 2012 by Judith Just, a member of the Lake Worth Historical Resources Preservation Board (HRPB). The Respondent, Wes Blackman, is the current Chairman of HRPB, which is an advisory board of the City of Lake Worth (the City) with quasi judicial powers. The HRPB issues the required Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) in cases where construction/remodeling related projects are proposed within one of six (6) areas of the City of Lake Worth that are identified as "historic preservation" districts.

According to the Complainant, she and her husband, as well as other neighbors, met with both Wes Blackman and the project architect. Complainant states that on the date of their meeting, April 27, 2012, Respondent stated that although he was a member of the HRPB, he would not be voting or participating on this particular issue when it came before the Board, and asked residents to contact him directly with any concerns regarding the proposed home construction.

The specific basis for this Complaint is an allegation that Respondent met with City staff as an agent of either the applicant or the architect for the proposed construction of a new home on a current vacant lot located at 302 North Lakeside Drive, Lake Worth, FL 33460 and was involved in lobbying City staff, while not being registered as a lobbyist as required by the Lobbyist Registration Ordinance. The Complainant also alleged that the Respondent failed to disclose a conflict of interest at the time of his re-appointment to the HRPB on July 24, 2012.

• Investigative information

Through interviews and in reviewing documents submitted and discovered during this Inquiry, COE Investigator James A. Poag was able to establish the following:

- The Respondent was hired at the recommendation of Greg Rice (Hulett Pest Control employee) to assist the Hulett family with completing the application process to obtain the Certificate of Appropriateness for the construction of a new home to be built on a vacant lot located at 302 S. Lakeshore Drive, Lake Worth, FL. (COA# 12-00100066).
- 2. A review of documents indicated that the property address was located in a "historic district" requiring approval by the HRPB, the same Board that the Respondent serves as the Chairman.
- 3. On September 12, 2012, the application was approved by the HRPB. A review of the recorded meeting revealed that the Respondent publicly recognized that he had a conflict of interest and did not participate in any discussion on the matter and abstained from voting. It should be noted that Respondent has filed four (4) state 8B Conflict of Interest Forms since January, 2012.
- 4. The Complainant also alleged that the Respondent failed to disclose a conflict of interest at the time of his re-appointment to the HRPB on July 24, 2012. Even if this allegation is true, it does not constitute a violation of the Palm Beach County Code of Ethics.
- 5. Interviews with City staff revealed that the Respondent's contacts with staff involved seeking information from staff and acting as a facilitator for the applicant, and that during each of the Respondent's meetings with staff, the Respondent did not attempt to influence any staff decision. According to the

uncontroverted testimony, the information exchange during these meetings was one-sided with information flowing from staff to the Respondent and the Respondent simply asking questions and taking notes.

- 6. Article VIII, Section 2-353 defines lobbying as "seeking to influence a decision through oral or written communication or an attempt to obtain the goodwill of any...employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board..."
- 7. In RQO 12-025, the COE determined that a person who contracts with a principal for economic consideration who meets with county or municipal staff for the sole purpose of gathering information for a project, asking technical questions only, and not providing information to county or municipal staff other than what is needed to meet technical requirements for required approvals, is not engaged in lobbying and is not required to register as a lobbyist.



PALM BEACH COUNTY COMMISSION ON ETHICS

2633 Vista Parkway, West Palm Beach, Florida 33411 Hotline: 877-766-5920 or 561-233-0724

COMPLAINT FORM

1.	Complain	ant (Person bringing Com	plaint) Add pages,	, if necessary.			
	Name:	Justh	Just.				
	Address:	306 N.	Loheside	Drive			
	City:	Lake Worth	FL	U	Zip:	33460	
	Home #:	561-202-8081	Work #: 56	1-547-0549	Cell #:	561-379-	232

2. Respondent (Person against whom complaint is made) Add pages, if necessary.

Name:	Ives Blackmer	,				
Address:						
City:	have worth F	C		Zip:	33	460
Home #:	Work #:			Cell #:		<u></u>
Title/Office I	Held or Sought: Chair man	hw	Historical	Resou	ence	Preservation
				B	oart	> .
IF KNOWN,	CHECK THE BOX OR BOXES TH	AT AP	PLY			
Allocation	is against norson in		Allogation i	a about Co	untre	

Allegation is against person in County/Municipal Government

Allegation is about County: Whistleblower Retaliation

4. STATEMENT OF FACTS BASED ON YOUR PERSONAL KNOWLEDGE

In a separate attachment, please describe in detail the facts and actions that are the basis of your complaint, including the dates when the actions occurred. Also attach any relevant documents as well as names and contact information of persons who may be witnesses to the actions. If known, indicate the section of the ordinance you believe is being violated. For further instructions, see page 2 of this form.

5. OATH

3.

I, the person bringing this complaint, do depose on oath or affirmation and say that the facts set forth in the foregoing complaint and attachments are true and correct, to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Signature of Person Making Complaint



STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF <u>GM Beach</u> Sworn to (or affirmed) and subscribed before me this <u>Th</u> day of <u>Statement</u>, 2012, by <u>Judith</u> <u>Ann</u> <u>Just</u>, (Name of Person Making Statement) who is personally known to me <u>or</u> or produced identification <u>P</u>. Type of identification produced: <u>Horida</u> <u>Any ers</u> <u>Jucense</u> <u>Suffactor</u> <u>Any</u> (Signature of Notary Public, State of Florida)

(Print, Type, or Stamp Commissioned Name of Notary Public)

<u>FACTS - Complaint – Violation of Lobbyist Registration, Art. VIII, Sect. 2-351 through 2-357</u> (Ordinance nos. 2003-018/2005-055)

My husband and I own a small Florida cottage in a historical district (National Register) in Lake Worth, FL, 33460. There is an adjacent vacant lot to the south of our property.

Wes Blackman is currently a board member of Lake Worth Historical Resource Preservation Board. He was also a board member since at least January 2012.

On or about early April the City of Lake Worth posted a notice regarding proposed construction on the subject vacant lot. I contacted the City's Preservation Planner to get information regarding the project and to the best of my recollection she told that Mr. Blackman was working for the party constructing the house and that he would be contacting us or we should contact him.

April 27, 2012 we met with Wes Blackman and the architect to review plans for the construction of a 30ft. 4,000 sqft. structure on a vacant lot adjacent to our cottage size home. At the time of our meeting it was our understanding that Mr. Blackman was representing either the prospective builders, the current owner of the lot or the architect, but he did stated he was working on the project and would not be voting on it as a HRPB member.

I was aware of the HRPB but was not familiar with the preservation ordinances and amount of power the board has when approving a Certificate of Appropriateness for owners wishing to construct new homes or change existing homes in our historical district. Although the HRPB is called an advisory board it actually has quasi-judicial powers. This board issues a Certificate of Appropriateness for building changes (façade) to structures and all new construction in the City's historical districts.

Mr. Blackman requested we contact him with any personal concerns regarding the proposed construction of the house. Mr. Blackman left to meet with another neighbor Brian Gleason to review and discuss the plans. Mr. Blackman also had contact with Regina Miller another adjoining neighbor across the street regarding the design of the proposed construction.

It was our understanding that Mr. Blackman was hired to assist in getting the plans approved by the HRPB and P&Z. He worked with the City Staff to get the plans approved.

The HRPB review of the plans has been rescheduled several times for various reasons. The last was scheduled for August 8.

In July Mr. Blackman reapplied for his HRPB position. I was informed by an attending Commissioner that during the interview Mr. Blackman did not disclose his conflict of interest on this project. July 24 Mr. Blackman was reappointed as board member on the HRPB.

The subject project had a public hearing on September 12, 2012. Mr. Blackman removed himself from the board for the vote on the matter. He still sits as chairperson of the board.

I checked the County's registration records and found Mr. Blackman did not register himself as a lobbyist

Page 1 of 4

on this project.

Attached is a list of City of Lake Worth Historical Resource Preservation board members, the City of Lake Worth Building, Planning and Zoning Department Application for Certificate of Appropriateness which lists Wes Blackman as the applicant's agent and the search results from the Lobbyist Registry.

Regina Miller (adjacent neighbor who had a phone conference with Wes Blackman and the architect) 303 N. Lakeside Drive Lake Worth, FL 33460 561-667-5387 Pro solutions@comcast.net

Brian Gleason (neighbor whom met with Wes Blackman and the architect to review the plans) 315 N. Lakeside Drive Lake Worth, FL 33460 561-315-4020 Briangleason@earthlink.net

Peter W. Just (husband who met with Wes Blackman and the architect to review the plans) 306 N. Lakeside Drive Lake Worth, FL 33460 561-309-6914 Peter.just@topproducer.com

Commissioner Andy Amoroso (City commissioner present during Historic Preservation Resource Board interviews for current Positions also received a copy of my letter Requesting Wes Blackman resign due to his prohibit act) 600 Lake Avenue Lake Worth, FL 33460 561-533-5272 aamoroso@lakeworth.org

William Waters, Director of Community Sustainability Kelly Christensen, Preservation Planner (director spoke with him and he expressed his frustration regarding the pressure he's been under to approve the project) 1900 2nd Avenue North Lake Worth, FL 33461 561-586-1634 wwaters@lakeworth.org

Tim and Elizabeth Hulett (prospective owners who are submitting plans and I was told hired Wes Blackman) 108 Bloomfield Drive West Palm Beach, FL 33405 561-602-6241

Brower Architectural Assoc. (the architect who prepared the plans and is working with Wes Blackman) 350 S. County Rd., Ste. 207 Palm Beach, FL 33480 561-659-1948

Hector Cabrera (owner of the vacant lot – either he or the Huletts have hired Wes Blackman to get the plans approved by the City) P.O. Box 412 Lake Worth, FL 33460 561-540-4334

Sandi DuBose Secretary (works for the City of Lake Worth and can verify Wes Blackman's position on the board past and present and lack of disclosure of his professional relationship during on the interview for the board position). 1900 2nd Avenue North Lake Worth, FL 33460 561-586-1687 sdubose@lakeworth.org

(the person in the City I initially contacted on the public notice- she put me in contact with Wes Blackman to initially review the plans) 1900 2nd Avenue N. Lake Worth, FL 33461 561-586-1690 kchristensen@lakeworth.org

Page 3 of 4

Commissioner Suzanne Mulvehill (present during the Historic Preservation Board interview with Wes Blackman, also received a copy of my letter requesting Wes Blackman's resignation.) smulvehill@lakeworth.org Jeanne Thompson

(property owner who works for the State of Mass. and urged that I contact the State of Florida regarding Wes Blackman's position on the board and contractual relationship with the individuals seeking board approval) 311 N. Lakeside Drive Lake Worth, FL 33460 413-426-0054 jeanne.thompson@state.ma.us

HISTORIC RESOURCES PRESERVATION BOARD THREE (3) YEAR TERMS

.

MEMBERS	APPOINTED	. <u>I</u>	ETHICS TRAINING	EXPIRES
Herman Robinson 114 Ocean Breeze (Related Field) <u> </u>	06/30/10	H: 586-4913 W: 352-4252 C: 352-4252	YES	2015
Wes Blackman 241 Columbia Dr. <u>wesblackman@gmail.com</u> (Planning) , 7° ⁸	06/16/11	C: 308-0364	YES	2014
Juan Contin 826 S. Federal Hwy., #4 jcon123@hotmail.com ə ^{ৼ&} (Architecture)	10/26/11	C: 305-778-20	82 YES	2014
Judith Just 306 N. Lakeside Dr. <u>Judithjust01@hotmail.com</u> (Law)	07/23/12	H: 202-8081 W: 547-0549 C: 379-5372	NO	2013
Loretta Sharpe 1311 S. Palmway <u>irdltd@aol.com</u> ਤ ^{yadi} (Real Estate Sales)	07/23/12	H: 585-0716 C: 818-6277	NO	2013
ALTERNATES (One-year t	erms)			
Mark Clary 1221 So. Palmway <u>Mclary3652@aol.com</u> (Professional/Architecture)	07/23/12	C: 859 797-326	36 NO	2013
Jimmy Zoellner 731 North "K" St. भेषि jimmyzoellner@hotmail.con (Citizen at large)	07/23/12 1	C: 585 748-230	08 NO	2013

Meetings: Second Wednesday at 7:00 PM on an as-needed basis

The Board's function is to consider historic preservation issues.

Board shall consist of five resident members plus two alternates appointed by the City Commission. Four members constitute a quorum. Initial appointments: one member to serve three years; two members to serve one year; two members for a term of two years; thereafter, all regular terms three years and alternate terms one year.

CITY OF LAKE WORTH BUILDING, PLANNING AND ZONING DEPARTMENT APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATION OF APPROPRIATENESS

If approved, a CA/COA is valid for 12 months from the date of approval.

Project Name:	Single Family Res	sidence			
Address or General Location:	302 North Lakesi	302 North Lakeside Drive			
	Lake Worth, FL	33460			
PART ONE - APPLICANT IN	FORMATION:				
APPLICANT					
Name: Tim & Elizabe	th Hulett				
Address: 108 Bloomfie					
	each, FL 33405				
Telephone Number: 561-6	02-6241				
AGENT					
Name: Brower Archi	tectural Assoc. &	Wes Blackman			
Address: 350 S County	/ Rd, Ste 207	241 Columbia Dr			
Palm Beach, FL	. 33480	Lake Worth, FL 33460			
Telephone Number: 561-6	59-1948	561-308-0364			
OWNER (if other than applica	ant)				
Name: Hector Cabre	ra				
Address: PO Box 412		,			
Lake Worth,	FL 33460				
Telephone Number:					
Applicant is: Owner [] Le	ssee [] Other Buyer	ŕ			

	Lobbyist Registry	
Lobbyist Registry Search		
Search By: Lobbyist	Last Name: blackman	
	No Records found. Try searching again	

© 2012 Palm Beach County, All rights reserved.

	Lol	obyist Registry	
Lobbyist Registry Search			
Search By: Lobbyist	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Last Name: wes blackman	
	No R	ecords found. Try searching again	

© 2012 Palm Beach County, All rights reserved.

PALM BEACH COUNTY COMMISSION ON ETHICS

MEMORANDUM OF INQUIRY

To: Alan S. Johnson, Executive Director

From: Mark E. Bannon, Investigator

Re: C12-011 – Wes Blackman, Chairman, Lake Worth Historical Resources Preservation Board

Background

This matter came to the attention of the Commission on Ethics (COE) staff through sworn complaint dated September 17, 2012 by Judith Just, a member of the Lake Worth Historical Resources Preservation Board (HRPB). The Respondent, Wes Blackman, is the current Chairman of HRPB, an advisory board of the City of Lake Worth with quasi judicial powers. HRPB has the sole power to issue a "Certificate of Appropriateness" required for various construction related building changes, as well as any new construction proposed within any of the six (6) areas of the City that are identified as "historic preservation" districts. The historic preservation districts include: College Park, Northeast Lucerne, Old Lucerne, Old Town, Southeast Lucerne, and South Palm Park. This Complaint involves the Old Lucerne Historic Preservation District.

Complainant's specific allegation involves the proposed construction of a new home on a current vacant lot located at 302 North Lakeside Drive, Lake Worth, FL 33460. Complainant and her husband own and live in a home located at 306 North Lakeside Drive, directly adjacent to the vacant lot. In April, 2012, Complainant alleges that the City posted a notice regarding proposed construction of a single family home on the vacant lot. A copy of an Application for Certificate of Appropriateness for the location was included in the documents filed with the Complaint. This application lists the "Applicant" as Tim and Elizabeth Hulett, who currently reside in West Palm Beach. The property owner is listed as Hector Cabrera of Lake Worth with the Agent to the applicant listed as, Brower Architectural Associates of Palm Beach and Wes Blackman of Lake Worth.

The Complainant advises that she and her husband, as well as other neighbors, have met with Wes Blackman and the architect about the project. Complainant states that on the date of their meeting, April 27, 2012, Respondent stated that although he was a member of the HRPB, he would not be voting on this particular issue when it came before the Board and asked them to contact him directly with any concerns regarding the proposed home construction. While not a member of the HRPB at the time of this meeting, Complainant was appointed to this Board on July 23, 2012. Additionally, Complainant alleges that Respondent reapplied for his board position also in July, and during an interview he failed to disclose what she believes is a clear conflict of interest. Respondent was reappointed to HRPB on July 24, 2012.

However, the specific basis for this Complaint is an allegation that Respondent has met with City staff as an agent of either the applicant or the architect, and has been involved in lobbying efforts of City staff while not being registered as a lobbyist as required by the Lobbyist Registration Ordinance.

• <u>Inquiry</u>

Because the focus of the complaint is that Respondent is lobbying City staff, specifically without being registered as a lobbyist, I first checked to make sure that Respondent is not registered as a lobbyist. The Palm Beach County Lobbyist Registry is an online database (https://secure.co.palm-beach.fl.us/LRS/Registry/SearchRegistry.aspx), maintained by the PBC Legislative Affairs Office. The City of Lake Worth, having adopted the PBC Lobbyist Registration Ordinance in October 2011, began to have the PBC Legislative Affairs Office register lobbyists who lobby Lake Worth, and to utilize this database on April 2, 2012. A check of this database on September 24, 2012, verified the Complainant's allegation that Respondent was not registered as a lobbyist for the City of Lake Worth.

The HRPB falls within the City department known as Community Sustainability, which is housed at 1900 Second Avenue North, Lake Worth. The Director of Community Sustainability is William Waters. Karen Vaughn is the Planning and Preservation Manager, and Kelly Christensen is the Preservation Planner. These are the City staff members that work on projects that require a Certificate of Appropriateness because of new construction within one of the Historic Preservation Districts. I went to 1900 second Avenue North and obtained copies of the available staff contact logs kept at that location. I was able to obtain contact logs from April 30, 2012 through July 3, 2012. The contact logs for dates beyond July 3, 2012 had not yet been scanned into the computer system, and were unavailable. In looking at these logs, I found that neither Respondent nor the architect on the project, James Brower, had ever signed the contact logs at this location. Therefore, I was unable to determine from these records whether Respondent met with City staff as alleged by Complainant. I also went to the Lake Worth Town Hall, where I was able to obtain, from the City Clerk's Office, a copy of Lake Worth City Ordinance 2010-09, adopting the Palm Beach County Code of Ethics and Lobbyist Registration Ordinance. All of these documents were submitted to file.

In conducting background research into this Inquiry, I located Wes Blackman's self profile on a business website known as "LinkedIn" (www.linkedin.com.in.wesblackman). This profile was listed as, "Wes Blackman, AICP", (American Institute of Certified Planners), indicating that he holds a certification through this organization as a "planner." He is also listed as a Principal of CWB Associates in West Palm Beach, Florida. The profile also showed that Blackman had twenty-six years of experience as an urban planner and project manager and listed his specialties as, "Zoning issues, public relations, historic preservation, communications, internet applications, due diligence research, expert witness, comprehensive land planning, relationship building." The profile listed his past experience as a Planner for Gunster Yoakley (a local law firm), from 2004-2009, and as the Director of Projects for the Trump Organization from 1993-2003, where he supervised the permitting and construction related activities at the Mar-a-Lago Club and Trump International Golf Club. This information and other related background information were submitted to the file.

A check of the Florida Division of Corporations website (www.sunbiz.com) did not list a corporation under the name CWB Associates, which means it is most likely a sole proprietorship company or a non-incorporated partnership. The website listed Wes Blackman as an officer for two (2) Florida non-profit corporations (Lake Worth's College Park Homeowners Association, Inc. and Palm Beach County Planning Congress, Inc.).

I went to the City of Lake Worth website (www.lakeworth.org) and obtained background information on the HRPB and the documents that have been filed on the 302 Lakeside drive project. These documents include staff memorandum, application for a Certificate of Appropriateness, and other relevant documents. I pulled several advisory opinions from the Florida Commission on Ethics website (www.ethics.state.fl.us) and the Palm Beach County commission of Ethics website (www.palmbeachcountyethics.com), that addressed the issue of lobbying, as well as a copy of the Palm Beach County Code of Ethics and the Lobbyist Registration Ordinance, where the term "lobbying" and "lobbyist" are defined. Finally, I checked with the Florida Department of Business and Professional Regulation (DBPR) website (www.myfloridalicense.com) where I was able to determine that "Urban Planner" is not one of the twenty-nine (29) services that is required to have a license issues by the DBPR to operate a business in Florida. All documents obtained have been submitted to the file.

On Tuesday, October 2, 2012, I made contact with Lake Worth Preservation Planner Kelly Christensen and set an appointment to interview her on Wednesday, October 3, 2012, at 2:00 PM.

On Wednesday, October 3, 2012 at 9:15am, I spoke briefly with Karen Vaughn, City of Lake Worth Planning and Preservation Manager, and supervisor of Kelly Christensen. Vaughn stated that she was in only one meeting concerning the property at 302 Lakeside Drive several months ago. Wes Blackman, project architect Ken Brower, and Kelly Christensen were also at the meeting. She does not recall Blackman speaking much during that meeting, and stated that the plans for the home were submitted by Brower, who also was involved in the discussion with staff. She did not know how many times Blackman may have met with staff, but Christensen would have been the lead person on the project because it was within a historic district. She also believed that her boss, William Waters, may have been in some staff meetings with Brower and possibly Blackman.

Investigator James Poag and I met with Kelly Christensen at her office on October 3rd at 2:00pm. Inv. Poag was scheduled to assume this Inquiry, and took the lead in this interview. Additional details regarding the interview can be located in his report.

- Documents submitted to File during the initial Inquiry by Investigator Bannon
- 1. Sworn Complaint by Complainant Judith Just against Respondent Wes Blackman, and attached documentation submitted by Complainant. (9 pages)
- Copy of contact logs from Planning, Zoning and Historic Preservation Department, located at 1900 Second Avenue North, Lake Worth, for the period from 4/30/2012 to 7/3/2012. (17 pages, two sided)
- 3. Copy of Section 2-442, *Definitions*, of the Palm Beach County Code of Ethics which includes the definition of "lobbing" and "lobbyist." (25 pages)
- 4. Copy of Respondent's profile from "LinkedIn" website (www.linkedin.com). (2 pages)
- 5. Copy of background information of HRPB and the historic districts from the City of Lake Worth website (www.lakeworth.org). (3 pages)
- 6. Copy of background materials, application and other relevant documents for the 302 Lakeside Drive Project from the City of Lake Worth website (www.lakeworth.org). (64 pages)
- 7. Copy of Florida Commission on Ethics Advisory opinions, CEO 11-06, August 3, 2011 (6 pages), and CEO 10-24, December 8, 2010 (9 pages), concerning conflicts of interest and lobbying.
- Copies of Palm Beach County Commission on Ethics advisory opinions, RQO 12-058, September 13, 2012 (3 pages), RQO 12-054, August 3, 2012 (4 pages), RQO 12-025, May 4, 2012 (3 pages), RQO 12-050, July 16, 2012 (2 pages), and RQO 11-057, November 4, 2012 (3 pages), concerning conflicts of interest and lobbying.
- Copies of information from the Florida Division of Corporations website (www.sunbiz.com) for two (2) nonprofit corporations in which Wes Blackman is listed as an officer, Palm Beach County Planning Congress, Inc. (3 pages) and Lake Worth's College park Homeowners Association, Inc. (3 pages).
- 10. Copy of list of services in Florida that require a license from the Florida Department of Business and Professional Regulation (DBPR) from their website (www.myfloridalicense.com). (1 page)

This ends my direct involvement into this Inquiry, other than as supervisory investigator. The Inquiry was turned over to Investigator James Poag.

Submitted by:

Date

Mark E. Bannon, Investigator PB County Commission on Ethics

Reviewed by:

(Initials)

Date

CITY OF LAKE WORTH CONTACT LOG (ALL INFORMATION IS MANDATORY)

DEPARTMENT/DIV./OFFICE

PLEASE PRINT NAME	DATE	SUBJECT MATTER	PERSON VISITING	ARE YOU A REGISTERED LOBBYIST? (Please circle one)	REPRESENTING "Lobbyist, must list principal, if any, represented when discussing this subject"
Croop Standurge	4.20.12	Uard sale permit	•	YES NO	
Joe Leon	/			YES NO	
sing lawing	4/23/12	water side		YES NO	
Terny Callie See Moore	4/23/12	Depmz.		YES NO	
	4/23/12	Builling permit		YES NO	
MARY MURPHY	4(23/12	Building permit		YES (NO)	
	4/23	BLA PERIT		YES NO	
ROMES Doublins		IRAIGATION. PRAMET		YES NO	
Tougan Time	423	PELMit		YES NO	
Wenderhadrigery	4/23	Klichiel		YES NO	
GARY HONTKISEN	4/23	PERMÍ		YES NO	
mayo makey	4/23	garage safe permits		YES NO	
Comos offic	4/02	Root		YES NO	

"Lobbying" shall mean seeking to influence the decision of any Elected Official, any Advisory Board member, or any Employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board or elected body as applicable.

"Lobbyist" shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal or most significant responsibilities to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

PLEASE PRINT NAME	DATE	SUBJECT MATTER	PERSON VISITING	ARE YOU A REGISTERED LOBBYIST? (Please circle one)	REPRESENTING "Lobbyist, must list principal, if any, represented when discussing this subject"
DON SOLHAA	4-23.2	Permits	JOHN KONS M	YES NO	
DON SottAA- Phil Tonk	4/24/12		Kelly C.	YES NO	
Sheul	4-24-12	Permit	-Pernit	YES NO	
75 ulse Claurton				YES NO	
High Roussea	7/24/12	Permit Submitta		YES NO	
Nancy Massie	4-24-12	PICKUP Revmit		YES NO	
	4/24/12		Hel	YES NO	
Parbarg Moller	4-25-12		<u> </u>	YES NO.	
Luca Caline	4125/12	Pumit		YES NO	
	4/25/12	Drop off Permit		YES NO	
GeoGotherlez.	4/25/2	Plu Primit		YES NO	
Chris Occurrat		20212	Kel(-1	YES NO	CHOH
VICTOR & CAd		5 Permits		YES NO	

"Lobbying" shall mean seeking to influence the decision of any Elected Official, any Advisory Board member, or any Employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board or elected body as applicable.

"Lobbyist" shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal or most significant responsibilities to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

CITY OF LAKE WORTH CONTACT LOG (ALL INFORMATION IS MANDATORY)

DEPARTMENT/DIV./OFFICE

PLEASE PRINT NAME	DATE	SUBJECT MATTER	PERSON VISITING	LOBE	REGISTERED YIST? ircle one)	REPRESENTING "Lobbyist, must list principal, if any, represented when discussing this subject"
Seninja	4/25/12	Permit		YES	NO	
GARABAN INC.	4-25-12	Permin		YES	NO	
· SANGIA DEPER				YES	NO	
JEFF BLASSEUR	4-26-12	PERMIT SUBAITTAL		YES	QØ,	
JEFF (INFINITY ELECT)	4-26.12	permit of		YES	NO	
NARU M'GINNI	4-26-12			YES	NO	
An ita Excremant	4/26/12	1105 Gesteet		YES	NO	
STEPHEN DECKER	1.1.	- TRE (Can	-	YES	NO	
Dhony Asking	4/27/12	Preca		YES	NO	
Craig Mailalon		Code		YES	NO	
BARRY HILFOINS	4/27/2	PERMIT		YES	NO	
	4/2/12	PLANS		YES	NO	
Doug Stevens	4/27/12			YES	NO	

"Lobbying" shall mean seeking to influence the decision of any Elected Official, any Advisory Board member, or any Employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board or elected body as applicable.

"Lobbyist" shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal or most significant responsibilities to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

PLEASE PRINT NAME	DATE	SUBJECT MATTER	PERSON VISITING	ARE YOU A REGISTERED LOBBYIST? (Please circle one)	REPRESENTING "Lobbyist, must list principal, if any, represented when discussing this subject"
Anita Lagernaut	4/30 m	Inspection	owner	YES NO	
DON LAKES	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			YES NO	
Terry	4/30/12	PERMIT		YES NO	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
MARK HATAWAY	4/30/12	Permit		YES NO	
ASAD ALAMY	4/30	Business License /201-	owner.	YES NO	
Carl Aldrin	4/30	Permit		YES (NO	
	4.30	See House File	Oure	YES (NO)	
SHAMA TELFURD	4-30	CNERGY CONSER UN KIM		YES NO	
DAN GAKE,	4/:37	SANCS	30011 Fed	YES NO	
LUIS VASGUY	1.30	Power Thospert. un		YES (NO)	
Mikel Solom	4/30	App.	make Charlomet	YES NO	
Lee Savgrese	4/30	App	mile Cosolomit Lie Savore	YES NO	
March	4.10	Plu		YES NO	

"Lobbying" shall mean seeking to influence the decision of any Elected Official, any Advisory Board member, or any Employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board or elected body as applicable.

"Lobbyist" shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal or most significant responsibilities to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

PLEASE PRINT NAME	DATE	SUBJECT MATTER	PERSON VISITING	LOBE	REGISTERED YIST? ircle one)	REPRESENTING "Lobbyist, must list principal, if any, represented when discussing this subject"
Klorman	4/30			YES	NO	
Carlos Vanez-	5/1/h	Permit		YES	NO	
William Ford	5/1/12			YES	NO	
STEVE GAYDOSH	5/1/12	PLANNER ON CALL	· · ·	YES	NO	
Rad Parter	5/1			YES	NO	
STRUR CHARGEO	5/1	. PRAMIT		YES	NO	
HARold Plotten	5/1	Plu Part-		YES	NÓ	
Evillatio Passon	21	Kelly Plaming	Kelly	YES	(NO)	
Jeremy Apian	5/2	Recent / Repiskoton		YES	NO	
Bill Sanders	5/2	Hist. Pres	Rodney P	YES	NO	
ResMit Mitch	5/2	- Delmits	Permiss	YES	MO.	
Rennata Lilly	5/2	PUSINESS Tax leaph		YES	NO	
Nelyn Lim	5/2	Busin'ss tax.		YES	NO	

"Lobbying" shall mean seeking to influence the decision of any Elected Official, any Advisory Board member, or any Employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board or elected body as applicable.

"Lobbyist" shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal or most significant responsibilities to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

.

CITY OF LAKE WORTH CONTACT LOG (ALL INFORMATION IS MANDATORY)

DEPARTMENT/DIV./OFFICE

PLEASE PRINT NAME	DATE	SUBJECT MATTER	PERSON VISITING	LOBI	REGISTERED 3YIST? circle one)	REPRESENTING "Lobbyist, must list principal, if any, represented when discussing this subject"
DIREAN, GOY	d2/12	EIMIT PICKOP 332 N. MSTREET		YES	(NO)	
Brin Jean	5-2-12	Per, m. + App		YES	NØ	
Allan Wentz	5-2-12	Permit + Cant. Registrely	গ	YES	NO	
William BEAVER	5-3-12	P.U. Permit		YES	NO	
Nermine Henna	5.3.12	Code Enforcement	Dermine Hanna	YES	NO	
Carlos Vanegas	5/3/12	Permit		YES	NO	
Alex Anagnosti	()	Permit		YES	NO	
TONG SOVERO	1.1	DM.14	REBECCIA Mille	YES	NO	
Roserado Santis		File Cherk		YES	NO	,
Deondra Iverson	5/3/12	BUSMUSS License	J	YES	(NO)	
E210 ONORATU	513/12	PERMIT - FENCE	EL- ONIRATU	YES	NO	
Rugh Blur	5/3/12	Peruit		YES	(NO)	
Mart Mullane	5-3-12	permit (sign)		YES	NØ	

"Lobbying" shall mean seeking to influence the decision of any Elected Official, any Advisory Board member, or any Employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board or elected body as applicable.

"Lobbyist" shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal or most significant responsibilities to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

PLEASE PRINT NAME	DATE	SUBJECT MATTER	PERSON VISITING	ARE YOU A REGISTERED LOBBYIST? (Please circle one)	REPRESENTING "Lobbyist, must list principal, if any, represented when discussing this subject"
Carlo Mercurio		Zoning		YES NO	
Sofray Luft	alt -	· GStoral		YES NO	
Renati Kley	5/3/12	Rowing		YES NO	
(AUCKM GARKA	53	JSKNit	e	YES NO	
Jalu Shue	5/3/12	Plumit	······	YES NO	
Day BRABLER	5.3.12	Sor PLAL MERTING		YES NO	nunkas ureanna — 1 Ureanna - 11
Tuana Pilares	1 1 1	Permit		YES NO	
Grabe Burden	5/4/12	Precon neeting		YES NO	
	1	MF. Madera		YES NO	
(ARI AHRin	5/4/12	Permit		YES (NO	
D. Mears	5.4	Permits		. YES NO	-
A MARSHALL	5-4	REUISION		YES NO	X
The Menner	5-1	P.M.m.y		YES NO	

"Lobbying" shall mean seeking to influence the decision of any Elected Official, any Advisory Board member, or any Employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board or elected body as applicable.

"Lobbyist" shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal or most significant responsibilities to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

CITY OF LAKE WORTH CONTACT LOG

G (ALL INFORMATION IS MANDATORY)

DEPARTMENT/DIV./OFFICE

PLEASE PRINT NAME	DATE	SUBJECT MATTER	PERSON VISITING	ARE YOU A REGISTERED LOBBYIST? (Please circle one)	REPRESENTING "Lobbyist, must list principal, if any, represented when discussing this subject"
WILL MARY	5/4/12	Permit ADT		YES NO	
Rict	54	Pedmit syb electric		YES NO	
5Kip Stevey	5/4	Parinit	-	YES NO	
CARLUS REODIDURZ	SX	PERMIT		YES NO	
BADLi VOSEBASIA				YES NO	
Stan Conne	1	Romit		YES (NO	
AL BELL	5/04	£i		YES NO	
Romero Bienvenido	5/4	Pick up permit		YES NO	
Bratt Feil	54	Complaint - 1820 P. Dixie	Hw r	YES NO	
Dand Du Bosis	5/7	PERMIT		YES NO	
HARES AMIN	57	Zoning		YES NO	
Yancey Duggan	5.7.12	phy, dacal.		YES NO	
Vanessa	5/7/12	Pick-up Permit		YES NO	

"Lobbying" shall mean seeking to influence the decision of any Elected Official, any Advisory Board member, or any Employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board or elected body as applicable.

"Lobbyist" shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal or most significant responsibilities to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

CITY OF LAKE WORTH CONTACT LOG (ALL INFORMATION IS MANDATORY)

DEPARTMENT/DIV./OFFICE

PLEASE PRINT NAME	DATE	SUBJECT MATTER	PERSON VISITING	ARE YOU A REGISTERED LOBBYIST? (Please circle one)	REPRESENTING "Lobbyist, must list principal, if any, represented when discussing this subject"
(Duate Alley	5/7/12	garage concersion	Denne	YES NO	
Scott BROWN	5/8/12	WATER LEAK OR THEFT		YES NO	
Robin Hucli	51812	Netionwide	Sub Parmit	YES NO	
ROLANDO COSTERE		1		YES NO	
Hermis Varguez	5/09/12	Permit Res		YES NO	
	5/09/12	OLFO		YES NO	
Lucie	05/09/12			YES NO	
(Mr. Aldrin	olaliz	Permit Plu		YES NO	
Philip Tonk	514/12	Remit PM.		YES NO	
STRUR (PARACCIO	5/3/12	REGISTRA PERMIT		YES NO	
James Woolfe	5/9/12	Plumbing Permit Pichup		YÉS NO	
Romero Bienvenilo	5/9/12	/ / /		YES NO	
Kewn W. Illiams	5/8-/17	Pernot		YES NO	

"Lobbying" shall mean seeking to influence the decision of any Elected Official, any Advisory Board member, or any Employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board or elected body as applicable.

"Lobbyist" shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal or most significant responsibilities to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

PLEASE PRINT NAME	DATE	SUBJECT MATTER	PERSON VISITING	ARE YOU A REGISTERED LOBBYIST? (Please circle one)		REPRESENTING 'Lobbyist, must list principal, if any, represented when discussing this subject"
WATTER EPPERSON	5/14/12	Pernits		YES	(NO'	
LINDRY M	5-14-12	Perminet		YES	NO	
RYAN HETRICK	5-14-12			YES	NO	
Aconus Lana	5-14-11	lermit		YES	NO	
Vince- Lo Gaussi	5.14.12	Whren Sewen Fentlemith	MONICA Monardi	YES	NO	
MARCH MCGIMM	5.4.2	BERMIN		YES	NO	
Joel Morsanstern	5.15-pc	C-06	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	YES	NO	
Marco a. Ruillen	, ,	Registrictur	····· ,	YES	NO	
Tom Educos	5/13/12-	Permits / Reg.	Howt Desk	YES	NO	
Kerple / Keysse			nan a' the first Annual State in Longenzation	YES	NO	
Tonno tany	515	PEReni		YES	(NO)	
L'L ATKINS	5/15	E/0	John	YES	NO	
A. Aragnosti	5/16			YES	(NO)	

"Lobbying" shall mean seeking to influence the decision of any Elected Official, any Advisory Board member, or any Employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board or elected body as applicable.

"Lobbyist" shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal or most significant responsibilities to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

PLEASE PRINT NAME	DATE	SUBJECT MATTER	PERSON VISITING	ARE YOU A E LOBB (Please ci	YIST? ircle one)	REPRESENTING "Lobbyist, must list principal, if any, represented when discussing this subject"
Dimears	5.Ke	Permit		YES	NO	
Robin	5.16	Pick-up Permit		YES	NO	
BARNEY	5-14	Permit		YES	NO	
	5-16	OPEN REVINIT		YES	NO	
MARIO HEPDIETA		PERLUT		YES	NO	-
STEVEN TREPELLE	5-16-14			YES	NO	•
JEPT KUNNE	5-16			YES	NO	
STRUR GARACCIO	5-16	STET MP ZONIN APPOINTMENT		YES	NO	
Villiam Ford			********	YES	NO	
RENE MARTINEZ MALDONA	5-16	LICENSE	<u>,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</u>	YES	NO	
Miguel Paredes				YES	NO	
		PAR-FILR ! SERGID		YES	NO	
				YES	NO	

"Lobbying" shall mean seeking to influence the decision of any Elected Official, any Advisory Board member, or any Employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board or elected body as applicable.

"Lobbyist" shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal or most significant responsibilities to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

CITY OF LAKE WORTH CONTACT LOG

G (ALL INFORMATION IS MANDATORY)

DEPARTMENT/DIV./OFFICE

PLEASE PRINT NAME	DATE	SUBJECT MATTER	PERSON VISITING	ARE YOU A REGISTERED LOBBYIST? (Please circle one)		REPRESENTING "Lobbyist, must list principal, if any, represented when discussing this subject"
MARCOS DA INCULA	3/17/12	PERMIT		YES	NO	
DMEARG	3.17.12	Permit		YES	NO	
ARIO MENDIETA	3-17-12	Peruit		YES	NO	
	5-17-12	contracta Real + fimit big off	Dan Cás àr	YES	NO	
Jim	5.17	Pick-UP.	Sim	YES	NO	
K.Buch	5.17	Percent subrentter		YES	NO	
William Ford	5/17			YES	NO	
N 0423 NU19-53	SITUN	may av car		YES	NO	
Lill English	5/1-1/11	Piesmit Submital	-	YES	NO	
Maros Traticate	5/17	Zonins		YES	NO	
Bria- Jenni	5-17-12	Firmit	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	YES	NO	
Sue Hersen	5-17-12	RFP	CLAY LINDSTROM	YES	NO	
BEAVER RFG.	5-18-12		DESK	YES	NO	

"Lobbying" shall mean seeking to influence the decision of any Elected Official, any Advisory Board member, or any Employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board or elected body as applicable.

"Lobbyist" shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal or most significant responsibilities to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

PLEASE PRINT NAME	DATE	SUBJECT MATTER	PERSON VISITING	ARE YOU A REGISTERED LOBBYIST? (Please circle one)	REPRESENTING "Lobbyist, must list principal, if any, represented when discussing this subject"
TOM MCARTIH	1 5/48,	(12 Public Storage	Moura M.	YES NO	
Rill Hall	3/8/2			YES NO	
KEVIN MUMTHY	1 /		SERLED MADER	YES NO	
BARRAY HILFOINS	5/18	P-UP PERMIT		YES NO	
Dawn Kain	518	submit PublicubrhsVer		YES NO?	
HERNONE PULLO	518	COD		YES NO	
Al Pestan-		permit		YES NO	
Gino Mora	05/18	Personit		YES NO	
SUMNER GOTT-1B	05/18	Perm IT	Separo MAdell	YES NO	
Rvan Maier	05/21	Red Sticker on House	John Kuntzman	YES NO	
	05.21	Fence Parmit	Kelly	YES NO	
Dick manuerlet		Pickup		(YES) NO	
Ed Grown	05.21	629 3. 6	T. PPOZ	YES MO	

"Lobbying" shall mean seeking to influence the decision of any Elected Official, any Advisory Board member, or any Employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board or elected body as applicable.

"Lobbyist" shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal or most significant responsibilities to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

CITY OF LAKE WORTH CONTACT LOG (ALL INFORMATION IS MANDATORY)

DEPARTMENT/DIV./OFFICE

PLEASE PRINT NAME	DATE	SUBJECT MATTER	PERSON VISITING	ARE YOU A REGISTERED LOBBYIST? (Please circle one)	REPRESENTING "Lobbyist, must list principal, if any, represented when discussing this subject"
GIGI PROVLY	5/21/12	PERMIT	CODE ENT-,	YES (NO')	
-		HISTORIC POPLICATION		YES ('NO	
Zonnie Brookshill	5/21/12	Bus licensk	Bus. ovres	YES NO	
MARCO Gila	5/21/12	pannit		YES NO'	
MARK MGAIL, ster	5/21/1-	CODR	RASUL. DIAZ	(YES) NO	-
ELVIN E. Greene II	5/22/12	LEFT INFO COMPLUINX For DIAZ & WATOS		YES (NO)	
Auss Erlbracher	5-2-2-	Code Enf.		YES NO	
J MEGann S	22/12	Zoning Fence:		YES NO	
J. Stones	5/00/12	Penit,		YES NO	
1. etro	5/2/10	Flectur		YES NO	
John Fitzpatrick	5/22/12	- Plan my	Radney PAtrick	YES NO	
· 11/	SZZ	APP.		YES NO	
RAMI ARGU	Spil	lemit		YES NO	

"Lobbying" shall mean seeking to influence the decision of any Elected Official, any Advisory Board member, or any Employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board or elected body as applicable.

"Lobbyist" shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal or most significant responsibilities to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

PLEASE PRINT NAME	DATE	SUBJECT MATTER	PERSON VISITING	LOBE	REGISTERED SYIST? sircle one)	REPRESENTING "Lobbyist, must list principal, if any, represented when discussing this subject"
MAMILICO FIND	5-23	628 Pine Street	MATTHWEN	YES	NO	
Andre Grimes	5/23	145 5 struct	Planning + Zumin ,	YES	(NO')	
FRED GRIFA	\$123	ANNEFAFISWag	Denaño	YES	(MQ)	
Robert Hartmann	5/24/12	Parmit	Robel	YES	NO	
Steart Litrie	5/24/12	Unspection Reports		YES	(NÓ)	
12 Bou	5/24/12			YES	NO	
Michroo Mieman	5/24/12	Perm't		YES	NO	
JEFF Kum	5-24-	Permit		YES	NO	
Descer us S. Eustins	5-24-12	Perm. /-	MONICA	YES	NO	
-LLE Schench	5-24-12	Permt		YES	NO	
Alex Anagnash		Permit		YES	NO	
AL Ban	.5/21	Porni.		YES	NO	
1/2 CHEWIT	5/29	PERMIT	ICELLY	YES	(NO)	

"Lobbying" shall mean seeking to influence the decision of any Elected Official, any Advisory Board member, or any Employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board or elected body as applicable.

"Lobbyist" shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal or most significant responsibilities to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

CITY OF LAKE WORTH CONTACT LOG

OG (ALL INFORMATION IS MANDATORY)

DEPARTMENT/DIV./OFFICE

PLEASE PRINT NAME	DATE	SUBJECT MATTER	PERSON VISITING	ARE YOU A REGISTERED LOBBYIST? (Please circle one)	REPRESENTING "Lobbyist, must list principal, if any, represented when discussing this subject"
Carol Lewis	5/29/12	Schedule drywell inspection DROP permit		YES NO	
Hacer	5/24/12	DROP permit		YES NO	
DOUG MEJUTEE	5/29/02			YES NO	
ZONING DANGKE	5/29/12	ZANINY	20 NINS	YES NO	
App Cryz	5/29/12	Zoning	A	YES NO	
PEDRO Maceilas	5/29/12			YES CHO	
RUXIMON, Whi	5/29/12	ZOMM 3'30 mtg		YES NO	
LOUIG NAISHAV	5/30/1			YES NO	
Sergio Delarosa	5-30	Violation		KES NO	
OVIN Rome	10:30	SUBMIT		YES NO	
J. Meary	1035	Portnit.		YES NO	
MARK BRODNICK	2:00	1285 DIXIE MTG	WILLIAM WATERS	YES NO	
JushiManne	2:00	128 S DIVIR MtG	William Wappers	YES NO	

"Lobbying" shall mean seeking to influence the decision of any Elected Official, any Advisory Board member, or any Employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board or elected body as applicable.

"Lobbyist" shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal or most significant responsibilities to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

Manual 1997	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
PLEASE PRINT NAME	DATE	SUBJECT MATTER	PERSON VISITING	LOBE	REGISTERED SYIST? circle one)	REPRESENTING "Lobbyist, must list principal, if any, represented when discussing this subject"
Michael Champagne	5130112	128 5 DINE HY	William Weders	YES	(NO)	
1'in McDarrel				YES	NO	
ROD REGAN	5/30/12			YES	NO	
AL Waldes	5/30/12	1114 Cochran Dr.	Early Rowen Release	YES	NO	
- Im Coryce		1501 N.M.	PICK.UP,	YES	NO	-
Mille Gallagher	5/31/12	LOOL N. DIKIE Hury	Pick op permut	YES	NO	
~ ~ ~	05-31-12	1818 N LAUESIDA DA		YES	NO	
AIMAN	05-31+12	209 SLakesiddr	Permit	YES	NO	
JACKS Electric	5-31.12		Perm 2	YES	NO	
Mania porpuer		Dal Sum perhace	SPZpo Mada	YES	NO	
Alon Suderenst	5:31	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(1	YES	NO	
aida Olivience	~ 5/301	BR 922 S.R STAN.		YES	NO	
DAN AKEI	331	325 N FED	SUNGO	YES	NO	

"Lobbying" shall mean seeking to influence the decision of any Elected Official, any Advisory Board member, or any Employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board or elected body as applicable.

"Lobbyist" shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal or most significant responsibilities to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

PLEASE PRINT NAME	DATE	SUBJECT MATTER	PERSON VISITING	ARE YOU A REGISTERED LOBBYIST? (Please circle one)	REPRESENTING 'Lobbyist, must list principal, if any, represented when discussing this subject'
RAMSAY A. MACLOOD	05/31/2012	CODE COMPLETINCE NOTETERATES	MR. WILLIAM WATONS	YES (NO	
Churcher Bir octolute	-5/3/12		SiekCo-10	YES NO	PROFISSIONAL ANTON
NERSON DUZ-ER		PENM: 7, RIAN REVU: WILICENSE	Allsien Dozier	YES (NØ	DOZ.ER ELECTR-C
Nermine Hanno	6.1.12	Code Enforcement	dikinna	YES NO	
DAVID DuBOSE	6-1-12	Permit		YES (NO)	
RON MASSEY	6-1-12	Parmit		YES NO	
Dorn Sardije	6012012	18-2-		YES NO	
	6-1-12	Permit Aling		YES NO	Metro PCS
Debbie Garnell	10-1-12	Permit Questions		YES (NO)	SUTTON TOWN Country
JOHN VIII Nouve	6/1/12	Romits		YES (NO)	J
NAM MUNN	61	DERMIT.		YES NO	
Judith De Jesis	6/1/12	Submit permit		YES NO	
MAURI E. SAME	— (<i>)</i>	Building inspector meeting	John Kuntzman	YES NO	

"Lobbying" shall mean seeking to influence the decision of any Elected Official, any Advisory Board member, or any Employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board or elected body as applicable.

"Lobbyist" shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal or most significant responsibilities to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

CITY OF LAKE WORTH CONTACT LOG (ALL INFORMATION IS MANDATORY)

DEPARTMENT/DIV./OFFICE

PLEASE PRINT NAME	DATE	SUBJECT MATTER	PERSON VISITING	ARE YOU A REGISTERED LOBBYIST? (Please circle one)	REPRESENTING "Lobbyist, must list principal, if any, represented when discussing this subject"
JUE ISASPLE	6.4.12	SUBMIT DERMIT		YES NO	
Madimir	GYR	· - •		YES NO	
eter Marz: lian	6-4.12	Follow up on App for Permit		YES NO	Blusky
DOUG MEINTER	6-4-12	ALLEYINAY QUESTION	MR. LIND ST ROM	YES (NO)	
Nerke MACEDON	8-4-12	New Perput.	Q	YES NO	
KEVINI MANNINS				YES NO	
	6/4/12		BUE DENS	YES NO	
Juan Robles	05/04/12	Code Violation	Dawa	YES NO	
RONNEY CILBERT		4 of Jule	N/A	YES NO	
Skrue Platig	6/4/12	Collection 3	ABJURI Dia.2	YES NO	
MAUK MGAILA	6/5/2	SUBMIT Permit		YES NO	
JOHN PACE	6/5/124	PREMIT		YES NO	
Debbie Garnet	6/5/12	Permit		YES NO	

"Lobbying" shall mean seeking to influence the decision of any Elected Official, any Advisory Board member, or any Employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board or elected body as applicable.

"Lobbyist" shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal or most significant responsibilities to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

1

Rev. 3/2012

PLEASE PRINT NAME	DATE	SUBJECT MATTER	PERSON VISITING	ARE YOU A REGISTERED LOBBYIST? (Please circle one)	REPRESENTING "Lobbyist, must list principal, if any, represented when discussing this subject"
Joseph Basth	6-5-12	Perint of Dale 11-1259		YES NO	JFB const.
STRUR CHARGED	6-5-12	•(/ /		YES NO	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
D' RUJE	P-Sip	Lora		YES NO	
Con Kopo	64/12	PICK DD DRUIT		YES NO	
KEVIN	6/6/12	TONY (VENDOC)	TONY	YES NO	_
JEFF Merc	6/6/12	Permit Appl.	Counter	YES NO	
A: chael H:att	6/6/12			YES NO	
Tinbo Rice.	6/6/12	Per mit		YES NO	
M Ki) Il Ashinor	6612	Phrovic	602~100	YES NO	JFM
Jeff Gater	6/7/12	Gerrice	Delphie Jackson		Coster Cockesmith Inc.
SOMNINY QUE.	6/7/12			YES NO	
Carlo Noj A	1	Pendid'		YES NO	
JEFF BLASSEDA	6.8.12	PLANS RESUBMIT		YES NO	MULLIGAN 5/ BRASSEUM

"Lobbying" shall mean seeking to influence the decision of any Elected Official, any Advisory Board member, or any Employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item, which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board or elected body as applicable.

"Lobbyist" shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal or most significant responsibilities to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

Rev. 3/2012

1eb Ø

Ø

(ALL INFORMATION IS MANDATORY) DEPA

DEPARTMENT/DIV./OFFICE

*

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
PLEASE PRINT NAME	DATE	SUBJECT MATTER	PERSON VISITING	ARE YOU A REGISTERED LOBBYIST? (Please circle one)	REPRESENTING "Lobbyist, must list principal, if any, represented when discussing this subject"
Bill Neulle	6/8/12	Plum Review	Willin write-	YES NO	
Copey O'Governa	6812	Ott. ul Robney	Retmen	YES (NO)	
- Cincu Da Dias	6/8/12	Parmit		YES NO	
ALEX LODER	6.8.12		HA I	YES NO	
PATRICK KINSOLA	8. In/12		N/A - KEU/CHRUSTIANIEN	YES NO'	-
into Two	Coluliz_	Find?		YES NO	
Diane Cascío	6/11/12	Pick up Permit		YES NO	
Rick Harmar Rick Harmar	6-14-12	Drop off Permit		YES NO	
Rofael Cabrera		Permit		YES NO	
11 Villian ETatt	kelul 17	Case A		YES NO	
Michael Hiatt	10/12/12		William	YES (NO)	
STEVE SORNEILE	10,7	Pade		YES NO	
GRENIA MOVIX		~		YES NO	

"Lobbying" shall mean seeking to influence the decision of any Elected Official, any Advisory Board member, or any Employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board or elected body as applicable.

"Lobbyist" shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal or most significant responsibilities to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

Rev. 3/2012

CITY OF LAKE WORTH CONTACT LOG

			·		
PLEASE PRINT NAME	DATE	SUBJECT MATTER	PERSON VISITING	ARE YOU A REGISTERED LOBBYIST?	REPRESENTING 'Lobbyist, must list principal, if any,
	DAIL			(Please circle one)	represented when discussing this subject"
BRAHIM CHALHOUB	6/12/12	Permit Revision, 211N.F.	Bldg Dept.	YES NO	
J. Store	6/12/12	Pe-m.t	Bloy Pept	YES (NO)	
ERKY DE Dias	Ghaha	Parmit-	BUD RIDT	YES NO	
PPUL HITT	12 (12/12-	PERVUP	BUS DEPT.	YES NO	
Loury Evleth	6/12/12	Permit	Blog Dept	YES NO	
Alex Anagnosta	6/13/2012	Permitting	Bldg.	YES NO	
CA1202	61312		Blily	YES NO'	
X MAN DWONL	6132	PERMIT.	RIDY	YES (NO	
A Ner 20216100	\$ 613	12 Register		YES NO	
JAIME LINARES	de 1/1/2	VIOLATION	EDNEAR CARBALLO,	YES NO	
11) rele Hours	6/13/12	femit pick up	pennet	YES NO	
	6 13/12	PERMUT P.UP	PERPICT DEPT	YES NO	
Michael Hiatt	6/13/12		Sergio	YES (NO)	

"Lobbying" shall mean seeking to influence the decision of any Elected Official, any Advisory Board member, or any Employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board or elected body as applicable.

"Lobbyist" shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal or most significant responsibilities to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government. 2
CITY OF LAKE WORTH CONTACT LOG

(ALL INFORMATION IS MANDATORY)

DEPARTMENT/DIV./OFFICE

PLEASE PRINT NAME	DATE	SUBJECT MATTER	PERSON VISITING	ARE YOU A REGISTERED LOBBYIST? (Please circle one)	REPRESENTING "Lobbyist, must list principal, if any, represented when discussing this subject"
Jose PADILA	6-13	Sergio		YES NO	
METRO Midua	6-13	5290910		YES NO	
ANN SZERDI	6-13	Sergio Sergio William WATERS		YES NO	
Tony ZIADA	13 1	Pan Review		YES NO	
Micjust Martinz			Real Contraction Contraction	YES NO	
PH.L. SAWOL		561-714.3571		YES NO	
Martin		Permit		YES NO	
Forchelk Rottheef	2:59m	Zchihul_		YES NO	
Michne 1 Con Ley	6-19	Pengett O		YES NO	
David Winters	6-15	Water Line Install		YES NO	
Mitch Lewis	6-15	COA Application		YES NO	
	6-15	Building Dest		YES NO	
KATE CZACZYK	6-15	CODE VIOLATION		YES NO	

"Lobbying" shall mean seeking to influence the decision of any Elected Official, any Advisory Board member, or any Employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board or elected body as applicable.

"Lobbyist" shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal or most significant responsibilities to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

CITY OF LAKE WORTH CONTACT LOG (ALL INFORMATION IS MANDATORY) DEPARTMENT/DIV./OFFICE

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			A REVOILA	REGISTERED	REPRESENTING
PLEASE PRINT NAME	DATE	SUBJECT MATTER	PERSON VISITING	LOBI	BYIST?	"Lobbyist, must list principal, if any,
	Ditti			(Please of	circle one)	represented when discussing this subject"
		A 11-1 /		YES	NO	
3 BRAHIM BOUTRO	6-15-12	EARELTLE	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	×		
Jeff Gaten	6/15/12	FLOP off Gadlocks	Deldoie Jackson	YES	(NO)	Hatois Locksmith
Nick Calence	6/15/12	0		YES	NO	
-Terry Zimberg	6/15/200			YES	NO	
Michael Krätt	6/15/2012			YES	N9	
Jesus mas	6/18/12	L		YES	NO	
Lomas Briggio.	6/18/12	Pool Stool Louse	Zohins	YES	NO	
Michoel H.att	6/18/2012			YES	NO	
IT. DALE	~ 15		Code	YES	NO	
CCANG PANElli	61811	PED-MET		YES	NO	
H.D. Rampo	6/18/12	Live Entormatic	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	YES	NO	
VIM SURREAD BUILDERS		Deoport Pramit	Building DRPT	YES	NO	
: Stone	6.18/12	Pormit Pickup	/	YES	NO	

"Lobbying" shall mean seeking to influence the decision of any Elected Official, any Advisory Board member, or any Employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board or elected body as applicable.

"Lobbyist" shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal or most significant responsibilities to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

CITY OF LAKE WORTH CONTACT LOG (ALL INFORMATION IS MANDATORY)

DEPARTMENT/DIV./OFFICE

PLEASE PRINT NAME	DATE	SUBJECT MATTER	PERSON VISITING	ARE YOU A REGISTERED LOBBYIST? (Please circle one)	REPRESENTING 'Lobbyist, must list principal, if any, represented when discussing this subject"
FERNANDO	6-18-	LICEUSING		YES NO	
Pas Tomasilin	6/18/	Permit Videro		YES NO	
Til Gilden	indi	Pormit lideup ECR	M. Morandi	YES NO	
Tomy Zinija	18 Jun	Historic Pres	Kelly C.Hristensra	YES NO	
Michael Hintt	6/18			YES NO	
Divition Plum	6/18			YES NO	
ELICIL DE DIOS	6/18	V STATUS		YES NO	
Fere Kopton	6/19	8/v feinit	,	YES (NO)	
' Robal Caprera	6019	Plu Dermit-		YES NO	
pr kula	6/19	POWER	JAMES WOOLGI	YES NO	
Nicheel Hatt	6/19			YES NO'	
(1) ARRED	6/14	TONING		YES NO	
in fren	6/19	PERMIT D/G		YES NO	

"Lobbying" shall mean seeking to influence the decision of any Elected Official, any Advisory Board member, or any Employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board or elected body as applicable.

"Lobbyist" shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal or most significant responsibilities to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

CITY OF LAKE WORTH CONTACT LOG (ALL INFORMATION IS MANDATORY) DEPARTMENT/DIV./OFFICE

	rr		·	ARE YOU A REGISTERED	DEDDESENTING
PLEASE PRINT NAME	DATE	SUBJECT MATTER	PERSON VISITING	LOBBYIST?	REPRESENTING "Lobbyist, must list principal, if any,
	22			(Please circle one)	represented when discussing this subject"
M. L. H. H.	6/19			YES NO	
Michael Had			Scigio	YES NO	
Robert Ness	6/20		V		
LO JOSNG DAVENPILS	4/20	PNORGY 455URANTE	BRAD LOUKAS	YES (NO>	Dc. Group
LAWFONG DAVADOF	1 1 1 2			YES NO	
ALEXAMON WATS	6/20	V (BEAD LOUKAS	YES NO	11(1)
LAYSTAL Courchene	10/20	Denno Permits	Permitting	YES NO	RG GROUP
BitThoma	6/20	Window Permit	Primittary	YES NO	
John Straverl	6/20	PLU Resonit		YES NO	
- 1 bardo mojica	6/20-12	. Permit		YES NO	
AntonAvilez	6/20/12	Parmyt		(YES) NO	
Jer14 Zamberg	6/20/12	Permit		YES NO	
Julie Clawson	6/21/12	, pick-up		YES NO	
11 Sue Hersey	6/21/12	Utility	Clay LiNOSTROM	YES NO	

"Lobbying" shall mean seeking to influence the decision of any Elected Official, any Advisory Board member, or any Employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board or elected body as applicable.

"Lobbyist" shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal or most significant responsibilities to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

Ø

CITY OF LAKE WORTH CONTACT LOG (ALL INFORMATION IS MANDATORY)

DEPARTMENT/DIV./OFFICE

PLEASE PRINT NAME	DATE	SUBJECT MATTER	PERSON VISITING	ARE YOU A REGISTERED LOBBYIST? (Please circle one)	REPRESENTING "Lobbyist, must list principal, if any, represented when discussing this subject"
MARTIN BIXler	6/21	ZONING QIS	WILLIAM WAters	YES NO	HABITAT For AManity
ROGER CLAYK	421	Roy on Historiel Dit?	Kelly	YES NO	
Flan Jenuwshi	421	Permit Subpritter	Building	YES NO	
Jim Longo	V		0	YES NO	· · · · ·
HallyValdez	6/21	P.U. Permit	Bldg Dept	YES NO	Metro PCS
Sup Horsen	6/22		Clay Lindsmon	YES (NO)	
Theory Row	6/22	Roon		YES NO	
Tim Dull		Submit Rumit		YES NO	TS Services LLC
Tepith Just	6/22	PLMS. X2		YES NO	Æ
Alex A.	6/22	Sub Permit		YES NO'	Air Ancerica
RA DREW	1			YES NO	
Curd Mundel	6/22-			YES NO	
Susan Taylor	111	Pepmitting + Planson	Sergio Madera William lenters	YES NO	Palm Lake Condo.

"Lobbying" shall mean seeking to influence the decision of any Elected Official, any Advisory Board member, or any Employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board or elected body as applicable.

"Lobbyist" shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal or most significant responsibilities to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

.

CITY OF LAKE WORTH CONTACT LOG (ALL INFORMATION IS MANDATORY) DEPARTMENT/DIV./OFFICE

PLEASE PRINT NAME	DATE	SUBJECT MATTER	PERSON VISITING	ARE YOU A I LOBB (Please c	YIST? rcle one)	REPRESENTING "Lobbyist, must list principal, if any, represented when discussing this subject"
Autaines SAL	6/22	Permitting	SACEAN ASHely	YES	NO	
OATAD HOPKIN	apr	PETAMITETING		YES	NO	
Shown Rocac	6/22	RYMitta		YES	NO	
TMIN JOYNER	6/2	PERM, T		YES	NO	
DAVID BRADY	61:25	Promit		YES	NO	
Margnica Persau		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		YES	NO	
Jack Bone	6125	Permit		YES	(CD)	
MAR MONNI	6-25	helm		YES	NO	
17. Campbell	6-25	Premit		YES	NO	
Shaun Recse	6-25	inspection		YES	NO	
-15		Ferrice permit		YES	NO	
FURNEST DU	6-25	hSpache.		YES	NO	
- ARRY CORSARD	6/2.5	ROOF	1 22 1 11 11	YES	NOZ	

"Lobbying" shall mean seeking to influence the decision of any Elected Official, any Advisory Board member, or any Employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board or elected body as applicable.

"Lobbyist" shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal or most significant responsibilities to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

CITY OF LAKE WORTH CONTACT LOG (ALL INFORMATION IS MANDATORY)

DEPARTMENT/DIV./OFFICE

PLEASE PRINTINAME	DATE	SUBJECT MATTER	PERSON VISITING	ARE YOU A REGISTERED LOBBYIST? (Please circle.one)	REPRESENTING "Lobbyist, must list principal, if any, represented when discussing this subject"
FRED GAR	- Colors	anney	Sergo	YES NO	
A. Giolishan;	6126	coud informati	neza	YES (NO)	I Viry contin
Leonand Corrigan	4/24	J. Rufsky	E	YES NO	
LAN CONTIN	6/26	Flanning + 200	hte	YES NO	
DAN GAKES	6/26	WATCH >		YES NO	
Tony Zinj.	26 Jun	Presentilii	Pres Tean	YES NO	
	6/26	Permit		YES NO	
Dauph Braile	6/20	Permit up DATE		YES NO	
DEMALD ESROWN		WATER SERVICE		YES NO	
TFT MC Gann	6/26	Fence		YES NO	
Diano, Stallone	6/26	Pool Permit Pickup	Deana Hallon	YES NO	
Buce Srebber	4/24	Permits	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	YES NO	
RODIRTROS	/ /	1 7		YES NO	·

"Lobbying" shall mean seeking to influence the decision of any Elected Official, any Advisory Board member, or any Employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board or elected body as applicable.

"Lobbyist" shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal or most significant responsibilities to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

CITY OF LAKE WORTH CONTACT LOG (ALL INFORMATION IS MANDATORY) DEPARTMENT/DIV./OFFICE

PLEASE PRINT NAME	DATE	SUBJECT MATTER	PERSON VISITING	LOBE (Please c	REGISTERED YIST? ircle one)	REPRESENTING "Lobbyist, must list principal, if any, represented when discussing this subject"
PATRICK KINSEUA	6/26/12	Kelly Chrsusfronsien -	\rightarrow	YES	NO	
RODREAGAN	//	Mitchell Wilson .	<u> </u>	YES	(NO)	
Mary Lindsey	627/12	Ulilitais	M. Bonstein / cludeto	YES ·	CNO	
ERIC Doverspike	6/27/12	Engineering	Moult	YES	(NO)	
Jerry Zimberg	6/27/	Permit		YES	(NO)	
MIDUCIEL PEART-	Elsolis	. Permit - Grand Opaning -	20mmag	YES	(NO)	
Michael Hight	4/27/12	()		YES	M9'	
RICHARD RIBAN	6/17/1/12	Remains or friend's Kens		YES	NO	
Chrix Walk	6/27/07			YES	(NO)	
I'M SLATZEN BUI (ATER		- Pickup Papmit	404	YES	NO	
Virginia Broten Gorage	6-27-12	Subant Permit	Blds	YES	NO	·
DEFINIS HAYYIN bothy	6.27.12	PICHUR PERNIT		YES	NO	
Zanina	6-27-12	Seebnit Permit		YES	NO	

"Lobbying" shall mean seeking to influence the decision of any Elected Official, any Advisory Board member, or any Employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board or elected body as applicable.

"Lobbyist" shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal or most significant responsibilities to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

Rev. 3/2012

.

CITY OF LAKE WORTH CONTACT LOG (ALL INFORMATION IS MANDATORY)

DEPARTMENT/DIV./OFFICE

PLEASE PRINT NAME	DATE	SUBJECT MATTER	PERSON VISITING	ARE YOU A LOBB (Please c	YIST?	REPRESENTING "Lobbyist, must list principal, if any, represented when discussing this subject"
Chuck Conella	6/27/12	- Sign BERNIT		YES	NO	
Bob BAILEY	6/22/12	Parmit		YES	NO	
Lisa Kreutter	1/27/12	Permit		YES	NO	
				YES	NO	·
Bruce Walter	4/28	Permit		YES	NO	
my mide topy	6/28	. Leventer		YES	NO	
Alex Anagnosta		Permit		YES	(NO)	· · · · · ·
For Alar	6128	Revision		YES	NO	
Barbara Hollan	10/28	LICENSE		YES	NO	
TOIM SCHROADER	16/28	PERMIT 111		YES	NO	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
ONN CAMPSO	10/38	Demou		YES	NO	
LUAN CONKY	6/29		· · ·	YES	NO	
Mary Cridny	626	M. Birn		YES	NO	

"Lobbying" shall mean seeking to influence the decision of any Elected Official, any Advisory Board member, or any Employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board or elected body as applicable.

"Lobbyist" shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal or most significant responsibilities to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

CITY OF LAKE WORTH CONTACT LOG (ALL INFORMATION IS MANDATORY) DEPARTMENT/DIV/OFFICE

	Y		Y		REGISTERED	
PLEASE PRINT NAME	DATE	SUBJECT MATTER	PERSON VISITING	LOBB		REPRESENTING "Lobbyist, must list principal, if any,
	DILLD			(Please c	ircle one)	represented when discussing this subject"
Fall + 10	610	\wedge \star		YES	NO	
Fal Ingle	6/29	Depmy		VEG	NO	
Marina	6/29	Plu permit		YES		
INCENT BENITEZ	6/29	LICENSE		YES	NO	
The contraction is	0/21	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	YES	(NO)	
GINEHE POWELL	le 29	Hist Preservation	Kelly Christenson	110		Mysplf
	1			YES	NO	
GETERROF ASTRUMINATION	4 6/29	PETRETI		TALL A		
Juit Hart	629	. Preservativ- Alamoinz	Will'on Woles	YES (NO	
Hylpup Louter	6/29	permit,		YES	NO	
1) IN Cant Bankly2	6/29	LICANSE.	Kally	YES	NO	
My hoel Hiatt	4/29			YES	(NO)	
SVOTT TZEKA	6-2.9	HATOLK GUENTRON	10	YES	ANOS	
TOMY ZIDDA	6-29	Historia folk	1 Celly	YES	NO	
Mohammad	76-2-12	Vilitier Turn On	Same	YES	(NO)	
C Y MA	7-212		L	YES	NO	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

"Lobbying" shall mean speking to influence the decision of any Elected Official, any Advisory Board member, or any Employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board or elected body as applicable.

"Lobbyist" shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal or most significant responsibilities to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

CITY OF LAKE WORTH CONTACT LOG (ALL INFORMATION IS MANDATORY)

DEPARTMENT/DIV./OFFICE

PLEASE PRINT NAME	DATE	SUBJECT MATTER	PERSON VISITING	ARE YOU A REGISTERED LOBBYIST? (Please circle one)	REPRESENTING "Lobbyist, must list principal, if any, represented when discussing this subject"
G. (AZIANO FORRAZ.	7/2	PERTIF		YES NO	
Store	7/2	Permit		YES NO	
Aprille D'Donnell		Permit		YES NO	· · ·
Faddler Fadael	7/2-	Uhling Bill		YES NO	
Robert Parking	7/2	Building Plans		YES NO	
Jarmy		license 1		YES NO	
Stree	7/2	Rem,7		YES NO	
Martin Divior	2/2	PRIMIT	Monard Morandi	YES NO	
Scott Prunell	7/3	Permit		YES (NO)	
KINNY DEFOLICE	7/3	P-ermit.		YES NO	
Roger Only	7-3	Permit		YES NO	
Akx. Anagnosty	7/3	Permit		YES NO)	
Delia Velez	7/2	Nor wit (65) STEERS ING	Kelly Christense	YES NO	

*Lobbying" shall mean seeking to influence the decision of any Elected Official, any Advisory Board member, or any Employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board or elected body as applicable.

"Lobbyist" shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal or most significant responsibilities to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

CODE OF ETHICS

(Effective date June 1, 2011)

Sec. 2-441. Title; statement of purpose.

This article shall be known as the Palm Beach County Code of Ethics. This code of ethics is enacted pursuant to Florida Constitution, Article VIII, Section 1(g), Florida Statutes, ch. 125, and the Charter of Palm Beach County. The Municipalities located within Palm Beach County are subject to the provisions of this Code of Ethics pursuant to referendum. The purpose of this code is to provide additional and more stringent ethics standards as authorized by Florida Statutes, §112.326. This code shall not be construed to authorize or permit any conduct or activity that is in violation of Florida Statutes, ch. 112, pt. III. This code of ethics shall be deemed additional and supplemental to any and all state and federal laws governing ethical conduct of officials and employees, as well as all local laws, rules, regulations and policies.

Officials and employees in the public service shall be conscious that public service is a public trust, shall be impartial and devoted to the best interests of the people of Palm Beach County, and shall act and conduct themselves so as not to give occasion for distrust of their impartiality.

Nothing herein shall abridge employees' constitutional right to collective bargaining.

Sec. 2-442. Definitions.

The following words, terms and phrases, when used in this article, shall have the meanings ascribed to them in this section, except where the context clearly indicates a different meaning:

Advisory board shall mean any advisory or quasi-judicial board created by the board of county commissioners, by the local municipal governing bodies, or by the mayors who serve as chief executive officers or by mayors who are not members of local municipal governing bodies.

Customer or *client* means any person or entity to which an official or employee's outside employer or business has supplied goods or services during the previous twenty-four (24) months, having, in the aggregate, a value greater than ten thousand dollars (\$10,000).

Domestic partner is an adult, unrelated by blood, with whom an unmarried or separated official or employee has an exclusive committed relationship and maintains a mutual residence.

Financial benefit includes any money, service, license, permit, contract, authorization, loan, travel, entertainment, hospitality, gratuity, or any promise of any of these, or anything else of value. This term does not include campaign contributions authorized by law.

Household Member includes anyone whose primary residence is in the official or employee's home, including non-relatives who are not rent payers or employees of the head of the household.

Inspector general shall mean the office established in article XII of this chapter.

Lobbying shall mean seeking to influence a decision through oral or written communication or an attempt to obtain the goodwill of any county commissioner, any member of a local municipal governing body, any mayor or chief executive officer that is not a member of a local municipal governing body, any advisory board member, or any employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board, the board of county commissioners, or the local municipal governing body lobbied as applicable.

Lobbyist shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal responsibility to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government. "*Lobbyist*" shall not include:

- (1) any employee, contract employee, or independent contractor of a governmental agency or entity lobbying on behalf of that agency or entity, any elected local official when the official is lobbying on behalf of the governmental agency or entity which the official serves, or any member of the official's staff when such staff member is lobbying on an occasional basis on behalf of the governmental agency or entity by which the staff member is employed.
- (2) any person who is retained or employed for the purpose of representing an employer, principal or client only during a publicly noticed quasi-judicial hearing or comprehensive plan hearing, provided the person identifies the employer, principal or client at the hearing.
- (3) any expert witness who is retained or employed by an employer, principal or client to provide only scientific, technical or other specialized information provided in agenda materials or testimony only in public hearings, so long as the expert identifies the employer, principal or client at the hearing.
- (4) any person who lobbies only in his or her individual capacity for the purpose of selfrepresentation and without compensation.
- (5) any employee, contract employee, or independent contractor of the Palm Beach County League of Cities, Inc., lobbying on behalf of that entity.

Official or *employee* means any official or employee of the county or the municipalities located within the county, whether paid or unpaid. The term "*employee*" includes but is not limited to all managers, department heads and personnel of the county or the municipalities located within the county. The term also includes contract personnel and contract administrators performing a government function, and chief executive officer who is not part of the local governing body. The term "official" shall mean members of the board of county commissioners, a mayor, members of local municipal governing bodies, and members appointed by the board of county commissioners, members of local municipal governing body, as applicable, to serve on any advisory, quasi judicial, or any other board of the county, state, or any other regional, local, municipal, or corporate entity.

Outside employer or business includes:

- (1) Any entity, other than the county, the state, or any other federal regional, local, or municipal government entity, of which the official or employee is a member, official, director, proprietor, partner, or employee, and from which he or she receives compensation for services rendered or goods sold or produced. For purposes of this definition, "compensation" does not include reimbursement for necessary expenses, including travel expenses; or
- (2) Any entity located in the county or which does business with or is regulated by the county or municipality as applicable, in which the official or employee has an ownership interest. For



Wes Blackman, AICP

Principal at CWB Associates West Palm Beach, Florida Area Real Estate

Join LinkedIn and access Wes Blackman, AICP's full profile.

As a LinkedIn member, you'll join 175 million other professionals who are sharing connections, ideas, and opportunities. And it's free! You'll also be able to:

· See who you and Wes Blackman, AICP know in common

- · Get introduced to Wes Blackman, AICP
- Contact Wes Blackman, AICP directly

Wes Blackman, AICP's Overview

Current Principalat CWB Associates

- Past Plannerat Gunster Yoakley
 - Director of Projectsat Trump Organization
- Education Alma College

Recommendations 1 person has recommended Wes Connections 247 connections

Wes Blackman, AICP's Summary

Twenty-six year career as an urban planner and project manager.

Specialties

Zoning issues, public relations, historic preservation, communications, Internet applications, due diligence research, expert witness, comprehensive land planning, relationship building

Wes Blackman, AICP's Experience

Principal

CWB Associates 2005-Present (7 years)

Planner

Gunster Yoakley Privately Held; 201-500 employees; Law Practice industry 2004-2009 (5 years)

Director of Projects

Trump Organization Privately Held: 1001-5000 employees; Real Estate industry September 1993- July 2003 (9 years 11 months)

Supervised permitting and construction related activities at the Mar-a-Lago Club and Trump International Golf Club in Palm Beach, project management, government approvals, public relations

Wes Blackman, AICP's Skills & Expertise

Historic Preservation Expert Witness Land Use Planning Zoning Government Permitting Due Diligence Urban Planning

Wes Blackman, AICP's Education

Alma College BA, Business Administration, Spanish 1979 - 1983 Graduated with Honors

Groups and

Associations:

Wes Blackman, AICP's Additional Information



American Planning Association



American Planning Association - Florida Chapter

Free Market Florida

Int. Urban Planning & Regional Planning Group ∉ İni. me,ii Degi

Palm Beach County Planning Congress

View Full Profile

Urban Planning Group

Contact Wes for:

career opportunities

job inquiries

business deals

• getting back in touch

View Wes Blackman, AICP's full profile to ...

· See who you and Wes Blackman, AICP know in common

- Get introduced to Wes Blackman, AICP
- · Contact Wes Blackman, AICP directly

View Full Profile

LinkedIn member directory - Browse members by country ab cd ef gh ij kl mn op gr st uv wx yz more

consulting offers

· expertise requests

reference requests

LinkedIn Corporation © 2011

Back to Web Site

Lake Worth, Florida Where the Tropics Begin

Planning, Zoning, & Historic Preservation Division

The Planning, Zoning, & Historic Preservation (PZHP) Division provides for the comprehensive review and approval of all development within the City of Lake Worth. In addition, the Division is responsible for the implementation and enforcement of all current and long range land use planning, neighborhood revitalization, historic preservation and annexation.

Planners are available to the public daily from 9:00AM - 10:30AM and 3:00PM - 4:30PM, or by appointment.

General Contact information:

PZHP Division 561.586.1687 sdubose@lakeworth.org

ZONING IN PROGRESS (ZIP) ORDINANCES

Lake Worth Historic Districts

Historic Preservation Applications & Application Schedules

Planning & Zoning Applications & Application Schedules

7 N. Dixie Highway, Lake Worth, FL 33460

Back to Web Site

Lake Worth, Florida Where the Tropics Begin

Historic Resources Preservation Board

The Board members shall consider historic preservation issues. The members serve three-year terms.

The members meet on the second Wednesday of the month at 6:00 PM on an asneeded basis.

For more information contact Sandi DuBose, Board Secretary, at 561.586.1687.

Membership Board Log

7 N. Dixie Highway, Lake Worth, FL 33460

Back to Web Site

Lake Worth, Florida Where the Tropics Begin

Historic Districts in Lake Worth

The City of Lake Worth Created a Preservation Ordinance in 1997 and created a Historic Resources Preservation board in 1999. Below is a List of Historic Districts in the City. There are currently Six Historic Districts, three of which are partially Listed on the National Register as well. College Park

The local register historic district was designated in April 1999. Forty percent of the buildings in a district need to be considered "contributing" or historic for an area to be Eligible for a local listing. The boundaries are the north side of Columbia Drive, south to the north side of Wellesly Drive, West to the alley behind Dixie Highway, and East to Federal Highway.

Northeast Lucerne Townsite

The local register historic district was designated in December 2002. Forty percent of the buildings in a district need to be considered "contributing" or historic for an area to be Eligible for a local listing. The Approximate boundaries are from 13th avenue North to 2nd Avenue North from the alley behind Dixie, East to Federal up until 7th Avenue North and East to Golfview from 7thAvenue North to13th Avenue North.

Old Lucerne

The local register historic district was designated in June 1999. Forty percent of the buildings in a district need to be considered "contributing" or historic for an area to be Eligible for a local listing. The boundaries are from 7th Avenue North, meandering south around Lucerne Avenue, east to the Golf Course, and west to the Alley behind Federal Highway.

Old Town

The local register historic district was designated in June 1999. Forty percent of the buildings in a district need to be considered "contributing" or historic for an area to be Eligible for a local listing. The approximate boundaries are from North G Street East to Federal Highway, South to 1st Avenue south and north to Lucerne Avenue. Maps are On File in the Community Development Department.

South Palm Park

The local register historic district was designated in September 2000. Forty percent of the buildings in a district need to be considered "contributing" or historic for an area to be Eligible for a local listing. The approximate boundaries are from the intracoastal waterway, to the alley behind federal highway, from Lake Avenue south to 18th Avenue South.

Southeast Lucerne Townsite

The local register historic district was designated in December 2002. Forty percent of the buildings in a district need to be considered "contributing" or historic for an area to be Eligible for a local listing. The Boundaries are from the alley behind Dixie Highway to Federal Highway, from 1st avenue South to 6th Avenue South.

7 N. Dixie Highway, Lake Worth, FL 33460



City of Lake Worth Department for Community Sustainability Planning, Zoning and Historic Preservation Division 1900 Second Avenue North: Lake Worth, Florida 33460 · Phone: 561-586-1687

MEMORANDUM DATE:	September 4, 2012
AGENDA DATE:	September 12, 2012
то:	Chair and Members of the Historic Resources Preservation Board
FROM:	Kelly M. Christensen, Preservation Planner Department for Community Sustainability

RE:

Administrative Certificates of Appropriateness

TITLE: Administrative Certificates of Appropriateness (COAs) approved by Staff between August 1, 2012 and August 31, 2012.

CoA#	Address	District	Contributing?	Request Type	Building Permit #	Date Received	Date Completed
				Garage door	U		
12-00100087	901 North O Street	NE Lucerne	Yes	replacement	12-01492	8/9/2012	8/10/2012
12-00100090	621 Lake Ave	Old Town	Yes	Fence enclosure	12-01646	5/30/2012	8/24/2012
12-00100101	227 North O Street	Old Lucerne	No	Window replacement	12-01475	8/9/2012	8/20/2012
12-00100118	325 South L Street	SE Lucerne	Yes	Roof replacement	12-02243	8/6/2012	8/6/2012
12-00100119	104 South J Street	SE Lucerne	No	Roof replacement	12-02159	8/6/2012	8/6/2012
12-00100121	619 North Federal Hwy	NE Lucerne	No	Fence installation	12-02023	8/6/2012	8/7/2012
12-00100122	909 North M Street	NE Lucerne	No	Roof replacement	12-02263	8/9/2012	8/10/2012
12-00100123	414 North Federal Hwy	NE Lucerne	Yes	Roof replacement	12-02298	8/10/2012	8/13/2012
12-00100125	406 North L Street	NE Lucerne	Yes	Roof replacement	12-02380	8/20/2012	8/21/2012
12-00100126	17 South M Street	Old Town	Yes	Exterior Alterations	12-02400	8/21/2012	8/22/2012
12-00100128	514 North J Street	NE Lucerne	Yes	Panel Shutters	12-02366	8/29/2012	8/30/2012
12-00100129	211 North M Street	NE Lucerne	Yes	Porch Handrails	12-02414	8/29/2012	8/29/2012





CITY OF LAKE WORTH 1900 2nd Ave N · Lake Worth, Florida 33461 · Phone: 561-586-1687

Agenda Regular Meeting City of Lake Worth Historic Resources Preservation Board City Hall Commission Room 7 North Dixie Hwy; Lake Worth, FL

WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 12, 2012 6:00 PM

- 1. Roll Call and Recording of Absences
- 2. Additions/Deletions/Reordering and Approval of the Agenda
- 3. Approval of Minutes
 - A. August 8 2012 Regular Meeting Minutes
- 4. Cases
- 5. Swearing in of Staff and Applicants
- 6. Proof of Publication
 - A. Aug 23 2012 Lake Worth Herald Meeting Ad
- 7. Withdrawals/Postponements
- 8. Consent
- 9. Public Hearings
 - A. HRPB 12-01500004 Consideration of a request for a Variance from Section 23.03.06.00.(H) of the City of Lake Worth zoning code to allow the placement of a pool between the main structure and a public street for the existing single-family residence located at 722 North Lakeside Drive (P.C.N. 38-43-44-21-15-422-060)
- 10. Unfinished Business
- 11. New Business

- A. HRPB 12-00100114 Consideration of a Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) for window and door replacement to the subject property located at 1107 North M Street (PCN# 38-43-44-21-15-350-0150)
- B. HRPB 12-00100120 Consideration of a Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) for window replacement for the subject property located at 925 North Federal Highway (PCN# 38-43-44-21-15-286-0090)
- C. HRPB 12-00100117 Consideration of a Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) for exterior alterations to the subject property located at 507 South J Street (PCN# 38-43-44-21-15-173-0190)
- D. HRPB 12-00100124 Consideration of a Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) for exterior alterations to the subject property located at 1231 North Lakeside Drive (PCN# 38-43-44-21-15-362-0090)
- E. HRPB 12-00100028b Consideration of a Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) for awning installation for the subject property located at 701 Lake Avenue. (PCN# 38-43-44-21-15-019-0200)
- F. HRPB 12-00100066 Consideration of a Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) for new construction at the subject property located at 302 North Lakeside Drive (PCN# 38-43-44-21-15-430-0010)
- 12. Planning Issues
- 13. Public Comments (3 minute limit)
- 14. Departmental Reports
 - A. Administrative Certificates of Appropriateness, August 2012
- 15. Board Member Comments
- 16. Adjournment

If a person decides to appeal any decision made by the board, agency or commission with respect to any matter considered at such meeting or hearing, he or she will need a record of the proceedings, and that, for such purpose, he or she may need to ensure that a verbatim record of the proceedings is made, which record includes the testimony and evidence upon which the appeal is to be based. (F.S. 286.0105)

Note: One or more members of any Board, Authority or Commission may attend and speak at any meeting of another City Board, Authority or Commission.



3RAPHICS/LW__1W__CNCS/99038/99038/9-UP-MAP-2.FH7 PA# 64167.00



CITY OF LAKE WORTH 1900 2nd Ave N · Lake Worth, Florida 33461 · Phone: 561-586-1687

Agenda Regular Meeting City of Lake Worth Historic Resources Preservation Board City Hall Commission Room 7 North Dixie Hwy; Lake Worth, FL

WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 12, 2012 6:00 PM

- 1. Roll Call and Recording of Absences
- 2. Additions/Deletions/Reordering and Approval of the Agenda
- 3. Approval of Minutes
 - A. August 8 2012 Regular Meeting Minutes
- 4. Cases
- 5. Swearing in of Staff and Applicants
- 6. Proof of Publication
 - A. Aug 23 2012 Lake Worth Herald Meeting Ad
- 7. Withdrawals/Postponements
- 8. Consent
- 9. Public Hearings
 - A. HRPB 12-01500004 Consideration of a request for a Variance from Section 23.03.06.00.(H) of the City of Lake Worth zoning code to allow the placement of a pool between the main structure and a public street for the existing single-family residence located at 722 North Lakeside Drive (P.C.N. 38-43-44-21-15-422-060)
- 10. Unfinished Business
- 11. New Business

- A. HRPB 12-00100114 Consideration of a Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) for window and door replacement to the subject property located at 1107 North M Street (PCN# 38-43-44-21-15-350-0150)
- B. HRPB 12-00100120 Consideration of a Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) for window replacement for the subject property located at 925 North Federal Highway (PCN# 38-43-44-21-15-286-0090)
- C. HRPB 12-00100117 Consideration of a Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) for exterior alterations to the subject property located at 507 South J Street (PCN# 38-43-44-21-15-173-0190)
- D. HRPB 12-00100124 Consideration of a Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) for exterior alterations to the subject property located at 1231 North Lakeside Drive (PCN# 38-43-44-21-15-362-0090)
- E. HRPB 12-00100028b Consideration of a Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) for awning installation for the subject property located at 701 Lake Avenue. (PCN# 38-43-44-21-15-019-0200)
- F. HRPB 12-00100066 Consideration of a Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) for new construction at the subject property located at 302 North Lakeside Drive (PCN# 38-43-44-21-15-430-0010)
- 12. Planning Issues
- 13. Public Comments (3 minute limit)
- 14. Departmental Reports
 - A. Administrative Certificates of Appropriateness, August 2012
- 15. Board Member Comments
- 16. Adjournment

If a person decides to appeal any decision made by the board, agency or commission with respect to any matter considered at such meeting or hearing, he or she will need a record of the proceedings, and that, for such purpose, he or she may need to ensure that a verbatim record of the proceedings is made, which record includes the testimony and evidence upon which the appeal is to be based. (F.S. 286.0105)

Note: One or more members of any Board, Authority or Commission may attend and speak at any meeting of another City Board, Authority or Commission.



City of Lake Worth Department for Community Sustainability Planning, Zoning and Historic Preservation Division 1900 Second Avenue North · Lake Worth, Florida 33460 · Phone: 561-586-1687

MEMORANDUM DATE:	August 31, 2012
AGENDA DATE:	September 12, 2012
то:	Chair and Members of the Historic Resources Preservation Board
RE:	302 North Lakeside Drive
FROM:	Kelly M. Christensen, Preservation Planner Department for Community Sustainability

TITLE: <u>HRPB Project Number 12-00100066</u>: Consideration of a Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) for new construction at the subject property located at 302 North Lakeside Drive; PCN# 38-43-44-21-15-430-0010. The subject property is a vacant lot located within the boundaries of the Old Lucerne Local/National Historic District.

BACKGROUND:

This application for a COA concerns the proposed construction of a new two story single-family residence on the vacant lot located at 302 North Lakeside Drive, next to the Lake Worth Municipal Golf Course. The subject property is located within the Old Lucerne Local Historic District, which is also listed as a national historic district on the National Register of Historic Places. The lot is zoned Single Family Residential (SF-7) and is subject to the development standards for this district in the City of Lake Worth Zoning Code and in the City's Comprehensive Plan. In addition, the southern 17.5-feet of the lot is encumbered by a recorded utilities easement. The City's property files do not indicate that there have ever been any buildings constructed on the property.

Construction of a single-family residence is permitted by right in the SF-7 zoning district, so long as it conforms to the required development criteria in §23.03.03.00 of the City of Lake Worth Zoning Code. The following table includes some of the basic specifications for the proposed construction:

Dimension	Required by Code	Proposed	
Lot size	5,000 square feet	9,450 square feet existing (70' x 135')	
Front setback	20'	21.6' to bldg front	
Side setback	10% of lot width = 7' each side	North= 7'6"; South=20'2"	
Rear setback	20'	46'5"	
Height ¹ (Comp. Plan)	35' for SFR land use designation	24', 2 stories; 24.9' to top of tower	
Height (SF-7 zoning)	35', max. 2 stories	24', 2 stories; 24.9' to top of tower	
Impervious surface	75% max. = 7,088 square feet	50%= 4,725 square feet	

¹ Building height: The vertical distance measured from the minimum required floor or base flood elevation of twelve (12) inches above the crown of the road, whichever is less, to (a) the highest point of a flat roof; (b) the deck line of mansard roof, (c) at the average height between eaves and ridge for gable, hip, and gambrel roofs, or (d) the average height between high and low points for a shed roof. (Same definition in Comp Plan and zoning code.)



City of Lake Worth Department for Community Sustainability Planning, Zoning and Historic Preservation Division 1900 Second Avenue North: Lake Worth, Florida 33460 · Phone: 561-586-1687

New construction within a local historic district is also subject to specific criteria for visual compatibility as set forth in §23.27.05.09 of the City's historic preservation ordinance. These criteria are provided in Attachment 1- Decision Criteria, and include Staff's response to each criterion. As part of the architectural plans for this proposal, the Applicant has provided graphics comparing the site plan and elevation of the proposed building to already existing residences in the neighborhood to aid staff and the Board in addressing the compatibility language in the ordinance. The historic preservation ordinance does not grant staff or the Board any purview over the choice of architectural style or paint color of new construction. The architectural style chosen by the Applicant for this property is eclectic, with a mix of Dutch Caribbean, Mission Revival and contemporary Florida elements.

It should be noted that there has been a significant amount of public interest in this project. Letters and emails from interested residents, both within and outside the Old Lucerne Local Historic District, have been included as Attachment 5 of this report. Included in this correspondence is a flyer that was widely distributed door to door by an anonymous resident. Some of these letters, including the flyer, reference a previous set of architectural plans that were originally scheduled to be considered by the HRPB at the regularly scheduled meeting in May. The west elevation of the building from the original proposal has been included as Attachment 6 of this report for reference only, as the project has undergone significant design changes since its original plans submittal.

COMPREHENSIVE PLAN CONSISTENCY:

The project, as proposed, is consistent with the following Comprehensive Plan goals and objectives concerning future land use and housing:

Goal 1.4 Encourage preservation and rehabilitation of historic and natural resources and where appropriate restrict development that would damage or destroy these resources.

Goal 3.1 To achieve a supply of housing that offers a range of residential unit styles and prices for current and anticipated homeowners and renters in all household income levels by the creation and/or preservation of housing units.

Objective 3.1.1 To upgrade the quality of existing housing and assure that new construction is of the highest possible quality while supporting the position that the city's housing supply will be principally provided by the private sector.

Goal 3.2 To assure that all citizens have decent, safe and sanitary housing in neighborhood environments that are attractive, secure and free from urban blight.

Objective 3.2.1 To maintain the integrity of existing residential neighborhoods and to promote their preservation and rehabilitation.

Objective 3.2.3 To promote the elimination of blighting influences on residential areas and improvement of substandard housing conditions.

Objective 3.2.4 To encourage architectural design that complements the city's appearance and considers the objectives of all facilities and services provided by the city.



City of Lake Worth Department for Community Sustainability Planning, Zoning and Historic Preservation Division 1900 Second Avenue North: Lake Worth, Florida 33460 · Phone: 561-586-1687

CONSEQUENT ACTION:

Approve the application; approve the application with conditions; continue the hearing to a date certain to request additional information; or deny the application.

ANALYSIS AND RECOMMENDATION:

Staff has reviewed the documentation and materials provided applying the applicable guidelines and standards found in the City's, Zoning Code and Comprehensive Plan and Historic Preservation Ordinance.

The proposed project is consistent with the development requirements in the City's Zoning Code and Comprehensive Plan. The building as proposed would be conforming, and would not require any variances from the code.

Staff also concludes that, on the whole, the proposed project is also consistent with the City's Historic Preservation Ordinance. For a complete analysis of this project, addressing all criteria in the ordinance, please see Attachment 1- Decision Criteria. While the proposed project is larger in massing than other historic buildings within the district, Staff believes that these issues have been addressed through the utilization of diverse architectural elements in the design of the primary facades, which are further refined in the conditions of approval for this project.

In conclusion, Staff recommends approval of the project as submitted by the Applicant, with the following conditions:

- The Applicant work with Staff to further reduce the overall height of the building to bring the mass and height of the building more in line with other two-story buildings within the district.
- Eliminate the tower element entirely from the north side of the proposed building as it is incompatible with the proposed architectural style and is inconsistent with the overall character of the historic neighborhood.
- If the tower remains, the stringcourse should be removed from this element to give it a more consistent look with the architectural style the Applicant has proposed for the building.
- The glass block window on the North Elevation should be changed to stylistically match the other windows on the elevation and maintain the relationship with the fenestration pattern established on the building. Staff recommends a frosted, fixed pane window flanked by two shutters.
- The garage door on the South Elevation should be changed to a two-door configuration. This will
- The Applicant must ensure that any mechanical equipment, such as a/c units, be located outside of the minimum lot setbacks, and may not be located in the front of the property between the building and North Lakeside Drive.

POTENTIAL MOTION:

I MOVE TO APPROVE/DENY HRPB PR# 12-00100066: Consideration of a Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) for new construction at the subject property located at 302 North Lakeside Drive based upon the following criteria: the application meets/does not meet the intent of the City's Zoning Code, Comprehensive Plan and the applicable guidelines of the City's Historic Preservation Ordinance.



City of Lake Worth Department for Community Sustainability Planning, Zoning and Historic Preservation Division 1900 Second Avenue North · Lake Worth, Florida 33460 · Phone: 561-586-1687

LOCATION MAP



ATTACHMENTS:

- 1. Administrative Decision Criteria
- 2. CoA Application
- 3. Photographs
- 4. Architectural Plans, submitted September 2012
- 5. Public Comment Letters and Emails
- 6. Original Design, submitted April 2012
- 7. Revised Design, submitted July 2012

MEMORANDUM

DATE:	August 31, 2012

TO: Chair and Members of the Historic Resources Preservation Board

FROM: Kelly M. Christensen, Preservation Planner Department of Community Sustainability

SUBJECT: <u>HRPB Project Number 12-00100066</u>: Consideration of a Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) for new construction at the subject property located at 302 North Lakeside Drive; PCN# 38-43-44-21-15-430-0010. The subject property is a vacant lot located within the boundaries of the Old Lucerne Local Historic District.

HRPB Meeting Date: September 12, 2012

The Board is to use the following criteria in making a determination:

A. What is the effect of the proposed work on the landmark or the property upon which such work is to be done?

Staff Response: Not applicable. The lot is currently vacant and does not have either a contributing or non-contributing designation.

B. What is the relationship between such work and other structures on the landmark site or other property in the historic district?

Staff Response: The proposed site, 302 North Lakeside Drive, is adjacent to three contributing historic properties within the Old Lucerne Local Historic District: 230 North Lakeside Drive, 306 North Lakeside Drive and 303 North Lakeside Drive.

C. To what extent will the historic, architectural, or archaeological significance, architectural style, design, arrangement, texture, materials and color of the landmark or the property be affected? **Staff Response:** Not applicable. The lot is currently vacant, and has no contributing or non-contributing status.

D. Would denial of a certificate of appropriateness deprive the property owner of reasonable beneficial use of his property?

Staff Response: Yes. The lot is currently vacant, with no established use. Without the issuance of a certificate of appropriateness, the lot will continue to have no use, unlike a lot that has been previously developed.

E. Are the applicant's plans technically feasible and capable of being carried out within a reasonable time?

Staff Response: Yes.

F. Do the plans satisfy the applicable portions of the general criteria contained in the United States Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation then in effect or as they may be revised from time to time? The current version of the Secretary's Guidelines provides as follows: **Staff Response**: The Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation are not applicable to this project, as the building is entirely new construction.

G. What are the effects of the requested change on those elements or features of the structure which served as the basis for its designation and will the requested changes cause the least possible adverse effect on those elements or features?

Staff Response: Not applicable. The lot is currently vacant, and has no contributing or non-contributing status.

23.27.05.09. Additional guidelines for new construction; visual compatibility. All improvements to buildings, structures and appurtenances within a designated historic district shall be visually compatible. New buildings should take their design cues from the surrounding existing structures, using traditional or contemporary design standards and elements that relate to existing structures that surround them. Building design styles, whether contemporary or traditional, should be visually compatible with the existing structures in the district.

A. In approving or denying applications for certificates of appropriateness for new construction, the HRPB shall also, at a minimum, consider the following additional guidelines which help to define visual compatibility:

(1)The height of proposed buildings shall be visually compatible in comparison to or in relationship with the height of adjacent buildings.

Staff Response: The two properties directly adjacent to the north and south of this lot are single story homes. Directly across from the lot is a two-story home, and there is another two-story home two homes north of the lot. Single and two-story homes are both customary within the Old Lucerne Local Historic District. For instance, on the blocks of North Lakeside Drive between 2nd Avenue North and 4th Avenue North, there are fifteen homes that are two-stories tall or have two-story tall elements, eleven that are contributing and four that are non-contributing historic properties (see Attachment 4, page A2.04.)

Address	Status
205 North Lakeside Drive	Non-contributing
210 North Lakeside Drive	Contributing
211 North Lakeside Drive	Non-contributing
216 North Lakeside Drive	Contributing
222 North Lakeside Drive	Contributing
226 North Lakeside Drive	Non-contributing
231 North Lakeside Drive	Contributing
303 North Lakeside Drive	Contributing
310 North Lakeside Drive	Contributing
314 North Lakeside Drive	Non-contributing
315 North Lakeside Drive	Contributing
319 North Lakeside Drive	Contributing
320 North Lakeside Drive	Contributing
327 North Lakeside Drive	Contributing
329 North Lakeside Drive	Contributing

However, the height of the proposed home is taller than any of the other two-story home within the district. In part, this is because the house must meet current building code and FEMA regulations that require new homes to have their first floor level above the base flood elevation. In this area, that elevation is approximately 1.5' above grade of the lot.

Nevertheless, it is Staff's opinion that the building height should be lowered in order for the house to be compatible in height with other adjacent buildings in the district. Staff recommends an additional overall height reduction. This height can be taken out between the first and second stories, maintaining the high ceiling heights in the buildings while creating an overall height reduction. While still leaving the home taller than other buildings in the area, the reduction would bring the building more into scale with the rest of the neighborhood.

(2)The relationship of the width of the building to the height of the front elevation shall be visually compatible with and in direct relationship to the width and height of the front elevation of adjacent or adjoining buildings within the district.

Staff Response: The combination of the width and height of the proposed building does present a broader façade than other properties directly adjacent. However, the width of the building facing the road is not out of proportion with other homes adjacent or within the district in general. It is the height of the building being taller than other two-story buildings, as discussed in the previous section. Should the Board and Applicant concur with Staff's recommendation to decrease the overall height of the building, then it is Staff's opinion that the revised building design would conform to the compatibility requirements of this item. Should the height of the building not be changed, the proposed design could still be considered in compliance with this item, though it would be stretching the limits of what is compatible with the existing single family residences in the historic district.

(3)The openings of any building within a historic district should be visually compatible with the openings in the prevailing architectural styles within the historic district. The relationship of the width of the windows and doors to the height of the windows and doors in a building shall be visually compatible with buildings within the district.

Staff Response: It is the opinion of Staff that the window and door openings for the proposed building are overall compatible in scale for the building itself, and are not out of scale with the windows and doors on other buildings within the district. However, Staff recommends two garage doors as opposed to one on the south elevation, to break up the wall space on the façade with more architectural elements. Staff also recommends that the large glass block window on the north façade be replaced with a frosted fixed pane window flanked by two shutters, to conform with all of the rest of the windows on the building.

(4)The relationship of solids to voids in the front facade of a building or structure shall be visually compatible with the front facades of historic buildings or structures. A long, unbroken facade in a setting of existing narrow structures can be divided into smaller bays which will complement the visual setting and the streetscape.

Staff Response: It is the opinion of Staff that the proposed design conforms to the requirements of this item. The front façade presents no empty, unbroken sections of wall, and utilizes a bay and porch to provide 'movement' to the front of the building.

(5)The relationship of a building to open space between it and adjoining buildings shall be visually compatible with the relationship between buildings and structures within the district.

Staff Response: The Applicant was required to shift the proposed building closer to the north property line due to a 17.5-foot wide utilities easement on the south side of the lot. The same easement is the reason why 230 North Lakeside Drive is sited closer to the south property line than the north or center of the lot. The proposed location of the structure does not encroach into any required setbacks and is in compliance with the Zoning Code regulations.

There is no set of uniform setbacks between contributing and non-contributing properties within the district. Some contributing properties do not conform to the minimum setbacks required by the Zoning Code today, while others provide a much larger setback. The setbacks proposed by the Applicant are within the requirements of what is required by the Zoning Code, and those that are customary within the district.

(6)The relationship of entrance and porch projections to sidewalks of a building shall be visually compatible with the prevalent architectural styles of entrances and porch projections on buildings and structures within the district.

Staff Response: It is the opinion of Staff that the proposed design conforms to the requirements of this item. The front entrance is set back a similar distance from the street as compared to other properties within the district.

(7)The relationship of the materials, texture and color of the facade of a building shall be visually compatible with the predominant materials used in the buildings and structures within the district to which it is visually related.

Staff Response: The Applicant is proposing to use exterior materials that are commonly used on other buildings of various architectural styles within the district. It is the opinion of Staff that none of the materials proposed would be out of character within the district. The walls would be finished in stucco, the roof would be standing seam metal, the window shutters painted aluminum, the front door would be stained mahogany, and other exterior details, such as the porch, would be made of wood. A full materials list is provided on Pages A2.01 and A2.02 of the architectural plans in Attachment 4. Finish paint and stain colors are not provided in the plans. However, it is no longer the policy of the City to regulate paint color, and the Board has no direct purview over paint color.

(8)The roof shape of a building or structure shall be visually compatible with the roof shape of buildings or structures in the district.

Staff Response: The Applicant has proposed a hip shaped roof for the building. There are other properties within the district with hip roofs, and the shape would not be out of place in such a district with an eclectic mix of architectural styles. The style of roof is appropriate for the proposed architectural style of the building.

(9)Appurtenances of a building, such as walls, wrought iron, fences, evergreen, landscape masses and building facades, shall, if necessary, form cohesive walls of enclosures along a street to insure

visual compatibility of the building to the buildings and places to which it is visually related. **Staff Response:** The landscaping and fencing proposed by the Applicant are of an appropriate

style and type for the area. The landscaping would screen, but not completely obscure, the front of the building. The fence would be set back significantly from the minimum front setback, giving the front yard area an open feel that many properties in the district share. (10)The size and mass of a building in relation to open spaces, the windows, door openings, porches and balconies shall be visually compatible with the buildings and places to which it is visually related.

Staff Response: It is the opinion of Staff that the proposed design conforms to the requirements of this item. The building itself only covers approximately 2733 square feet, or 28.9% of the lot. This is comparable to other buildings in the area, some of which have two buildings instead of one on their lots. The front and rear façades of the building, which face North Lakeside Drive and the Lake Worth Golf Course respectively, would have a significant number of window and door openings, as well as porches. These features help avoid any large stretches of open wall space, which is not a desirable feature in this neighborhood.

(11)A building shall be visually compatible with the buildings and places to which it is visually related in its directional character: vertical, horizontal or non-directional.

Staff Response: The length, width and overall lot coverage of the proposed building are not out of scale with other properties located in the immediate vicinity of the property (see Page A2.03 and A2.04 of Attachment 4 for a detailed graphic of the site plan compared to surrounding properties.) It is when the vertical element is added, the height of the building, that the mass of the building becomes 'boxy' in comparison to other properties in the district, some of which have much more irregular site plan and massing.

Site plan and massing, to a certain extent, are subject to the style of a property, which is not being considered as part of this review process. Historic, more eclectic styles tend towards a more irregular massing, and site plans have changed over time due to additions and other alterations. If the proposed project had a less regular shape, it would blend in more with the district. However, this could compromise the style of the building that the Applicant is attempting to achieve.

With this in mind, it is Staff's opinion that if the height of the building is reduced as recommended, the building would be compatible with surrounding structures, and the rectangular shape of the building will not be overwhelming.

(12)Landscaping shall be compatible with the architectural character and appearance of the designated structure and of buildings in the surrounding historic district.

Staff Response: The Applicant has provided a landscape plan that exceeds the minimum required landscaping for a residential structure in the City, as outlined in Ordinance #2010-25. The plan provides a number of shade trees, decorative plantings and grassy areas that screen the house from the street and from the golf course. Staff does not believe that the proposed design would have any adverse effect on any of the surrounding contributing and non-contributing properties.

(13)In considering applications for certificates of appropriateness to install mechanical systems which affect the exterior of a building or structure visible from a public right-of-way, the following criteria shall be considered:

(a)Retain and repair, where possible, historic mechanical systems in their original location, where possible.

Staff Response: Not applicable.

(b)New mechanical systems shall be placed on secondary facades only and shall not be placed on, nor be visible from, primary facades.

Staff Response: The proposed plans do not indicate the location of the a/c unit or other mechanical equipment. However, Staff recommends that the Board require that all mechanical equipment be placed outside of the property setbacks, as required by the Zoning Code, and not be visible from North Lakeside Drive as a condition of approval of this application.

(c)New mechanical systems shall not damage, destroy or compromise the physical integrity of the structure and shall be installed so as to cause the least damage, invasion or visual obstruction to the structure's building materials, or to its significant historic, cultural or architectural features.

Staff Response: Not applicable.

(14)The site should take into account the compatibility of landscaping, parking facilities, utility and service areas, walkways and appurtenances. These should be designated with the overall environment in mind and should be in keeping visually with related buildings and structures. Staff Response: The overall site plan proposed is compatible and sympathetic to the overall environment of the district. The landscaping has already been addressed in previous sections; the garage doors are facing the side yard and not the street, avoiding large open voids of blank wall; the proposed sidewalks and fencing is also consistent with other properties within the district. The Applicant has not specifically addressed the utility services in their proposal.

B. In considering certificates of appropriateness for new buildings or structures which will have more than one primary facade, such as those on corner lots facing more than one street, the HRPB shall apply the visual compatibility standards to each primary facade.

Staff Response: The lot in question technically has two frontages, the primary frontage on North Lakeside Drive, and a secondary public frontage on the Lake Worth Golf Course. The front façade of the building would face North Lakeside Drive.



City of LAKE WORTH

HISTORIC RESOURCES PRESERVATION BOARD

7 NORTH DIXIE HIGHWAY LAKE WORTH, FLORIDA 33460-3787

Where the Tropics Begin

www.lakeworth.org

COMMUNITY APPEARANCE AND CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS APPLICATION

Address of Property: 302 NORTH LAKESIDE DRIVE

CA CASE #

Date APRIL 9, 2012

COA HRPB CASE# 12-00100066



INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING AND FILING THE COA APPLICATION

Applications may be submitted to the Planning, Zoning and Historic Preservation Department at any time between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m., Monday thru Friday. The Historic Resources Preservation Board (HRPB) will act on an application at the next available meeting. The application must be filed by 12:00 Noon a minimum of 15 days prior to that meeting. The application must be accompanied by the appropriate processing fee (make checks payable to the City of Lake Worth). Please print or type all required information, and ensure the application is complete and accurate.

It is necessary that an owner, or an authorized agent, be present at the Board meeting when the COA is reviewed. If a property owner wishes to designate a representative/agent, please execute the "Owner's Consent and Designation of Agent" form found on Page 9.

Pursuant to the City's Zoning Code Section 23.27.05.03 no application for a COA will be accepted by the HRPB unless it contains all required and pertinent information. <u>A pre-application conference with a member of the Planning, Zoning and Historic Preservation staff is strongly recommended, and can be scheduled at your convenience</u>. We will be glad to assist you in any way possible. If you are undergoing a substantial rehabilitation, please make sure to ask about the Historic Preservation Tax Abatement Program.

Office Use Only:

Requires Board Approval, Meeting Date: <u>May 9</u>, 20012 Requires Staff Approval


CITY OF LAKE WORTH BUILDING, PLANNING AND ZONING DEPARTMENT APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATION OF APPROPRIATENESS

If approved, a CA/COA is valid for 12 months from the date of approval.
Project Name: Single Family Residence

Address or General Location: 302 North Lakeside	Drive
---	-------

Lake Worth, FL 33460

PART ONE - APPLICANT INFORMATION:

APPLICANT

Name: Tim & Elizabeth Hulett

Address: 108 Bloomfield Drive

West Palm Beach, FL 33405

Telephone Number: 561-602-6241

<u>AGENT</u>

Name:	Brower Architectural Assoc.	&	Wes Blackman
Addres	_{s:} 350 S County Rd, Ste 207		241 Columbia Dr
	Palm Beach, FL 33480		Lake Worth, FL 33460
Telepho	one Number: 561-659-1948		561-308-0364
OWNE	R (if other than applicant)		
Name:	Hector Cabrera		
-	_{s;} PO Box 412		
	Lake Worth, FL 33460		

Telephone Number:

Applicant is: Owner [] Lessee [] Other Buyer

PART TWO - PROPERTY INFORMATION:		
Property Control Number: 38-43-44-21-15-430-0010		<u></u>
Legal Description (attach separate sheet if nece	essary): SEE ATTACHED SHEET	
	۵۱، ۲۵ ۲۹۳۰ - ۲۰۰۰ ۲۰۰۰ ۲۰۰۰ ۲۰۰۰ ۲۰۰۰ ۲۰۰۰ ۲۰۰۰	
Historic District or Historic Site: OLD LUCERNE		
Zoning Designation: SF-7		
Evisting Line of Drane the MCANT DEODERTY		
· · · ·		
Proposed Use of Property: ONE SINGLE FAMILY RESID		
Proposed Changes (refer to DESCRIPTION OF	WORK Pages 4 & 5).	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Proposed Project Value: +/- \$650,000	۹	
Requested Waivers and Modifications (Fill-In Ap	plicable Spaces Below):	
Front Yard Setback	Code Requirement	Request
Side Yard Setback	N/A	

Rear Yard Setback N/A Parking N/A Height Floor Area Finished Floor Elevation NA N/A N/A N/A

Other

Attach separate pages if necessary, with all necessary photographs to show work areas. Label each picture to describe what is to be done in each area.

Described property (give legal description):

Lot 1, Block 430, together with the north half of that 40 foot wide abandoned right-of-way laying south of and adjacent to said Lot 1, bounded on the east and west by the southerly prolongations of the east and west lines of Lot 1, Block 30, THE PALM BEACH FARMS COMPANY PLAT NO. 2 TOWNSITE OF LUCERNE (now known as Lake Worth), according to the Plat thereof as recorded in Plat Book 2, Pages 29 through 40, of the Public Records of Palm Beach County, Florida.

PART THREE - DESCRIPTION OF WORK FOR WHICH THE CA/COA IS DESIRED [Check Appropriate Item(s)]

- <u>Maintenance or Repair</u>: The act or process of applying measures to sustain the existing form, integrity and material of a building or structure and the existing form or vegetative cover of a site that requires a building permit. It may include initial stabilization work, where necessary, as well as on-going maintenance and repair.
- <u>Restoration</u>: The process of accurately recovering the form and details of a property and its setting as it appeared at a particular period of time by means of the removal of later work or by the replacement of missing earlier work.
- <u>Renovation</u>: The process of returning a property to a state of utility through repair or alteration which makes possible an efficient contemporary use while preserving those portions or features of the property which are significant to its historical, architectural and cultural values.
- X Landscaping: The process of improving the landscape features which includes, but is not limited to, subsurface alteration, site regarding, fill deposition, paving, landscaping, courtyards, and exterior lighting.
- Signage: The process of installing or altering signs, billboards, advertisements or any other signage.
- <u>Excavation</u>: The process of performing an archeological dig to recover artifacts, historical materials or other archeological features.
- <u>Demolition</u>: The process of destroying or tearing down a building or structure or a part thereof, or the process of removing or destroying an archeological site or a part thereof.
- X <u>New Construction</u>: The process of constructing a building or structure that has never existed at the location.
- Relocation: The process of moving a building or structure from its current foundation to another site.
- X <u>Walls, Fences and Sidewalks</u>: The process of making any material change in existing walls, fences and sidewalks, or construction of new walls, fences and sidewalks.
- <u>Change of Color</u>: The process of changing the color of the exterior of any buildings or structures, walls, fences, sidewalks, or any other architectural features.

OVERALL DESCRIPTION OF THE WORK PLAN

Explain the chronology of the work involved and describe all new construction, excavation, demolition and relocation that will be required. If complete or partial demolition is involved, also complete the demolition section of this application. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

Project consists of a new two story single family residence. The house will conform to the existing

and proposed zoning code(s). It will have 2,125.5 S.F of enclosed building on the first floor and

2,099.34 S.F of enclosed building on the second floor. There will be a two car garage. The proposed

architectural style is "Anglo-Carribean". It will be stucco with a standing seam metal roof.

DEMOLITION (If Applicable)

Explain why the proposed demolition should occur. (attach additional pages if necessary.)

N/A

The Lake Worth Historic Resources Preservation Board will consider the following factors in reviewing requests for demolition:

- A. Is the structure of such interest or quality that it would reasonably fulfill criteria for designation on the National Register?
- B. Is the structure of such design, craftsmanship or material that it could be reproduced only with great difficulty and/or economically unviable expense?
- C. Is the structure one of the last remaining examples of its kind in the neighborhood, City or designated historic district?
- D. Would retaining the structure promote the general welfare of the City of Lake Worth by providing an opportunity to study local history, architecture and design, or by developing an understanding of the importance and value of a particular culture and heritage?
- E. Are there definite plans for immediate reuse of the property if the proposed demolition is carried out, and what effect will those plans have on the character of the surrounding area?
- F. Would relocation of the building be a viable alternative to the proposed demolition?
- G. Would the Board's action on the requested COA cause undue economic hardship to the applicant?

DOCUMENTATION ATTACHED IN SUPPORT OF THIS APPLICATION

Unless otherwise requested by Staff, five (5) 11 X 17 copies of drawings/plans and the following additional items must accompany this application in order for it to be processed. A master CD with all application documents in Word or pdf must also be provided. (Check Only the Appropriate Items.) All plans must be folded appropriately.

- x Site Plan and/or Survey
- **Continuation Sheets**
- **Elevation Plan**
- Landscape Plan
- Floor Plan
- Other Plans
- Master Project CD
- Architectural Drawings
- Sketches or Artistic Renderings
- Photographs*
- Samples of Building Materials
- Engineering or Other Reports
- Other Photographs which Support this Application
- Published Materials, Documents and Bibliographies
- Other
- Appropriate Fee with Check Made Payable to the City of Lake Worth
- Executed and Notarized Agent Authorization Form

PART FOUR - SIGNATURE(S) OF OWNERS/APPLICANTS

The undersigned owner(s) and/or applicant(s) certifies under penalties of perjury that all the statements contained in this application, including any statement attached to the application or any papers or plans submitted herewith are true and correct.

Owner's Signature	Arrent	Date 4-5-2012
Owner's Signature		_ Date
Applicant's Signature	- Wiplicta BHulost	Date <u>4-5-2012</u>
Applicant's Signature		

Sign Posting Agreement

Applica	nt:
	Tim & Elizabeth Hulett
Owner:	
	Hector Cabrera
Property	y Address:
	302 North Lakeside Drive
Meeting	
Date:	May 9, 2012

I, Ken Brower for Hector Cabrera will post the notification sign provided to me for a minimum of 10 calendar before the scheduled date of the hearing of my case.

\sim	
Signed:	
Date: //	
1/9/12	

I Hector Cabrera _____, the fee simple owner of the following

described property (give legal description): Lot 1, Block 430, together with the north half of that 40 foot wide

abandoned right-of-way laying south of and adjacent to said Lot 1, bounded on the east and west by the southerly prolongations of

the east and west lines of Lot 1, Block 30, THE PALM BEACH FARMS COMPANY PLAT NO. 2 TOWNSITE OF LUCERNE (now

known as Lake Worth), according to the Plat thereof as recorded in Plat Book 2, Pages 29 through 40, of the Public Records of

Palm Beach County, Florida.

(Owner's Name)

hereby petition to the City of Lake Worth for site plan approval for (Project Name) New Residence at 302 North Lakeside Drive

and affirm that Tim Hulett

(Applicants/Agent's Name)

is hereby designated to act as agent on my behalf to accomplish the above.

I certify that I have examined the application and that all statements and diagrams submitted are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge. Further, I understand that this application, attachments and fees become part of the Official Records of the City of Lake Worth, Florida, and are not returnable.

(Owners Signature)

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this 194, day of <u>March</u>, 192012 by <u>HECTOR A. CABRERA</u>, who is personally known to me or has produced <u>C16632167295</u> (type of identification) as identification and who did (did not) take an oath.

(Printed Name of Notary Public)

(Signature of Notary Public)

, My Commission Expires

(NOTARY'S SEAL)

Commission #



THIS PAGE FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

NOTES	
	·























, , HRPB Project Number 12-00100066: Consideration of a Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) for new construction at the subject property located at 302 North Lakeside Drive; PCN# 38-43-44-21-15-430-0010. The subject property is a vacant lot located within the boundaries of the Old Lucerne Local Historic District.

Attachment 5: Public Comment Letters

Kelly Christensen

From:	Andrea Sinner [apsinner@gmail.com]
Sent:	Thursday, July 26, 2012 12:11 PM
To:	Kelly Christensen; waters@lakeworth.org
Subject:	Protecting Historical District
Follow Up Flag:	Follow up
Flag Status:	Completed
Categories:	Red Category

Kelly & William,

Greetings. I am sure you've had a few notes by now on the topic, but just wanted to add my voice of concern for the permit requested for 302 N Lakeside Drive.

I recently purchased my home in Lake Worth and was drawn by the heart and character of the community. Part of that pull is the variety of the homes (e.g., it's ok to have old/new, posh/rustic alongside eachother) and yet everything being in keeping with a feel of the history of the city. Having moved from West Palm Beach, I was looking to get away from the 'fake' of City Place and much of the housing in that city (which clearly includes many McMansion type dwellings).

It is my hope that Lake Worth will stick with the current feel of being beachy, historical, artsy, cool, soulful, relaxed, unpretentious...and avoid the desire of a small few to build in a manner not in keeping with that atmosphere.

Thank you so much for all that you do for the city (I can only imagine it is seriously hard work at times!) and if I can be of any assistance, please do let me know.

Sincerely,

Andrea Sinner 716 N Golfview Rd 617-797-1964 Do you know that you live in Old Lucerne Historic Residential District? It was added to the National Register of Historic areas in 2001. It runs roughly along N. Lakeside, N. Pałmway and N. O Street from 7th Avenue N. to Lake Avenue.

LW has a Historical Resource Preservation Board whose purpose is to preserve the 6 historic districts including Old Lucerne by reviewing and approving changes to present homes and construction of new homes.

The present owner (purchased the lot in 2003) and prospective new owner have submitted plans to the city to build a 2 story 4,000 sqft, house on a vacant lot known as 302 N. Lakeside Dr. Some adjoining home owners have sent in written objections to the construction of this house to the HRPB. It is not compatible with the height, mass, and scale of the adjoining homes and the neighborhood in general. The average 2 story single family home in our district is 2,600 sqft.

New construction is good for the neighborhood provided there isn't a trend toward changing its character to what's known as "Mc Mansion" style construction. Under the Lake Worth municipal code new construction shall be visually compatible in height, scale, and mass to adjoining and present homes in the historical districts.

Some may say these large expensive houses will increase the neighborhood property values. Smaller homes overshadowed by oversized Mc Mansions will make them more desirable and marketable? Really?

Help preserve our neighborhood and stop a growing trend toward this style of new construction.

Please voice your opinion to your elected officials, the city manager, preservation planner, director for community sustainability and the prospective new owners. Upon your request the preservation planner will direct any correspondence to the Historical Resource Preservation Board for their review. It is anticipated the board will vote on this matter during its August 8, 2012 7p.m. meeting, which is open to the public.

New owners: Tim and Elizabeth Hulett 108 Bloomfield Drive West Palm Beach, FL 33405

Commissioner Andy Amoroso amoroso@lakeworth.org Commissioner Christopher McVoy emevoy@lakeworth.org Commissioner Scott Maxwell smaxwell@lakeworth.org Commissioner Susan Mulvehill smulvehill@lakeworth.org Mayor - Pam Triolo ptriolo@lakeworth.org City Manager - Michael Bornstein citymanager@lakeworth.org All the same address 7 N. Dixie Hwy, Lake Worth, FL 33460

Kelly Christensen, Preservation Planner <u>kchristensen@lakeworth.org</u> William Waters, Director for Community Sustainability <u>waters@lakeworth.org</u> 561-586-1634 1900 Second Avenue N., Lake Worth, FL 33460



Rec. Flalla RUR

Kelly Christensen

From:	David Downing [dkdow4@gmail.com]
Sent:	Wednesday, August 08, 2012 11:58 AM
То:	amoroso@lakeworth.org; Christopher McVoy; Scott Maxwell; Suzanne Mulvehill; Pam Triolo; City Manager
Cc:	Kelly Christensen; waters@lakeworth.org
Subject:	302 North Lakeside
Categories:	Red Category

I'm writing concerning the submitted plans for new home construction at 302 North Lakeside. Any proposal over 3000 square feet will be uncharacteristic of the neighborhood. While I believe most residence will welcome new construction it's important that it stay in line with the Height and Scale of the general neighborhood. Please vote to deny any proposal that is not consistent with the intent of the preservation charter.

David Downing Owner of 416 North Palmway

Kelly Christensen

From: Sent: To: Subject:	D. S. [tddks71@yahoo.com] Tuesday, July 24, 2012 2:17 PM Andy Amoroso; cmcvoy@lakewoth.org; Scott Maxwell; Suzanne Mulvehill; Pam Triolo; City Manager; Kelly Christensen; waters@lakeworth.org 302 North Lakeside
Categories:	Red Category, HRPB

Hello Mayor, Commissioners, City Manager and Planning folks,

I have lived in lake worth since 1999 (from 1999-2004 in the Bryant Park neighborhood and from 2005-present in the Parrot Cove neighborhood).

Parrot Cove, as you know is a unique, historic neighborhood made up of homes from the 1920s, 1930s, 1950s, etc. While there is a mix of style and design, most of the homes are small. There are a few exceptions, 515 North Lakeside is a large home for the neighborhood with a square footage of 2900 sq ft. And along the golf course on some of the triple and double wide lots, i.e. 320 North Lakeside, there are larger homes but these larger homes are on very large lots (double or triple wide) for the neighborhood.

I am aware that there is a proposal for a 4000 square foot home for the vacant lot located at 302 North Lakeside, which while on the golf course is not a double or triple size lot. The only other comparable property is one two doors down, 226 North Lakeside, which of course should never have been built as it is not compatible with the scale, mass and height of the adjoining properties or our historic neighborhood in general. Similarly, the proposed project for 302 North Lakeside should be rejected and the developer/property owner should submit a new proposal that includes adequate front, back, and side, set backs (both on the first and second floor), significant green space, and a plan/design that will not overpower, indeed overshadow, the historic homes to the north, the south, across the street and in the neighborhood in general.

The problem with the McMansioning of our neighborhood is that each proposed nonconforming property looks to and relies on the prior nonconforming property and pretty soon Parrot Cove will lose its historic charm and Lake Worth will have lost what makes Lake Worth a unique gem.

My husband and I are active in the Parrot Cove neighborhood association and recently volunteered at the most recent house tour. So many wonderful visitors came to our neighborhood and our City to tour and enjoy our historic neighborhood and our historic homes, it would be a travesty to see more and more of this unique character disappear.

We ask that you soundly reject this proposed plan.

Thank you,

Dori K. Stibolt Aaron V. Storonas.





S



Notes:

HRPB Project Number 12-00100066- 302 N. Lakeside

HRPB Meeting September 12, 2012 Memo to Preservation Planner and Members of the HRPB

MongoNet is not responsible for any losses or liabilities arising from the use of this product. By using this product, you acknowledge and agree to the Terms of Service posted at www.MongoNet.net. Protected by U.S. Patents Nos. 6,424,426; 7,079,275; 7,164,488; U.S. and international patents pending. © 2000-2007 MongoNet, Inc. All rights reserved. MongoNet Customer Service: support@mongonet.net -or- 1 (866) 482-2229

Fax Only to: Your MongoFAX Number



Date: August 6, 2012

To: Preservation Planner and Members of the Historical Resources Preservation Board

From: Peter Just, owner, 306 N. Lakeside Drive, Lake Worth, FL 33460 Lisa Segara, owner, 226 N. Lakeside Drive, Lake Worth, FL 33460 Jeanne Thompson, owner, 307 N. Lakeside Drive, Lake Worth, FL 33460 Regina Miller, prospective owner, 303 N. Lakeside Drive, Lake Worth, FL 33460 Suzanne Thompson, owner, 629 N. Lakeside Drive, Lake Worth, FL 33460

Subject: HRPB Project Number 12-00100066 - 302 N. Lakeside Drive

HRPB Meeting Date: September 12, 2012;

I. Requested Considerations/Changes - Design and Size

(References to 226, 230, 231, 303 & 306 are properties' addresses or the proposed construction on N. Lakeside Drive):

- A. Give greater scrutiny to the 302 N. Lakeside Dr. structure since: a) it is taller than the predominately one story homes in the Old Lucerne district; b) it is twice as tall as its adjacent homes; and c) including the slab elevation the height of the proposed structure may exceed 30'.
- **B.** Verify the accuracy of 302's drawings (Exhibit I).
- C. See Exhibit II, an example of incompatible heights, taken from the City of Lake Worth's website link <u>Model Guidelines for Design Review</u>.
- D. 302 may be taller than 303 and 231 which are adjacent two story homes across the street.
- E. Enforce compatibility requirements as they relate to 302's adjacent properties 230 and 306, for details on <u>height, width and size</u>, referring to Section X. see pages 5-6 i.e., 23.27.05.09 A(1), (5), (9), (10) and (13(c)) from the City of Lake Worth Historical Resource Preservation code.
- **F.** Redesign 302 building massing to conform to its adjacent properties by minimizing boxiness and incorporating setbacks for second story volume and/or incorporate the use of a flat roof to reduce its height.
- **G.** See Exhibits II and III.1-2 illustrates construction which is not compatible, found on the City of Lake Worth's website link <u>Model Guidelines for Design Review</u>.

<u>II.</u> Conclusions

- A. <u>Failure to enforce preservation code's height, width and size restrictions</u> will negatively impact the property value of the adjacent cottage size homes.
- **B.** <u>Failure to enforce the preservation code's height restrictions</u> by allowing 302's construction in such close proximity to its northern neighbor will result in a large shadow being cast on 306 an adjoining home.
- C. <u>The proposed structure is in the design phase</u>. A more suitable new home which is: a) in compliance with the preservation code; and b) in harmony and compatible with the scale and character of the neighborhood, <u>should and can</u> be designed for this lot.

- **D.** <u>We presently own our homes</u>. The persons proposing the construction do not yet own the lot. The sale of the lot is contingent upon receiving approval to build the proposed construction.
- **E.** <u>Construction was allowed at 226 N. Lakeside for a 4600 sqft home</u>. This property is not adjacent to the proposed construction and should not be used for height, width and size compatibility purposes.
- F. We are not requesting the 302 be designed to look historical or object to the construction of a 4,000 sqft. home on this lot. Construction of: a) a single story home; b) a two story with second story setbacks; or c) a flat roof which is compatible with the heights of 230 and 360 its adjacent properties is acceptable.
- G. If approved 230 (1,000 sqft) a cottage, will be sandwiched between two massive structures. 226 to the south (4,600 sqft) and 302 to the north (4,000 sqft).
- **H.** <u>Deny the Certificate of Appropriateness</u> it will not affect the present owner's beneficial use of the property. (Exhibit IV Question (D) the Preservation Planner's April 27, 2012 memo).
- I. <u>Deny all exceptions</u> which allow the construction of 302, a tall massive structure in our historic neighborhood. Allowing this construction will detract from the scale and character of our neighborhood which is in contradiction to purpose of this board and City of Lake Worth Historical Preservation code.
- J. <u>Deny this Certificate of Appropriateness for the plan as submitted</u> which will be in the best interest of all Old Lucerne district property owners and public policy.

III. If Found Visually Compatible - Other Factors

- A. <u>Relocate 302's air conditioner bank of multiple condensers</u> (2-3) to the roof or a location which does not adversely affect 230 and 306, its adjacent neighbors', enjoyment of their property.
- **B.** <u>Install gutters</u> along the north roof line to avoid possible flooding onto the 306 property. 302's proposed construction within 10ft of 306 may cause flooding from the roof runoff.
- C. <u>Install open fencing</u> to allow air flow between 302 and 306. 302's adjacent properties and those adjacent to 306, 310, 230 and 226 and within a two block radius on the golf course do not have solid fence enclosures.
- D. <u>Move the structure further south</u> by relocating the garage entrance and driveway to the west side southern-most end. This will provide a) the footage for a larger northern property line set back;
 b) relocate the parking so 230 does not have a parking view from the north and south; and c) does not place the parking directly in front of 303.
- E. <u>Remove or relocate the skylight</u> where it does not overshadow an adjacent home.

IV. Old Lucerne Statistics

Statistics gathered from the Palm Beach Count tax appraiser's online information on buildings in the Old Lucerne Historic district:

- A. Number of Single story homes 170, avg. sqft. 1680 (removed 2 of the smallest) avg. sqft. 1705
- B. Number of 2 story homes 40, avg. sqft. 2635 (removed 2 of the largest) avg. sqft. 2543
- C. Some homes sqft may include more than a single home did not identify those with multiple structures.

V. All 302 adjacent properties are historical contributing entities.

Building a tall massive structure adjacent to small cottages will negatively impact the character of the neighborhood. Four adjacent properties are contributing entities and could not be razed and rebuilt to be compatible, 32ft.tall and 4,000+ sqft. homes.

- A. 306 N. Lakeside Drive is over 50 yrs. old built circa 1934 1 story 1741 sqft (north adjacent property)
- B. 230 N. Lakeside Drive is over 50 yrs. old built circa 1925 1 story 1040 sqft. (south adjacent property)
- C. 303 N. Lakeside Drive is over 50 yrs. old built circa 1935 2 story 2296 sqft. (west adjacent property)
- **D.** 231 N. Lakeside Dr. is over 50 yrs. old build circa 2 story 1280 sqft. (west adjacent property)

VI. Rebuttal to Preservation Planners response (Exhibit IV Question (B)):

<u>We disagree</u>. Construction of a building 2 times the size of its adjacent contributing properties will negatively impact its adjacent homes' property values.

306's southern wall is constructed 3.9 feet from the shared property line. Initially the proposed construction's southern wall was to be setback 10ft from the shared property line. The last amendment to the plan moved the construction within 7ft of the shared property line. The proposed construction of 32 ft height within 10.9 ft of 306 will overshadow the 16 ft home.

Both 226 (over 30 ft in height and 4600 sqft) and 302 (32 ft in height and 4000 sqft) will be over 2 times the mass of both adjacent properties 230 (1040 sqft) and 306 (1741 sqft (1348 under air)).

VII. Old Lucerne Historical District.

Added to the National Register of Historic Districts 2001 and has been designated by the City as a historic district.

VIII. 302 N. Lakeside Vacant Lot

Was purchased by the present owner in 2003, 6 yrs subsequent to the promulgation of the historical preservation code and 2 yrs. subsequent to the designation of Old Lucerne Historical District.

IX. From the City's website:

Historic Preservation

In 1997 Lake Worth adopted an historic preservation ordinance. Since then, 6 local historic districts and national register historic districts have been formed; and citizens volunteer their time to serve as members of the Historic Preservation Board to review exterior modifications to designated structures.

Old Lucerne

The local register historic district was designated in June 1999. Forty percent of the buildings in a district need to be considered "contributing" or historic for an area to be Eligible for a local listing. The boundaries are from 7th Avenue North, meandering south around Lucerne Avenue, east to the Golf Course, and west to the Alley behind Federal Highway.

Page 3 of 6

X. Lake Worth Preservation Municipal code review as it applies to this case:

23.27.01.01 "... The city commission finds that this article benefits the <u>residents and property owners of Lake</u> Worth and declares as a matter of public policy that this article is required in the interest of the health, prosperity, safety, welfare and economic well-being of the people.

The commission further declares that this article specifically implements the comprehensive plan, and further embodies the city's commitment to conserving its historical, cultural, archaeological and architectural resources, not only to preserve its unique character, but also to meet the stated goals, objectives, and policy of land use, housing and conservation as mandated by chapter 163 Florida Statutes and its comprehensive plan."

23.27,01.01 Purposes. The Historical Resources Preservation Board shall have the following purposes...

A. To effect and accomplish the preservation, protection, perpetuation, enhancement and appropriate use of landmarks, and historic districts have a special historic, architectural, archaeological, aesthetic or cultural interest and value to the city, state and nation;

B. To promote the educational, cultural, economic and general welfare of the people and safeguard the city's bistory and heritage as embodied and reflected in landmarks and historic districts;

C. To stabilize and improve property values in historic districts and in the city as a whole;

D. To foster civic pride and cultural stability through conservation of historic neighborhoods and business districts;

E. To strengthen and contribute to the stabilization of the economy of the city through the continued use, preservation, conservation and revitalization of its historic resources;

F. <u>To protect and enhance the scale, character and stability of existing neighborhoods, and protect against</u> the destruction of or encroachment upon areas which contribute to the special character of the city;

G. To protect and enhance the city's attractiveness to residents, business owners, tourists and vistors and serve as a support and stimulus to business and industry;

H. To enhance the visual and aesthetic character; diversity and interest of the city;

1. <u>To provide a review process for the continued preservation and appropriate, compatible and sensitive</u> development of new construction and additions to landmarks and structures within historic districts, and

J. <u>To avoid unnecessary demolition of, or other adverse affects on</u>, landmarks and historic districts which could cause an irreparable loss to the city, by requiring a public review of private actions to demolish or otherwise alter historic resources prior to the city's granting permits to proceed with the intended work.

23.27.05.09. <u>Additional guidelines for new construction</u>; visual compatibility. All improvements to buildings, structures and appurtenances within a designated historic district shall be visually compatible. <u>New</u> Page 4 of 6

buildings should take their design cues from the surrounding existing structures, using traditional or contemporary design standards and elements that relate to existing structures that surround them. Building design styles, whether contemporary or traditional, should be visually compatible with the existing structures in the district:

A.

In approving or denying applications for certificates of appropriateness for new construction, the HRPB shall also, at a *minimum*, consider the following additional guidelines which help to define visual compatibility:

(1)

The height of proposed buildings shall be visually compatible in comparison to or in relationship with the height of adjacent buildings.

Referencing Kelly Christensen's Memorandum dated April 27, 2012 (Exhibit V) although she states the proposed construction meets regulation 23.03.03.00 of City of Lake Worth Municipal Zoning Code, we maintain that it is not compatible in comparison or in relationship with the height of the adjacent buildings in accordance with 23.27.05.09. In the interest of public policy the board should not approve the construction at its proposed height. 302 proposed construction is 32 ft. in height 306 is 16 ft. in height

230 is 17 ft. in height

(5)

The relationship of a building to open space between it and adjoining buildings shall be visually compatible with the relationship between buildings and structures within the district.

302 has to accommodate for the utility easement on the southern property line. This has resulted in uncentered placement of the construction closer to the northern property line. The prevailing construction in the district is construction centered within the lot...

(9)

Appurtenances of a building, such as walls, wrought iron, fences, evergreen, landscape masses and building facades, shall, if necessary, form cohesive walls of enclosures along a street to insure visual compatibility of the building to the buildings and places to which it is visually related.

302 will be enclosed by a solid wooden fence. Not compatible with the northern and southern adjacent properties.

(10)

The size and mass of a building in relation to open spaces, the windows, door openings, porches and balconies shall be visually compatible with the buildings and places to which it is visually related.

- 302 will be 4000 sqft on 150'x75' lot (15' width is utility easement)
- 306 is total of 1741 sqft (1348 under air) on 150'x 50' lot
- 230 is 1040 sqft. (1040 under air) 150'x75' lot (25' width is utility easement)
- 303 is a 2 story 2296 sqft (1,864 under air) 175'x50' lot
- 213 is a 2 story 1280 sqft (1280 under air) 175'x50' lot
- (11)

A <u>building shall be visually compatible with the buildings and places to which it</u> is visually related in its directional character: vertical, horizontal or nondirectional...

302 will be the tallest building on the street.








_

~

۰.

Planning, Zoning, and Historic Preservation 1900 2nd Avenue North - Lake Worth, Florida 33461 - Phone: 561-586-1687

	MEMORANDUM						
	DATE:	April 27, 2012 (DRAFT)					
	TO:	Chair and Members of the Historic Resources Preservation Board					
	FROM: Kelly M. Christensen, Preservation Planner Department of Community Sustainability						
	SÜBJECT: <u>HRPB Project Number 12-00100066</u> : Consideration of a Certificate of Appropriateness (CoA) for demolition of the subject property located 302 North Lakeside Drive ; PCN# 38-43-44-21-15-430-0010. The subject property is a vacant lot within the Old Lucerne Local Historic District.						
-	HRPB Meeting Date: May 9, 2012						
	The Board is to use the following criteria in making a determination:						
	A. What is the effect of the proposed work on the landmark or the property upon which such work is to be done?						
	Response: No	at applicable. The property is currently a vacant lot.					
X		B.) What is the relationship between such work and other structures on the landmark site or other property in the historic district?					
44	Response: The work proposed would have no adverse effect on any other contributing or non- contributing properties within the Old Lucerne Local Historic District.						
	C. To what extent will the historic, architectural, or archaeological significance, architectural style, design, arrangement, texture, materials and color of the landmark or the property be affected? Response: Not applicable. The property is currently a vacant lot.						
X	D) Would denial of a certificate of appropriateness deprive the property owner of reasonable beneficial use of his property? Response: No.						
	 E. Are the applicant's plans technically feasible and capable of being carried out within a reasonable time? Response: Yes. F. Do the plans satisfy the applicable portions of the general criteria contained in the United States Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation then in effect or as they may be revised from time to time? The current version of the Secretary's Guidelines provides as follows: Response: This section has been omitted, as it does not apply to wholly new construction. 						
Topics in the Tropics Information Line: 581-586-1791		is section has been omitted, as it does not apply to wholly new construction. City of Lake Blookh Standing Exhibit IV the Tropics Begin Standard Reworth arc					
	nie.						

Cîty Of Lake Worth Department for Community Sustainability Planning, Zoning and Historic Preservation Division 1900 Second Avenue North-Lake Worth, Florida 33461 • Phone: 561-586-1687



RE:	302 North Lakeside Drive
	Department for Community Sustainability
FROM:	Kelly M. Christensen, Preservation Planner
то:	Chair and Members of the Historic Resources Preservation Board
AGENDA DATE:	May 9, 2012
MEMORANDUM DATE:	April 27, 2012

TITLE: <u>HRPB Project Number 12-00100066</u>: Consideration of a Certificate of Appropriateness (CoA) for new construction on the subject property located **302 North Lakeside Drive**; PCN# 38-43-44-21-15-430-0010. The subject property is a vacant lot within the Old Lucerne Local Historic District.

BACKGROUND:

The application for a CoA is a request for construction of a new single-family residence on the vacant property located at 302 North Lakeside Drive. At the time the Old Lucerne Local and National Historic Districts were established, the lot was vacant. As a result, the property has a non-contributing designation.

The proposed residence is a two-story building with a contemporary 'tropical' style. Exterior finishes include stucco walls, aluminum impact windows with fixed or operable colonial and bahama shutters, glass block windows, a two-story open rear deck with white aluminum railings and concrete pilasters, and a standing seam metal roof. The site plan includes a driveway, walkway, and rear patio made of concrete pavers laid in a decorative pattern. The backyard will include a pool and fire pit. The entire rear yard will be enclosed with a fence, made alternately of wood and chain link fencing.

The proposed project meets the following development requirements, as per §23.03.03.00 of the City of Lake Worth Municipal Zoning Code for a residence within the Single Family Residential (SF-7) zoning district:

Minimum lot area: 5000 sq. feet Minimum lot width: 50' Building Height: 35' and not to exceed two (2) stories Setbacks: front=20', side=10% of total lot width, rear=5' Impermeable surface: 75%

Exhibit I

Kelly Christensen

From:	Thompson, Jeanne (DEP) [jeanne.thompson@state.ma.us]
Sent:	Wednesday, May 02, 2012 10:58 AM
To:	Kelly Christensen
Subject:	FW: HRPB - 302 N. Lakeside application for Certificate of Appropriateness
Categories:	Red Category, HRPB

Good Morning Kelly,

I was informed that you are the person who is putting together the packet for the HRPB to review regarding the application for the proposed house at 302 N. Lakeside Drive. This morning I mailed the original copy of my letter - along with a picture - to Mr. Manuel Occhiogrosso. Below is a copy of my letter regarding the proposed plans however the picture which shows the size, scale and style of my home is only contained in the letter sent in the mail. I included the picture of my home so that the Board can consider existing visual and aesthetic character and compatibility when reviewing building plans within the Old Lucerne Historic District. Please include the copy of my letter below when you prepare the packet for the Board.

Thank you for your assistance.

Jeanne L. Thompson

From: Thompson, Jeanne Sent: Wednesday, May 02, 2012 9:00 AM To: 'Gestalt Design' Subject: RE: HRPB - 302 N. Lakeside application for Certificate of Appropriateness

Mr. Occhiogrosso,

Below is a copy of my letter regarding proposed building plans for the vacant lot located at 302 North Lakeside Drive in Lake Worth. The original signed copy of the letter has been mailed to you along with a picture which shows the size, scale and style of my 1920's bungalow which is located diagonally across the street from the site.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Jeanne L. Thompson

Jeanne L. Thompson 4 High Street Haydenville, MA 01039

May 1, 2012

Manuel Occhiogrosso, Chair Historic Resources Preservation Board City of Lake Worth 1900 2nd Avenue North Owner of property at: 311 North Lakeside Drive Lake Worth, Florida 33460

Lake Worth, Florida 33461

Dear Mr. Occhiogrosso and Members of the Historic Resources Preservation Board:

I am writing to you to express my concern about the proposed plans of the owners of a vacant lot on the Golf Course side of North Lakeside Drive in Lake Worth, Florida to build a large two story, 4000 square foot home in the Old Lucerne Historic District. I own the property located at 311 North Lakeside Drive which is diagonally across the street from the site. My home is a one story Florida bungalow which was built in the 1920s. I was drawn to Lake Worth and the Old Lucerne Historic District because of the charming and simple old-style homes which have been preserved in this area. This historic area has a walk-able and neighborhood feel to it with views of the Golf Course green space and water views of the Intracoastal Waterway. Most of the homes in this area are small one-story homes with Old Florida style, authentic details and historic charm. The style and scale of the homes in relationship to the land allows for open space and views in-between and around the homes.

It is my understanding that the purpose of The Historic Resources Preservation Board is to protect existing visual and aesthetic character and to ensure compatibility and sensitive development of new construction within historic districts. I am urging you to view the proposed plans for this site with a critical eye toward the size, style and scale of the home. Additionally I urge you to ensure that there will be proper height restrictions and adequate set backs from the street and side lots to enable neighbors to continue to see the Intracoastal Waterway and the green space of the Golf Course as is currently possible with the smaller size and lower roof lines of most of the existing homes along North Lakeside Drive. I strongly urge you to limit building at this site to a one- story, small scale home which is the size and scale appropriate and compatible with the neighboring homes. If a two story home is going to be allowed I request that the Board require that the second floor design be of a smaller scale and stepped back in proportion to the first floor dimensions. Finally, I would urge the board to preserve the historic neighbor character of this area by limiting the use and height of any fencing proposed for this site.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. I appreciate your work in promoting and protecting the valuable historic resources we have in the Old Lucerne Historic District and the City of Lake Worth.

Very truly yours,

Jeanne L. Thompson

Ce: Lynda Mahoney, Chair of Planning and Zoning Board Andy Amoroso, Commissioner District 3 To Whom It May Concern,

At:

The Historical Resource Preservation Board

Recently, I received correspondence in my mailbox, from someone only referred to as a representative of "Help Preserve Our Neighborhood". It refers negatively, to the building of a new home, on the vacant lot at :

302 N. Lakeside Dr., Lake Worth, Fl., 33460

As a longtime homeowner in this neighborhood, I would like to give my most heartfelt recommendation;

" for your approval "

of this homebuilding project.

A vacant lot, with a construction company's sign, may help bring business to a relater's office next door, or a construction company, but I think this well designed home would be a much more preferable asset to our community.

Secondly, to have the Hulett Family, longtime South Florida residents, well established business owners and pinnacles of our society, establish homeownership in our neighborhood, would also certainly be an asset to any community.

I have conflicting events, which won't let me attend your meeting on August 8th, but I hope this letter will represent my desires.



Sincerely. John H. Kohsiek

John H. Kohstek 611 N. Lakeside Dr.

COPY

Judith Ann Just Attorney, P.A. 306 N. Lakeside Drive Lake Worth, Florida 33460 Tel. (561)547-0549 – Fax (561)214-4788

July 15, 2012

Andy Amoroso, Commissioner City of Lake Worth 7 N. Dixie Hwy. Lake Worth, FL 33460

Re: HRPB Project Number 12-00100066

Dear Mr. Amoroso:

Attached are copies of a) page 3 of the City of Lake Worth Building, Planning and Zoning Department Application for Certification of Appropriateness, b) a letter I received from the State of Florida Commission on Ethics regarding Wes Blackman's role as it relates to the above referenced HRPB matter and c) the relevant paragraph from CEO11-6.

Florida statutes 112.313(1), 112.313(7)(a), and CEO 11-6 confirm Mr. Blackman's personal employment and/or contractual relationships with the clients in this project, while serving on an advisory board which regulates the proposed construction project, constitutes a prohibited act.

Mr. Blackman's employment on this project has impeded his full and faithful discharge of his public duties. His subsequent recusal during the HRPB vote on the matter, after he has completed the purpose behind his personal employment contract on this project, is not sufficient.

As our district representative I urge you to intervene. Please request that Mr. Blackman immediately resign or be removed as a HRPB member and/or any city advisory board which regulates the referenced project, otherwise I will be compelled to file a complaint with the state's ethics commission.

Very truly yours





CITY OF LAKE WORTH BUILDING, PLANNING AND ZONING DEPARTMENT APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATION OF APPROPRIATENESS

If approved, a CA/COA is valid for 12 months from the date of approval. Project Name: Single Family Residence

Address or General Location:	302 North Lakeside Drive		
	Lake Worth, FL 33460		

PART ONE - APPLICANT INFORMATION:

APPLICANT

Name: Tim & Elizabeth Hulett

Address: 108 Bloomfield Drive

West Palm Beach, FL 33405

Telephone Number: 561-602-6241

AGENT

6

Name:	Brower Architectural Assoc.	&	Wes Blackman
 Address	350 S County Rd, Ste 207		241 Columbia Dr
	Palm Beach, FL 33480		Lake Worth, FL 33460
Telepho	ne Number: 561-659-1948		561 - 308-0364
OWNER	(if other than applicant)		
Name:	Hector Cabrera		
Address	PO Box 412		
	Lake Worth, FL 33460		
Telepho	ne Number:		

Applicant is: Owner [] Lessee [] Other Buyer

Susan Horovitz Maurer Chair Morgan R. Bentley Vice Chair Matthew F. Carlucci I. Martin Ford Jean M. Larsen Linda McKee Robison Edwin Scales, III Robert J. Sniffen Stanley M. Weston



State of Florida COMMISSION ON ETHICS P.O. Drawer 15709 Tallahassee, Florida 32317-5709

3600 Maclay Boulevard South, Suite 201 Tallahassee, Florida 32312

"A Public Office is a Public Trust"

Virlindia Doss Executive Director

C. Christopher Anderson, III General Counsel/ Deputy Executive Director

> (850) 488-7864 Phone (850) 488-3077 (FAX) www.ethics.state.fl.us

July 2, 2012

Ms. Judith Just 306 N. Lakeside Drove Lake Shore, FL 33460

Dear Ms. Just:

This is in response to your fax of June 27, in which you ask whether an appointed member of a historical district advisory board may "hire out his services" to assist a private party in seeking approval from the same advisory board for a proposed construction project.

Pursuant to Section 112.322(3), Florida Statutes, only the public officer or employee or candidate whose conduct is at issue has standing to request an opinion from the Commission on Ethics. Therefore, I cannot give you an opinion on whether any particular conduct would violate the Code of Ethics for Public Officers and Employees; I can only offer general information about the applicable statutes.

Section 112.313(1), Florida Statutes, provides:

DEFINITION.—As used in this section, unless the context otherwise requires, the term "public officer" includes any person elected or appointed to hold office in any agency, including any person serving on an advisory body.

Section 112.313(7)(a), Florida Statutes, provides:

CONFLICTING EMPLOYMENT OR CONTRACTUAL RELATIONSHIP.—No public officer or employee of an agency shall have or hold any employment or contractual relationship with any business entity or any agency which is subject to the regulation of, or is doing business with, an agency of which he or she is an officer or employee . . .; nor shall any officer or employee of an agency have or hold any employment or contractual relationship that will create a continuing or frequently recurring conflict between his or her private Ms. Judith Just July 2, 2012 Page 2

> interests and the performance of his or her public duties or that would impede the full and faithful discharge of his or her public duties.

This provision generally prohibits a public officer or employee from having a contractual or employment relationship with businesses doing business with, or regulated by, his agency. However, there are a number of exceptions to the law, and determining whether a business is regulated by or doing business with the official's agency requires a detailed review of the facts. The law also prohibits contractual relationships which would actually tempt the official to disregard his public responsibilities in favor of his private interests. Again the determination of whether that is the case requires a detailed review of the facts.

The Commission has a number of opinions related to employment or contractual relationships which you may find informative. I would direct you especially to CEO 11-6, and the opinions cited therein. The Commission's opinions are accessible at www.ethics.state.fl.us.

I hope you will find this information helpful.

Sincerely,

Vuluitir

Virlindia Doss Executive Director Florida Commission on Ethics

VAD/bd

CEO 11-06 - August 3, 2011

CONFLICT OF INTEREST; VOTING CONFLICT

CITY PLANNING AND ZONING BOARD MEMBER PLANNER AND LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT IN FIRM REPRESENTING CLIENTS IN DEVELOPMENT, PERMITTING, AND SIMILAR MATTERS

To: Mr. Keith Pelan (Vero Beach)

SUMMARY:

No prohibited conflict of interest under Section 112.313(7)(a), Florida Statutes, would be created were a city planning and zoning board member or his firm to work for a client on a matter that might, in the future, come before the board. Also, a prohibited conflict would not be created under the first part of Section 112.313(7)(a) if a client of the firm who has work performed under the professional licensure of the member to apply for action by the board. In such a situation, the member would hold employment or a contractual relationship with the client, a business entity, but the client would not be subject to the regulation of the board as prohibited by the statute. However, a prohibited conflict would be created were the member's firm to work on a matter for a client after the matter becomes a matter before the board, regardless of whether the member works on the matter for the client. Also, a prohibited conflict would be created were the member, or his firm, as developers and not for clients, to apply to the board or have a matter before the board and appear before the board. CEO <u>10-24</u>, CEO <u>08-8</u>, CEO <u>96-1</u>, CEO <u>94-37</u>, CEO <u>93-36</u>, CEO <u>88-40</u>, CEO <u>87-39</u>, CEO <u>81-20</u>, CEO <u>78-86</u>, and CEO <u>77-126</u> are referenced.¹

QUESTION 1:

Would a prohibited conflict of interest be created were you or your firm to work for a client on a matter that might, in the future, come before the city planning and zoning board of which you are a member?

This question is answered in the negative.²

By your letter of inquiry, we are advised that recently you were appointed to the Planning and Zoning Board (PZB) of the City of Vero Beach.³ In addition, you advise that PZB responsibilities include site plan approval for projects of a certain size, based on size criteria established in the City's land development code (with site plan approvals being final at the PZB level unless they are appealed to the City Council); authority to approve conditional use requests for various land uses and authority to grant special exception requests for reduction of parking requirements (with conditional use approvals and special exception approvals being final at the PZB level unless appealed to the City Council); and review of proposed amendments to the City's land development regulations and its comprehensive plan (with this review being advisory to the City Council). Further, you advise that within approximately the next few months, the City Council intends to charge the PZB with the duties of a board of adjustment, thereby adding general review of variance applications and other technical appeals of City Manager decisions to the PZB's duties (with such decisions of the PZB being final, unless appealed to the City Council).

Also, you advise that you are a planner and a licensed landscape architect in a multi-discipline firm which provides professional services to developers and others, including landscape architecture services, planning services, civil engineering services, land surveying services, and environmental design and permitting services. Additionally, you advise that your firm often is retained by clients to provide such services in regard to different types of particular land development projects, or in regard to representing clients in what you describe as "legislative matters," such as ordinance amendments, rezonings, and comprehensive plan amendments. Further, you advise that in most situations your firm is one of a number of different consultants that may be serving the client on a project, with the consultants other than your firm providing services such as architectural services, legal services, or other engineering services (e.g., structural or mechanical engineering services).

In addition, you advise that the typical process of a design project includes initial site investigations, preliminary design, and possible pre-application meetings with various permitting agencies, including the City. Also, you advise that your firm may provide many months of various design and permitting services for the client before any type of formal application would be submitted to the City or would reach the level of PZB consideration, that the nature of land development projects is that they are constantly changing, and that many times clients may terminate projects, for reasons of financial feasibility or permitting, prior to the projects ever reaching consideration by the PZB.

Section 112.313(7)(a), Florida Statutes, $\frac{4}{3}$ is relevant to your inquiry; it provides:

CONFLICTING EMPLOYMENT OR CONTRACTUAL RELATIONSHIP. - No public officer or employee of an agency shall have or hold any employment or contractual relationship with any business entity or any agency which is subject to the regulation of, or is doing business with, an agency of which he or she is an officer or employee . . .; nor shall an officer or employee of an agency have or hold any employment or contractual relationship that will create a continuing or frequently recurring conflict between his or her private interests and the performance of his or her public duties or that would impede the full and faithful discharge of his or her public duties.

Section 112.313(7)(a) has two parts. The first part prohibits a public officer (e.g., a planning and zoning board member) from having or holding employment or a contractual relationship with another public agency or with a business entity if the agency or entity is subject to the regulation of or is doing business with the officer's public agency. The second part prohibits a public officer from having or holding any employment or any contractual relationship that would create a continuing or frequently recurring conflict between the officer's private interests and the performance of the officer's public duties or that would impede the full and faithful discharge of the officer's public duties. However, in order for a prohibited conflict to exist under either part of the statute, there must be an adequate intersection of the private interests of the officer and the functions, duties, or subject matter of his public agency.

In the instant situation, we find such an intersection would not exist, and, thus, that no prohibited conflict would be created for you, were your firm merely to work for a client on a matter that might, in the future, come before the PZB. See, for example, CEO <u>81-84</u>, in which we said:

As a general rule, we do not believe that every person whose private employment involves real estate sales, land development, or contracting should be prohibited from serving on a planning commission. Local communities should not be deprived of the opportunity either to appoint to their planning commissions persons with substantial knowledge or expertise in the area of land development or to appoint persons reflecting the entire spectrum of opinions regarding development. It is only in situations where the member's private interests are substantial and would be substantially affected by the commission's work, that he should not be permitted to serve on the planning commission.

Question 1 is answered accordingly.

QUESTION 2:

When, or under what circumstances, would your firm's work for a client intersect with the functions or subject matter of the PZB such that a prohibited conflict of interest would be created for you under Section 112.313(7)(a)?

This question is answered as set forth below.

As stated earlier, the first part of the statute is triggered when a public officer holds employment or a contractual relationship with a business entity which is subject to the regulation of the officer's public agency. Thus, in order for the prohibition of the first part to apply, you personally, not just your firm or another member of your firm, must hold employment or a contractual relationship with a particular client,⁵ and the client must be "regulated"⁶ by the PZB,⁷ your "agency."

Regarding the issue of which clients of your firm you would hold employment or a contractual relationship with, we do not find that you would hold such with all clients of your firm merely because they are the firm's clients. Rather, we find your situation to be analogous to that of, for example, a licensed insurance agent. That is, we find that you would hold employment or a contractual relationship with your firm and with any client of your firm who has work performed under professional licensure personal to you. See, for example, CEO <u>94-37</u> (city councilman officer, director, stockholder, and employee of insurance agency clients of which do business with city); and see CEO <u>08-8</u> (county commissioner engineer doing business in county).⁸/₄ Thus, we find that you would hold employment or a contractual relationship with any client of your firm who has work handled under licensure personal to you.⁹/₄

Next, under the first part of the statute, the issue is whether, and when, a given client of your firm who has work performed under your licensure is/becomes regulated by the PZB such that the prohibition would apply.

We find that were a client of yours to apply for or receive a variance, approval, or similar action from the PZB, that such application or receipt would not trigger applicability of the first part of the statute to you. Rather, we find, under the particular situation presented in your inquiry, that it is appropriate to apply Section 112.316, Florida Statutes,¹⁰ as we did in CEO <u>77-126</u>. In CEO <u>77-126</u>, which presented a situation in which the functions of a city planning board included amendment of the city's master development plan, submittal of proposed changes to the city's zoning plan, approval of deviations from the plan, approval of changes of use of property, and approval of proposed construction, we relied on Section 112.316 to determine that while clients of an architect member of the board might have a need to access the functions of the board, as might other landowners in the city, that such "incidental or passive regulation" should not create a prohibited conflict for the member under the first part of Section 112.313(7)(a). Rather, we found that such situations more properly fell within the provisions of the voting conflicts law, Section 112.3143, Florida Statutes, in essence finding that what could commonly be seen as "regulation" was not the type of government activity encompassed by the statute. Thus, for purposes of the first part of Section 112.313(7)(a), we find that the functions of the PZB do not constitute regulation supportive of a prohibited conflict.

Nevertheless, the discussion above does not end our inquiry, inasmuch as Section 112.313(7)(a) contains a second part, a part embodying prohibitions not dependent on "regulation" or professional/client privity. Rather, the second part prohibits a public officer from having or holding any

employment or any contractual relationship, if it logically, or in reality, would create a substantial conflict or would impede the performance of public duty. In particular, we have found the second part applicable when a public officer, or other members of his professional firm, represented clients before the officer's public board. See, for example, CEO 77-126 (member of city planning board privately representing as architect clients before the board), CEO 78-86 (board of adjustment member occasionally representing clients before board of adjustment), CEO 88-40 (city council member or partners of his law firm representing clients before city council), and CEO 96-1 (law firm of which electric authority member is "of counsel" representing clients before authority). Further, we have found that such a conflict does not evaporate, in a situation where the firm of a public officer does work for the client on the matter, merely because the firm does not go on to appear for the client before the officer's assembled public board. See CEO 10-24 (Question 1). In essence, we have found that a prohibited conflict of interest is created under the second part of the statute when a public officer's firm does work on a matter that is before his public board. Thus, in your situation, we find that a prohibited conflict would be created were your firm to work on a matter for a client after the matter becomes (through original filing, "appeal" from City staff decisionmaking, or another mechanism) a matter before the PZB, even if a firm unrelated to your firm represents the client before the PZB; but we find that no such conflict would be created were your firm to terminate its relationship with the client on the matter before the matter becomes a PZB matter. See CEO 10-24 (Questions 1 and 3).¹¹

Question 2 is answered accordingly.

QUESTION 3:

Would a prohibited conflict of interest be created under Section 112.313(7)(a) were you, outside of involvement with your firm, or your firm to seek (as a developer/owner and not for a client) to develop property in the City requiring site plan approval or other approval by the PZB?

Question 3 is answered in the affirmative, if you or a member of your firm were to appear before the PZB.

While, under the reasoning of Question 2 above, such a situation would not create a prohibited conflict of interest for you under the first part of Section 112.313(7)(a), we find that it would be conflicting under the second part of the statute, if you or a member of your firm were to appear before the PZB.¹²

Question 3 is answered accordingly.

QUESTION 4:

Will you be presented with a voting/participation conflict of interest under Sections 112.3143(3)(a) and 112.3143(4), Florida Statutes, regarding measures/matters/votes of the PZB concerning clients of your firm?

Question 4 is answered in the affirmative. $\frac{13}{12}$

ORDERED by the State of Florida Commission on Ethics meeting in public session on July 29, 2011 and **RENDERED** this 3rd day of August, 2011.

Robert J. Sniffen, Chairman

¹¹¹Prior opinions of the Commission on Ethics may be obtained from its website (<u>www.ethics.state.fl.us</u>) or may be obtained directly from the Commission.

^[2]Herein, we have restated and renumbered the questions presented in your letter of inquiry. Our intent in so doing is not to alter the substance of your inquiries; rather, our intent is to provide more complete advice to you. Nevertheless, should additional questions need our or our staff's attention in the future, please feel free to contact us further.

^[3]You describe PZB seats, including your seat, as "non-designated," meaning that one is not required to have a particular expertise or a particular affiliation in order to qualify for appointment.

^[4]Section 112.313(7)(b), Florida Statutes, which can negate an otherwise prohibited conflict under Section 112.313(7)(a), due to a law's or ordinance's designation of certain specific professional or similar characteristics required to hold a position, apparently is not relevant to your inquiry, inasmuch as you advise that PZB seats are not so designated. Section 112.313(7)(b) provides:

This subsection shall not prohibit a public officer or employee from practicing in a particular profession or occupation when such practice by persons holding such public office or employment is required or permitted by law or ordinance.

^[5]It appears likely that many, if not all, clients of your firm would be "business entities," defined in Section 112.312(5), Florida Statutes, to mean

any corporation, partnership, limited partnership, proprietorship, firm, enterprise, franchise, association, self-employed individual, or trust, whether fictitiously named or not, doing business in this state.

^[6]The "doing business" prong of the first part is not at issue in your inquiry, inasmuch as the scenario you present does not indicate that you, your firm, or its clients would be selling services to the PZB or otherwise would be engaged in similar activity vis-a-vis the City.

^[7]We find that the PZB, and not the City Council, is your agency. See CEO <u>87-39</u> ("agency" of town planning and zoning board member), and see Section 112.312(2), Florida Statutes, which provides:

'Agency' means any state, regional, county, local, or municipal government entity of this state, whether executive, judicial, or legislative; any department, division, bureau, commission, authority, or political subdivision of this state therein; or any public school, community college, or state university.

^[8]Note that we have found that the employment or contractual relationship is anchored in a public officer's licensed work for a client, not in whether the work is in relation to a matter of the officer's public agency. That is, we find that if you perform work under your licensure for a client of your firm, then you have employment or a contractual relationship with that client.

^[9]Apparently, this would include your landscape architecture work for a client, but not your planner work.

^[10]Section 112.316 provides:

CONSTRUCTION.- It is not the intent of this part, nor shall it be construed, to prevent any officer or employee of a state agency or county, city, or other political subdivision of the state or any legislator or legislative employee from accepting other employment or following any pursuit which does not interfere with the full and faithful discharge by such officer, employee, legislator, or legislative employee of his or her duties to the state or the county, city, or other political subdivision of the state involved. ^[11]Our answers herein are not dependent on whether a PZB matter is quasi-judicial or not. Also, abstention from voting and compliance with the voting conflicts law, Sections 112.3143(3)(a) and 112.3143(4), Florida Statutes, as to PZB matters concerning your firm or its clients, while paramount under the voting conflicts law itself, is not relevant to our analysis herein concerning Section 112.313(7)(a); see, for example, CEO <u>94-5</u>, in which we found that Section 112.313(7)(a) operates independently of the voting conflicts law of Section 112.3143, Florida Statutes.

^[12]We find that your situation is distinguishable from that of CEO <u>81-20</u> (city building officials developing property located within city), in which we found that a prohibited conflict under Section 112.313(7)(a) would be obviated if building inspection services were obtained from another (the county's) building department. In your situation, there is no alternative, or substitute, PZB. And, we find your situation to be distinguishable from that of CEO <u>93-36</u> (school board member representing another member before property value adjustment board), in which, after finding that the member would be prohibited from representing the other member, we observed that [n]o public officer or employee is prohibited from appearing before any board in an individual capacity as a private citizen, representing his or her own personal interests. However, in your situation, the interests include those of a commercial, development nature, engaged in by natural and legal persons other than yourself in your individual capacity as a private citizen.

^[13]Given our answers earlier in this opinion, the voting conflicts law should only be of actual relevance regarding PZB matters of your firm's clients in which your firm is not working on the PZB matter for the client when the matter is filed with, or is otherwise before, the PZB; otherwise, Section 112.313(7)(a) also would be implicated.

CEO 10-24 – December 8, 2010

CITY ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION MEMBER PARTNER IN LAW FIRM WITH CLIENTS INTERACTING WITH COMMISSION

To: Jon Phillips, Ethics Counsel, City of Jacksonville

SUMMARY:

A person who is an attorney in a law firm would not have a prohibited conflict of interest under Section 112.313(7)(a), Florida Statutes, were he to become a member of a city's economic development commission, provided that his firm does not work on a client's application for an incentive from the commission; a prohibited conflict under Section 112.313(7)(a) would not be created were a client of the firm to apply to a subordinate board of the commission for a permit, provided a denial of the application by the subordinate board is not appealed to the commission and provided that the firm does not work on the permit application to the subordinate board; and a prohibited conflict would not be created were a client to apply to the subordinate board for a zoning waiver or variance, provided the firm does not work on the waiver/variance matter for the client. In addition, we find that the commission is not an "advisory board" for purposes of Section 112.313(12), Florida Statutes. Also, the member must comply with Section 112.3143, Florida Statutes (the voting conflicts law), regarding votes/measures/matters of the commission concerning himself, his firm, his firm's clients, and certain others, whether or not his firm works on the matter for the client. CEO 74-8, CEO 77-178, CEO 79-82, CEO 80-79, CEO 86-13, CEO 86-24, CEO 96-1, CEO 03-7, CEO 04-6, CEO 06-24, CEO 07-11, CEO 08-1, CEO 08-11, and CEO 10-20 are referenced, and CEO 87-60, CEO 89-29, and CEO 07-13 are distinguished.¹

QUESTION 1:

Would a prohibited conflict of interest be created were a client of a law firm of a member of a city's economic development commission to apply to the commission for or receive from the commission a waiver of part of the client's property taxes or other similar economic incentive?

Question 1 is answered in the negative, unless the firm provides work for the client regarding the incentive application.

By your letter of inquiry and additional information subsequently provided to our staff, we are advised that George Gabel (member) is considered to be a well-suited, possible appointment to the Jacksonville Economic Development Commission (JEDC), due to his partnership in a large, interstate, international law firm and other affiliations.² Further, we are advised that the JEDC is the economic development agency and the community redevelopment agency (CRA) for the City³ and that its primary objectives are to recruit and expand higher-wage opportunities, to increase the growth and expansion of small businesses, to promote private capital investment in the City, to promote and leverage investment in economically-distressed areas, and to promote a healthy and vibrant downtown.⁴

Also, we are advised that one of the law firm's clients is a large, publicly-traded corporation, important to the City's overall economic health, which in the past applied for JEDC-related "incentives,"

such as a waiver of part of the corporation's property taxes for some portion of its property. Such a tax waiver, you advise, can be granted to businesses as part of either a City or State incentive program to keep large employers in the City. More particularly, you advise that applications for incentives are evaluated by JEDC staff and, if approved, are presented by the staff to the JEDC board (commissioners), but that JEDC board votes (decisions) on applications are advisory, with the final decision resting with the City Council.⁵ Additionally, you advise that another lawyer of the member's firm performs work for the corporation, but that the member personally does not, and that, in the past, the corporation also has retained the services of a non-lawyer, salaried employee of the firm (a former City Council member) for the purpose of providing political advice and guidance in applying to the JEDC for incentives. And we are advised that the member would recuse himself from voting on or participating in measures or matters of the JEDC involving any client of the firm.

Thus, pursuant to the foregoing information and history, you inquire whether, should the member be appointed to the JEDC, he would have a prohibited conflict of interest, were the corporation or another client of his firm to apply for such an incentive.

Relevant to this question, is Section 112.313(7)(a), Florida Statutes, $\frac{6}{2}$ which provides:

CONFLICTING EMPLOYMENT OR CONTRACTUAL RELATIONSHIP.—No public officer or employee of an agency shall have or hold any employment or contractual relationship with any business entity or any agency which is subject to the regulation of, or is doing business with, an agency of which he or she is an officer or employee . . .; nor shall an officer or employee of an agency have or hold any employment or contractual relationship that will create a continuing or frequently recurring conflict between his or her private interests and the performance of his or her public duties or that would impede the full and faithful discharge of his or her public duties.

Under the first part of Section 112.313(7)(a), a prohibited conflict of interest exists where a public officer (e.g., a city board member) holds employment or a contractual relationship with a business entity (e.g., a corporation) which is subject to the regulation of, or which is doing business with, the officer's public agency. Under the second part of the statute, a prohibited conflict exists where the officer holds any employment or contractual relationship, if the employment/contractual relationship will create a continuing or frequently recurring conflict between the officer's private interests and the performance of his public duties or if it would impede the full and faithful discharge of his public duties.

In the instant situation, we find that the member would hold employment or a contractual relationship with the corporation (or with any other client of his law firm which might seek to receive a JEDC-based waiver of taxes or similar incentive), regardless of whether the member personally works on the client's matters. See, for example, CEO <u>80-79</u> and CEO <u>10-20</u>, in which we found, recognizing pertinent caselaw, that an attorney in a law firm (other than an "of counsel" attorney) has employment or a contractual relationship with all clients of the firm. Further, we find that the corporation (and likely any other client of the firm which would seek an incentive) would be a "business entity" under the applicable statutory definition of Section 112.312(5), Florida Statutes, which includes ". . . any corporation . . . doing business in this state."

However, we do not find that the corporation or other client of the firm would, by virtue of seeking or obtaining a waiver of taxes or similar incentive, be either regulated by or doing business with the JEDC or with the City. In order for "regulation" to exist, we have found that a business's operations

or modes of doing business must be subject to the control or authority of a public agency. CEO <u>74-8</u>. Here, such control or authority is lacking; rather, the instant situation (granting of a partial property tax waiver—incentive) is analogous to that of CEO <u>04-6</u>, in which we did not find "regulation" by a city council of a private economic development entity to exist where the city council appropriated funds for the entity's use. Also, we have not found "regulation" where the government role was annexation (CEO <u>03-7</u>) or where the government role was occupational tax licensing for revenue purposes (CEO <u>79-82</u>). Further, we do not find that the corporation or other client of the member's firm would be "doing business with" the JEDC by virtue of the tax waiver or similar incentive. We have found "doing business" to exist where the parties have entered into a lease, contract, or other type of arrangement where one party would have a cause of action against the other in the event of a breach or default. CEO <u>86-24</u> and CEO <u>07-11</u>. Assuming arguendo that the JEDC would be a "party" to a granting of a tax waiver or similar incentive by the City Council, nothing presented in your inquiry indicates that a potentially actionable arrangement would result from the waiver. Rather, we view the waiver of taxes as analogous to the appropriation of city funds to the economic development entity in CEO <u>04-6</u> (a situation in which we found neither "regulation" nor "doing business" to exist).

Regarding the second part of the statute, we find that a prohibited conflict also would not be created for the member, provided that his firm does not represent the client in the client's JEDC incentive matter. We have found the second part to prohibit a public officer, or other members of his professional firm, from representing clients before the officer's public board (see, among others, CEO <u>96-1</u> and opinions cited therein). And while opinions of ours can be read to emphasize that such a prohibited conflict is based in the appearance of the public officer or a member of his private firm before the assembled public board, our decisions also recognize that such a prohibited conflict is grounded in the impediment to the objective performance of one's public duty occasioned by his firm's representation of its client intersecting the functions of the public board on which he sits, even if the officer's work for his firm does not involve advising the firm or its clients about matters of his public board. See CEO <u>96-1</u> (Question 1), an opinion concerning a member of the Jacksonville Electric Authority (JEA) who had a "special counsel" or an "of counsel" relationship with a law firm which represented bond underwriters on JEA bond issues, in which we stated:

Therefore, we find that, because of the Board member's close, regular and continuing relationship with the law firm and duty of loyalty to the clients of the law firm, a prohibited conflict of interest under the second part of Section 112.313(7)(a) would exist when the law firm appears before the JEA on behalf of a client, notwithstanding that the Board member's special counsel position does not entail advising the firm about JEA bond issues, projects, or contracts. An impediment to public duties could exist for the Board member to favor the law firm or the client (his private interests) and to disregard his public duty to act independently and impartially in the best interests of the JEA, when the firm's representation of the client involves the JEA. [Emphasis supplied.]

Regarding the instant situation, there is no doubt that the member, as a partner in the firm, would have a close, regular, and continuing relationship with his law firm and a duty of loyalty to the firm's clients seeking JEDC incentives via the firm's efforts. And we find that such a reality would create the "wearing of the second hat" or the "inability to simultaneously serve two masters in relation to the same subject," which is the essence of a prohibited conflict under the statute. Zerweck v. State Commission on Ethics, 409 So. 2d 57 (Fla. 4th DCA 1982). In other words, we are not persuaded that there is a meaningful difference under the second part of the statute between a situation in which a public board member's law firm undertakes representation of a client in a matter involving application to the member's public board, but stops short of appearing before the assembled board, and a situation where

the firm goes on to appear before the assembled board, especially where the firm might communicate with staff of the board, prepare or strategize applications or paperwork submitted directly to the board or to its staff, and/or attend board meetings to be available for questions. Further, we find that the instant situation is distinguishable from that of CEO $\underline{89-29}$, in which we found that a city commission member's employment as executive director of a chamber of commerce lobbying the city commission was not conflicting if her chamber role was devoid of lobbying the city commission and devoid of chamber activities encompassing lobbying the city commission. Contrastingly, the current scenario would involve, were the firm to work on the incentive matter for its client, a licensed person (attorney) bound by professional duties to a client of his firm and would involve his firm's working for the client on a specific matter which simultaneously is a matter of his public board; it is not a situation involving an unlicensed person working generally for an umbrella organization of businesses.

Accordingly, we find that a prohibited conflict of interest would not be created for the member under Section 112.313(7)(a), Florida Statutes, were a client of his law firm to seek a JEDC incentive, provided the firm does not work on the incentive, including but not limited to not appearing for the client before the JEDC board.

QUESTION 2:

Is the JEDC an "advisory board" such that a conflict, if any were present, under Section 112.313(7)(a), Florida Statutes, is susceptible to waiver under Section 112.313(12), Florida Statutes?

Question 2 is answered in the negative.

Section 112.313(12) provides,⁷ in relevant part:

(12) EXEMPTION.—The requirements of subsections (3) and (7) as they pertain to persons serving on advisory boards may be waived in a particular instance by the body which appointed the person to the advisory board, upon a full disclosure of the transaction or relationship to the appointing body prior to the waiver and an affirmative vote in favor of waiver by two-thirds vote of that body. In instances in which appointment to the advisory board is made by an individual, waiver may be affected, after public hearing, by a determination by the appointing person and full disclosure of the transaction or relationship by the appointee to the appointing person.

Section 112.312(1), Florida Statutes, defines "advisory body" as follows:

'Advisory body' means any board, commission, committee, council, or authority, however selected, whose total budget, appropriations, or authorized expenditures constitute less than 1 percent of the budget of each agency it serves or \$100,000, whichever is less, and whose powers, jurisdiction, and authority are solely advisory and do not include the final determination or adjudication of any personal or property rights, duties, or obligations, other than those relating to its internal operations.

However, for purposes of waiver under Section 112.313(12), we have found that the Legislature intended this exemption to apply to boards (in a generic sense) whose powers

and authority are advisory, without regard to the size of a board's budget. See CEO $\frac{77-178}{178}$.

We find that the JEDC is not an "advisory board" and thus that waiver, if waiver were needed, is not available. Notwithstanding that we found in CEO <u>87-60</u> that a predecessor entity of the JEDC [the Economic Development Council of the City of Jacksonville (EDCCJ)] was advisory and, thus, that waiver was available, we find that the JEDC is different. The entity at issue in CEO <u>87-60</u> was created by executive order of the Mayor and operated through ad hoc committees. In contrast, the JEDC's existence is more "institutionalized" via provisions of the City Charter, and its powers and duties do not appear to us to be merely advisory in nature, especially given the fact that it functions, inter alia, as the community redevelopment agency (CRA) for the City and given the fact that it has combined powers of several predecessor entities.⁸ City Charter provisions provide, in part:

There is created and established an autonomous body within the office of the mayor which shall be known as the Jacksonville Economic Development Commission. The commission is designated as the sole community redevelopment agency for the city according to part III, chapter 163, Florida Statutes. The commission shall serve as the city's only countywide industrial development authority with authority over all economic development functions within the City of Jacksonville. [Section 24.01, Jacksonville, Florida, Code of Ordinances.]

The commission shall operate with all the powers and authority of a community redevelopment agency under part III, chapter 163, Florida Statutes, as an industrial development authority under part III, chapter 159, Florida Statutes, and is authorized to function throughout all of the territorial limits of the City of Jacksonville, Florida, as its only community redevelopment agency and only industrial development authority. As the city's sole community redevelopment agency, the commission shall be successor to and hereafter exercise all authority, power and responsibility which has heretofore been vested by resolution or ordinance of the council in either the Jacksonville Downtown Development Authority or the Jacksonville International Airport Community Redevelopment Authority. While it is intended that the commission shall have city-wide authority as both the city's industrial development authority and community redevelopment agency, the commission must secure council approval consistent with those powers and duties specifically retained by the council in Section 30.705 of the code and as required by part III of chapter 159 and part III of chapter 163, Florida Statutes. [Section 24.07, Jacksonville, Florida, Code of Ordinances.]

Further, Chapter 163, Florida Statutes, itself supports the view that a CRA is not just advisory,⁹ providing in part:

Upon a finding of necessity as set forth in s. 163.355, and upon a further finding that there is a need for a community redevelopment agency to function in the county or municipality to carry out the community redevelopment purposes of this part, a county or municipality may create a public body corporate and politic to be known as a 'community redevelopment agency' [Section 163.356(1), Florida Statutes.]

.... the members of the agency ... constitute the head of a legal entity, separate, distinct, and independent from the governing body of the county or municipality [Section 163.357(1)(b), Florida Statutes.]

Accordingly, we find that the JEDC is not an advisory board regarding which waiver, if waiver were needed, is available under Section 112.313(12), Florida Statutes¹⁰.

QUESTION 3:

Would a prohibited conflict be created were a client of the member's law firm to apply to a subordinate board of the JEDC for a permit?

Question 3 is answered in the negative, provided that there is no appeal to the JEDC of the application decision of the subordinate board and provided that the firm does not provide work for the client regarding the application to the subordinate board.

In addition to the information set forth above, you advise that the Downtown Development Review Board (DDRB) is a subordinate board of the JEDC, that the DDRB has some permitting and zoning functions limited to the downtown area of the City (e.g., operating a sidewalk café downtown would require a permit from the DDRB), and that the permitting functions of the DDRB are "carried out entirely by its staff." Further, you advise that an appeal of a denied permit application could go from the DDRB to the JEDC board (the board the member would hold a seat on), but that such an appeal is "theoretical," in that it only has happened once in several years and in that it is not anticipated that any of the firm's clients would have any reason to apply for a DDRB permit or any reason to appeal denial of a permit to the JEDC. Additionally, you advise that any vote by the JEDC on an appeal would be advisory only, with the final decision on the matter resting with the City Council (under a de novo standard of review by the Council with no presumption of correctness attaching to the JEDC's handling of the matter).

Again, Section 112.313(7)(a), Florida Statutes, quoted above, is relevant.

Concerning permits from the DDRB, for example, to operate a sidewalk café, were we to consider Section 112.313(7)(a) in isolation, we could find that a prohibited conflict would be created for the member under the first part of the statute were a client of his firm to apply for or receive such a permit. In this circumstance, the member (a public officer) would hold a contractual relationship with the client (a business entity operating the café), and the client would be subject to the regulation of the JEDC (via the functioning of its subordinate board, the DDRB). See CEO 08-1, recognizing that a city's board of adjustment regulated a developer via the board's ability to grant conditional uses and variances sought by the developer, a situation analogous to the ability to grant a café permit. 11

However, we believe it is not appropriate to consider Section 112.313(7)(a) in isolation regarding this Question, but, rather, to consider the statute in conjunction with Section 112.316, Florida Statutes, also a part of the Code of Ethics, which provides:

CONSTRUCTION.—It is not the intent of this part, nor shall it be construed, to prevent any officer or employee of a state agency or county, city, or other political subdivision of the state or any legislator or legislative employee from accepting other employment or following any pursuit which does not interfere with the full and faithful discharge by such officer, employee, legislator, or legislative employee of his or her duties to the state or the county, city, or other political subdivision of the state involved.

Unless there is an appeal of a client of the firm's subordinate board matter to the JEDC, or unless the firm does work for the client on the subordinate board matter, we find that it is appropriate to find, in regard to this Question, that Section 112.316 applies to negate the conflict, given the separation, in the main, between the functions of the JEDC and the functions of its subordinate board regarding such permits.

Question 3 is answered accordingly.

QUESTION 4:

Would a prohibited conflict be created were a client of the member's firm to apply to the DDRB for a zoning waiver or variance?

Under the circumstances presented and the conditions noted herein, Question 4 is answered in the negative.

Further, we are advised that the DDRB also functions similar to the City Planning Commission within the geographical area of the DDRB, in that, for example, DDRB staff handle applications for zoning waivers and variances, but that appeals from DDRB zoning variance or waiver decisions go straight to the City Council (for de novo review) and that they do not go to the JEDC.

Section 112.313(7)(a) is relevant. We find that a prohibited conflict would not be created under the first part of the statute were a client of the member's firm to seek or obtain a zoning waiver or variance from the DDRB. Notwithstanding the sharing of the permitting function by the DDRB and the JEDC (see Question 3, above), the scenario presented does not indicate that the JEDC has any function regarding zoning waivers and variances. Thus, we find no prohibited conflict under the first part of the statute, relying on Section 112.316, Florida Statutes, due to the JEDC's lack of an actual role regarding waivers and variances. Again, Section 112.316 provides:

> CONSTRUCTION.—It is not the intent of this part, nor shall it be construed, to prevent any officer or employee of a state agency or county, city, or other political subdivision of the state or any legislator or legislative employee from accepting other employment or following any pursuit which does not interfere with the full and faithful discharge by such officer, employee, legislator, or legislative employee of his or her duties to the state or the county, city, or other political subdivision of the state involved.

Under the second part of Section 112.313(7)(a), we also find no prohibited conflict, provided that the firm does not provide work for the client regarding the zoning waiver/variance¹².

Question 4 is answered accordingly. $\frac{13}{13}$

ORDERED by the State of Florida Commission on Ethics meeting in public session on December 3, 2010 and **RENDERED** this 8th day of December, 2010.

Roy Rogers, Chairman

¹¹Prior opinions of the Commission on Ethics may be obtained from its website (www.ethics.state.fl.us) or may be obtained directly from the Commission.

^[2]We are advised that the law firm represents a variety of business entities and has more than one hundred practice areas; that the member is active with the local Chamber of Commerce in the promotion of the City's business opportunities to national and multinational corporations; and that, as a City native, the member has a keen interest in attracting appropriate businesses to locate and stay in the City.

^[3]We are advised that the JEDC has a budget of around ten million dollars and a salaried staff of seventeen employees, but that its members (commissioners), who are appointed by the Mayor with City Council approval, serve in a volunteer, unpaid capacity. In addition, we are advised that the commissioners have no authority to hire or fire JEDC staff, that the commissioners serve in an advisory capacity with respect to certain contracts, but that all JEDC-related contracts must be approved by the City Council in order to take effect, resulting in a situation in which the JEDC board has the ability to give advice to the City Council about expenditures of public funds but has no authority to spend money without Council approval.

^[4]You advise that the JEDC exists to help promote overall economic health throughout Duval County, that it oversees the administration of local and State incentives to businesses, the redevelopment of an airfield, and the administration of sports and entertainment programs. Thus, you maintain, "[t]here is . . . complete unity between the City's and [the member's] business goals."

^[5]You advise that, in the past, there have been occasions where an attorney of the member's firm or a non-lawyer of the member's firm communicated, either telephonically or in-person, with JEDC staff regarding a proposed incentive; that such communications were not adversarial; and that, generally, no person from the firm appeared in front of the JEDC at a board meeting, although there were occasions where someone from the firm was in attendance at a board meeting and was available to answer any questions from the board.

^[6]Section 112.313(3), Florida Statutes, is not in need of treatment by us, inasmuch as a tax waiver or similar "incentive" to the corporation or another client of the member's firm would not amount to the City or any agency of the City purchasing, renting, or leasing any realty, goods, or services from the corporation or other client, and would not constitute a rental, lease, or sale from any person or entity to the City or an agency of the City. Rather, private entities would be obtaining the incentive from the City. However, should the member be appointed to the JEDC and if, in the future, the substance or character of a particular "incentive" sought by the corporation or another of the firm's clients includes provision of some realty interest, goods, or services to the City, you, or the member, should contact us or our staff for further advice regarding Section 112.313(3). The statute provides:

DOING BUSINESS WITH ONE'S AGENCY.—No employee of an agency acting in his or her official capacity as a purchasing agent, or public officer acting in his or her official capacity, shall either directly or indirectly purchase, rent, or lease any realty, goods, or services for his or her own agency from any business entity of which the officer or employee or the officer's or employee's spouse or child is an officer, partner, director, or proprietor or in which such officer or employee or the officer's or employee's spouse or child, or any combination of them, has a material interest. Nor shall a public officer or employee, acting in a private capacity, rent, lease, or sell any realty, goods, or services to the officer's or employee's own agency, if he or she is a state officer or employee, or to any political subdivision. The foregoing shall not apply to district offices maintained by legislators when such offices are located in the legislator. This subsection shall not affect or be construed to prohibit contracts entered into prior to: (a) October 1, 1975. (b) Qualification for elective office. (c) Appointment to public office. (d) Beginning public employment. [Section 112.313(3), Florida Statutes.]

 $\frac{[7]}{CE}$ Form 4A is used when waiver is applicable.

^[8] We are advised that in 1997, the EDCCJ was folded into the new JEDC, along with the Jacksonville International Airport Community Redevelopment Authority, with this change also replacing the Downtown Development Authority, which was reconstituted as an advisory board to the JEDC; and that the Cecil Field Development Commission, too, was folded into the JEDC in 1997.

^[9]And see, inter alia, Sections 159.45 and 159.47, Florida Statutes, regarding industrial development authorities.

^[10]In making this finding, we have not overlooked the information you provided that any likely incentive from the JEDC to a client of the member's firm would not involve the CRA functions of the JEDC. However, we find that the exemption requires that the board or body (the whole of its attributes and operations) be "advisory," not merely some of its nature. And here, we also do not find that the non-advisory attributes of the JEDC are limited to its CRA functions.

^[11]Whether a given conduct or action of a government entity constitutes "regulation," what "agency(s)" are engaged in the regulation, what government entity(s) are contained within a given agency, and what are the agency(s) of a particular public officer or employee are often complicated, intertwined issues. Here, it is our view, as stated above, that café permitting or similar functions constitute regulation. But, we do not find that the DDRB and the JEDC are separate agencies or that the JEDC is divorced from the regulation because, under the process described, the JEDC has an "appellate" role regarding the permitting. Instead, we find that the DDRB is not a separate agency from the JEDC (for purposes of such permitting), but, rather, that, for the permitting function, the DDRB is within the JEDC. See CEO 06-24 and opinions cited therein. CEO 06-24 and other opinions recognize that for a board to be a separate ("it's own") agency it should possess independent authority and not be solely advisory to another board or body. However, notwithstanding that the DDRB has a partial separation from the JEDC, as more fully discussed in Question 4 below, we do not find that it is as separate from the JEDC regarding the permitting subject matter, given the review function of the JEDC regarding permits and given your description of the DDRB as a board subordinate to the JEDC.

 $\frac{1121}{10}$ In including this proviso, we have not overlooked CEO $\frac{07-13}{10}$ (and similar opinions), which found that a city commissioner would not have a prohibited conflict were his law firm to represent a client before city boards other than the city commission. However, we are persuaded that the instant situation differs from that of CEO $\frac{07-13}{10}$, in that the DDRB and the JEDC are more intertwined than were the city commission and the various boards in CEO $\frac{07-13}{10}$.

^[13]We also note the voting conflicts law applicable to local, appointed officials, Sections 112.3143(3)(a) and 112.3143(4), Florida Statutes. The member must comply with these statutes regarding any vote/measure/matter of the JEDC which would affect himself, his firm, any client of his firm, or any other person or entity enumerated in the statutes. In complying, he should utilize and follow the instructions on CE Form 8B. However, should a measure be considered by the JEDC in the capacity of a community redevelopment agency within the meaning of Section 163.356 or Section 163.357, Florida Statutes, Section 112.3143(3)(b), Florida Statutes, would operate not to require the member to abstain from the vote on the measure. The member still would be required to announce his private relationship and file Form 8B. CEO <u>86-13</u>. Further, the member's voluntarily refraining from any participation in the matter, including not voting (recusal), in order to avoid even the appearance of impropriety, would appear to be in accord with Section 286.012, Florida Statutes. CEO <u>08-11</u>.



Palm Beach County Commission on Ethics

Commissioners Manuel Farach, Chair Robin N. Fiore, Vice Chair Ronald E. Harbison Daniel T. Galo Patricia L. Archer

> Executive Director Alan S. Johnson

September 13, 2012

Judith Just, P.A. 306 North Lakeside Drive Lake Worth, FL 33460

Re: RQO 12-058 Conflict of Interest/Land Development

Dear Ms. Just,

The Palm Beach County Commission on Ethics (COE) considered your request for an advisory opinion, and rendered its opinion at a public meeting held on September 12, 2012.

YOU ASKED in your submission dated July 26, 2012, whether as a member of the Lake Worth Historical Preservation Board (Preservation Board), you may participate and vote in a matter involving a proposed construction in a vacant lot adjacent to your home, where you have filed objections to the proposed construction. Additionally, you asked whether you may attend and participate as an individual homeowner, should you be required to abstain.

IN SUM, under the facts and circumstances you submitted, you may not participate or vote on this matter. While you remain a member of the Preservation Board, you may not personally participate, notwithstanding your views as an individual homeowner.

THE FACTS as we understand them are as follows:

You have recently been appointed to the Lake Worth Historical Resource Preservation Board (Preservation Board) and currently live in a historic neighborhood (National Register) and have a home which is a contributing property built in 1936. Prior to your appointment, you and your husband filed objections to a proposed construction project in a vacant lot adjacent to your home. Your house is a 1,600 square foot, single family, home built in 1936. The proposed new construction is 35 feet in height, 4,000 square feet, and will be built to within 10.5 feet of your southern wall.

The Preservation Board is a decisional or quasi-judicial board that, among other things, decides whether to approve "certificates of appropriateness" involving construction within certain areas of the City of Lake Worth (the City).¹

THE LEGAL BASIS for this opinion is found in the following relevant sections of the revised Palm Beach County Commission on Ethics Ordinance and Code of Ethics, which took effect on June 1, 2011:

¹ City of Lake Worth Ordinance 2012-17, §23.27.03.03(7)

§2-443(c) Disclosure of voting conflicts. County and municipal officials as applicable shall abstain from voting and not participate in any matter that will result in a special financial benefit as set forth in subsections (a)(1) through (7) above. The official shall publicly disclose the nature of the conflict and when abstaining from the vote, shall complete and file a State of Florida Commission on Ethics Conflict Form 8B pursuant to the requirements of Florida Statutes, §112.3143. Simultaneously with filing Form 8B, the official shall submit a copy of the completed form to the county commission on ethics. Officials who abstain and disclose a voting conflict as set forth herein, shall not be in violation of subsection (a), provided the official does not otherwise use his or her office to take or fail to take any action, or influence others to take or fail to take any action, in any other manner which he or she knows or should know with the exercise of reasonable care will result in a special financial benefit, not shared with similarly situated members of the general public, as set forth in subsections (a)(1) through (7).

§2-443(a) Misuse of public office or employment. An official or employee shall not use his or her official position or office, or take or fail to take any action, or influence others to take or fail to take any action, in a manner which he or she knows or should know with the exercise of reasonable care will result in a special financial benefit, not shared with similarly situated members of the general public, for any of the following persons or entities:

- (1) Himself or herself;
- (2) His or her spouse or domestic partner, household member or persons claimed as dependents on the official or employee's latest individual federal income tax return, or the employer or business of any of these people;

Essentially, an Official may not use his or her official position to obtain a special financial benefit for themselves or their spouse. This prohibition extends to circumstances whereby voting or participating in a matter would constitute a misuse of office based upon that special financial benefit. The COE has previously opined that financial benefit, in the context of the Palm Beach County Code of Ethics, constitutes economic gain or loss.² The question then becomes whether the issue coming before the Preservation Board will result in a special financial benefit, not shared with similarly situated members of the general public. Under the facts you have submitted, the proposed construction is adjacent to your property. It will consist of a 4,000 square foot structure, 35 feet in height and extend to within 10.5 feet of your property line. Currently, the lot is vacant. You and your husband have filed an objection to the project. Under these circumstances, the economic benefit or loss to you is not remote or speculative in nature so as to remove any special financial benefit.³ Therefore, you are required to abstain and not participate in this issue when the matter comes before your board.

Notwithstanding State of Florida voting conflicts laws, the Palm Beach County Code of Ethics (the Code) is more stringent⁴ and requires that an official not only abstain but also not participate in a matter involving a special financial benefit. While you may attend the meeting, you may not participate by expressing your views before the Preservation Board, even in your personal capacity as a resident of the City. As a member of the Preservation Board, if you do attend, you will need to formally abstain and file a State 8B form as required under the Code. This participation prohibition does not extend to your spouse.

² RQO 10-013 (For the purpose of ordinance construction, the commission finds that a financial benefit includes either a private gain or loss).

³ State of Florida CEO Opinion 01-8, June 12, 2001

⁴ See, §112.326, Florida Statutes, allowing local ethics codes to be more stringent than state law

Lastly, an official is prohibited from using his or her official position "to corruptly secure or attempt to secure a special privilege, benefit, or exemption for himself, herself, or others." Corruptly means done with a wrongful intent resulting from some act or omission "which is inconsistent with the proper performance of his or her public duties."⁵ The COE will not opine regarding speculative facts and circumstances; however, voting on an issue "to secure a special privilege, benefit, or exemption" could potentially rise to the level of a violation where there is wrongful intent, even where there is no financial gain or loss. In this instance, you would be voting on your own filed objection.

IN SUMMARY, under the facts and circumstances you submitted, the financial impact to you and your husband stemming from the proposed development is not so speculative or remote as to eliminate a special financial gain or loss. Therefore, as a member of the Preservation Committee, you may not participate or vote on an issue involving a construction project in a vacant lot next door to your 1600 square foot home, which is a contributing property to a nationally registered historic neighborhood. The proposed project consists of a 4,000 square foot structure, 35 feet in height, and 10.5 feet from your property line. You have a pending objection to a certificate of appropriateness, which will be ruled on by your board. Participation includes expressing your views before the Preservation Board in your personal capacity. If you attend the meeting, you may not participate and you will need to formally abstain and file a State form 8B as required by §2-443(c) of the Code.

This opinion construes the Palm Beach County Code of Ethics Ordinance and is based upon the facts and circumstances that you have submitted. It is not applicable to any conflict under state law. Inquiries regarding possible conflicts under state law should be directed to the State of Florida Commission on Ethics.

Please feel free to contact me at 561-233-0724 if I can be of any further assistance in this matter.

Sincerely, Alan S. Johnson Executive Director

ASJ/gai

⁵ Art. XIII, §2-443(b)



Palm Beach County Commission on Ethics

Commissioners

Manuel Farach, *Chair* Robin N. Fiore, *Vice Chair*

> Ronald E. Harbison Daniel T. Galo

Executive Director Alan S. Johnson

August 3, 2012

Mr. Kevin J. Foley 12056 SE Birkdale Run Tequesta, FL 33469

Re: RQO 12-054 Lobbyist Registration Ordinance

Dear Mr. Foley,

The Commission on Ethics (COE) considered your request for an advisory opinion and rendered its opinion at a public meeting held on August 2, 2012.

YOU ASKED in your submission dated, July 17th, 2012 whether, as a real estate development consultant who spends less than one percent of his consultation time in contact with government officials or staff members, you must register as a lobbyist under the Palm Beach County Lobbyist Registration Ordinance.

IN SUM, a lobbyist is any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal. Lobbying is defined as seeking to influence a decision through oral or written communication, or an attempt to obtain the goodwill, of a public official or employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to an advisory board or governing body.

Whether or not a particular individual is captured within these definitions is determined by the specific facts and circumstances of the contact between that individual and public employees and officials. However, where a real estate developer, hired by a principal to develop a project, seeks to influence a decision or obtain a public employee or official's goodwill on a matter which may foreseeably be presented for consideration, he or she would likely fall within these definitions, regardless of how often the developer engages in such activity.

The FACTS as we understand them are as follows:

You have been a real estate developer and real estate development consultant for the past 35 years. You are currently a consultant to Braman Motorcars (Braman) on a variety of real estate related matters, which include, among many things, the acquisition of the Jupiter Dodge/Mazda site (the BMW Project) located in the Town of Jupiter (the Town). This would include its development and anticipated re-redevelopment at the request of BMW and your employer, Braman. As a Braman consultant, the Jupiter BMW Project is one of nine Braman developments with which you are currently involved. You estimate that you spend less than one percent of your Braman consultation time in contact with government officials or their staffs. According to your factual submission, when you do meet with Town of Jupiter officials or staff members the sole purpose of that communication is the "exchange of information" about Braman's projects and to solicit ideas and comments from officials and staff in order to develop better projects in their communities.

There are unresolved ongoing issues regarding the BMW Project that foreseeably will require government action. On June 5th, you met with Town Councilwoman Harrison, and Planning and Zoning Director, John Sickler, regarding the development of the BMW Project. After this meeting you were contacted by the Town Manager and informed that it appeared as though you were acting as a lobbyist during this meeting and as such you were required to register as a lobbyist through the county lobbyist registration system. After receiving your request for opinion, COE staff contacted the Town Manager for more information. In conversation with the Town Manager, it is his belief that during your meeting with the Town Staff and Councilwoman, you advocated a change in Staff's current position regarding the BMW Project property.

Additionally, you indicated that you have met with Town Officials regarding the purchase of a nearby piece of property by Braman that would seek to alleviate Town development concerns during the time period when Braman would be seeking to develop the subject BMW Project site. Lastly, you indicated that you have appeared in front of the Town Council at public meetings to advocate on behalf of your client, Braman. You are seeking a determination from the Palm Beach County Commission on Ethics (COE) as to whether you are required to register as a lobbyist based upon the information you provided.

THE LEGAL BASIS for this opinion is found in the following relevant sections of the revised Palm Beach County Code of Ethics:

Section 2-353 of the lobbyist registration ordinance requires all lobbyists, prior to lobbying, to register by electronic submission via the "Central Lobbyist Registration Site" or by paper submission.¹ Whether or not a person appearing before a public official or employee must register as a lobbyist depends upon whether they are a lobbyist as defined by the ordinance. Section 2-352 contains the definitions of lobbyist and lobbying.

Lobbying shall mean seeking to influence a decision through oral or written communication or an attempt to obtain the goodwill of ... a local municipal governing body, ..., any advisory board member, or any employee with respect to the passage defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board, ... or the local municipal governing body lobbied as applicable.

Lobbyist shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal responsibility to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with the government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

"Lobbyist" shall not include:

(1) Any employee, contract employee, or independent contractor of a governmental agency or entity lobbying on behalf of that agency or entity, any elected local official when the official is lobbying on behalf of the governmental agency or entity which the official serves, or any member of the official's staff when such staff member is

¹ The effective date of the Lobbyist Registration Ordinance for municipalities is April 2, 2012

lobbying on an occasional basis on behalf of the governmental agency or entity by which the staff member is employed.

- (2) Any person who is retained or employed for the purpose of representing an employer, principal or client only during a publicly noticed quasi-judicial hearing or comprehensive plan hearing, provided the person identifies the employer, principal or client at the hearing. (emphasis added)
- (3) Any expert witness who is retained or employed by an employer, principal or client to provide only scientific, technical or other specialized information provided in agenda materials or testimony only in public hearings, so long as the expert identifies the employer, principal or client at the hearing.
- (4) Any person who lobbies only in his or her individual capacity for the purpose of selfrepresentation and without compensation.
- (5) Any employee, contract employee, or independent contractor of the Palm Beach County League of Cities, Inc., lobbying on behalf of that entity.

In RQO 12-025, the COE determined that a person who contracts with a principal for economic consideration who meets with county or municipal staff for the sole purpose of gathering information for a project, asking technical questions only, and not providing information to county or municipal staff other than what is needed to meet technical requirements for required approvals, is not engaged in lobbying and is not required to register as a lobbyist.

Lobbying is defined as seeking to influence a decision of a public employee or official on an issue which foreseeably will come before a board or commission for advice or approval. When information flows from Town Staff to you, the exchange of information is one sided. Therefore, if the purpose of your meeting is solely to extract information as compared to inputting information for the purpose of persuading a staff member or official to change their position, you would not be required to register as a lobbyist under the ordinance. However, in your submission to this Commission you noted that your conversations with local employees and officials, no matter how few and far between, included an exchange of information and ideas. Moreover, it was the impression of Town staff that your meeting was not for the purpose of asking questions of the Town Councilwoman and planning and zoning director, but was also to influence and advocate a modification to staff's current position on the Braman Project.

You indicated that as part of your consulting work for Bramen you spend less than one percent of your time meeting with local staff and officials. However, as an independent consultant to your client, Braman, you are not an employee of that entity within the meaning of the Lobbyist Registration Ordinance. Therefore, the percentage of time you spend representing Braman in its discussions with government employees and officials is irrelevant. The exclusionary language contained within the definition of lobbyist, limiting the scope of the definition to "an employee whose principal responsibility to the employer is overseeing the employer's relationships with government," applies to lobbying by an employee directly on behalf of their employer and not a consultant retained by an outside principal.

Further, you indicated that on behalf of Braman, you have discussed the purchase of a vacant property in the vicinity of the BMW Project site. It is unclear by the facts that you have submitted whether or not

a purpose of this purchase is to obtain the goodwill of the Town Council or otherwise facilitate approvals for the BMW Project site, however, the proximity in time and location of the proposed purchase would, at a minimum, create the appearance that it is contemplated in part to obtain the goodwill of the Town Council with regard to future decisions involving the BMW Project redevelopment.

Finally, in your submission to staff, you described your past participation in public meetings as a consultant to Braman. Please keep in mind that as a compensated representative of an applicant seeking to influence a decision of an advisory board or the Town Council that you must register as a lobbyist in order to participate on behalf of Braman in any public meeting except for publicly noticed quasi-judicial hearings or comprehensive plan hearings.²

IN SUMMARY, based on the facts and circumstances you provided, your activities constitute lobbying within the meaning of the Palm Beach County Lobbyist Registration Ordinance. As a paid consultant seeking to influence the decision-making of a public employee, advisory board member or elected official, or attempting to obtain their good will, with respect to the passage, defeat, or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for their consideration, you are required to register as a lobbyist. This applies regardless of the percentage of your duties to your principal involving lobbying activities.

This opinion construes the Palm Beach County Code of Ethics and Lobbyist Registration Ordinance, but is not applicable to any conflict under state law. Inquiries regarding possible conflicts under state law should be directed to the State of Florida Commission on Ethics.

Please feel free to contact me at (561) 233-0724 should you have any further questions in this matter.

Sincerelv,

Alan S. Johnson, Executive Director

ASJ/mcr/gal

² Art VIII, §2-353. Definitions. *Lobbyist* (2)



Palm Beach County Commission on Ethics

Commissioners Manuel Farach, *Chair* Robin N. Fiore, *Vice Chair* Edward Rodgers Ronald E. Harbison

> Executive Director Alan S. Johnson

Daniel T. Galo

May 4, 2012

Ms. Darlene Schaukowitch Cotleur & Hearing 1934 Commerce Lane, Suite 1 Jupiter, FL 33458

Re: RQO 12-025 Lobbyist Registration Ordinance

Dear Ms. Schaukowitch,

The Commission on Ethics (COE) considered your request for an advisory opinion and rendered its opinion at a public meeting held on May 3, 2012.

YOU ASKED two questions in your email dated March 27th, 2012. First, whether landscape architecture firm staff members who meet with Palm Beach County staff members for the purpose asking technical questions related to a project are "lobbying" for the purpose of the lobbyist registration ordinance. Second, when the Vice President of your organization is a registered lobbyist for a principal and he attends a meeting as a lobbyist, and is assisted by several staff members including engineers, for the purpose of assisting him or answering technical questions, must accompanying staff members or traffic engineers also register as lobbyists.

IN SUM, a lobbyist is any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal. Lobbying is defined as seeking to influence a decision through oral or written communication or an attempt to obtain the goodwill of an official or employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board or governing body.

Whether or not a particular individual is captured within these definitions is determined by the specific facts and circumstances of the contact between that individual and public employees and officials. Purely ministerial or administrative functions, as may be provided by an assistant to a lobbyist, may not rise to the level of lobbying. However, where an engineer, employed by a firm contracted by a principal to lobby government, directly negotiates or otherwise actively participates in a discretionary matter, including matters regarding technical requirements, he or she would likely fall within these definitions.

The FACTS as we understand them are as follows:

Cotleur and Hearing (CH) provide professional services in landscape architecture, residential landscape design, land planning and environmental consulting. Don Hearing, vice-president of CH, is a registered lobbyist for Palm Beach County. While Mr. Hearing is a lobbyist, members of CH staff are planners,

landscape architects, environmental consultants and are engaged in property maintenance and management. Staff members are assigned to work on particular projects based upon their professional expertise. Meanwhile, Mr. Hearing may be lobbying the county in conjunction with these projects. You are seeking clarification as to whether, when Mr. Hearing meets with county staff for the purpose of lobbying, CH staff who attend the meeting in order to answer technical questions are required to register as lobbyists. Up and until this point the CH general staff member would have worked on the project in his professional capacity and without contact with public employees or officials. Similarly, should CH's client hire another professional, such as a traffic engineer to meet with staff or elected officials alongside Mr. Hearing, would the traffic engineer be considered a lobbyist and required to register under the code of ethics.

THE LEGAL BASIS for this opinion is found in the following relevant sections of the revised Palm Beach County Code of Ethics:

Section 2-353 of the lobbyist registration ordinance requires all lobbyists, prior to lobbying, to register by electronic submission via the "Central Lobbyist Registration Site" or by paper submission. Whether or not a person appearing before a public official or employee must register as a lobbyist depends upon whether they are a lobbyist as defined by the ordinance. Section 2-352 contains the definitions of lobbyist and lobbying.

Lobbying shall mean seeking to influence a decision through oral or written communication or an attempt to obtain the goodwill of any county commissioner, any member of a local municipal governing body, any mayor or chief executive officer that is not a member of a local municipal governing body, any advisory board member, or any employee with respect to the passage defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board, the board of county commissioners, or the local municipal governing body lobbied as applicable.

Lobbyist shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal responsibility to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with the government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

If a member of CH staff, who does not otherwise lobby the county, meets with county staff for the purpose of gathering information for a project, asking technical questions only and not providing information to county staff other than what is needed to meet technical requirements for required approvals, under these facts a CH staff member is not engaged in lobbying and is not required to register as a lobbyist. Lobbying is defined as seeking to influence a decision of a public employee or official on an issue which foreseeably will come before a board or commission for advice or approval. When information flows from county staff to a CH employee developing a project, the exchange of information is one sided. Input is provided by county staff, not by CH staff. Accordingly, a CH employee cannot be described as "seeking to influence" county staff in this exchange and is not engaged in lobbying. However, once there is an exchange or negotiation as to the manner, substance or interpretation of a matter, technical or otherwise, the exchange ceases to be merely an extraction of information. Such an interchange inherently involves input on the part of the CH staffer, and that constitutes lobbying under the code.

The exclusionary language contained within the definition of lobbyist, limiting the scope of the definition to employees whose principal responsibility to the employer is overseeing the employer's relationships with government, applies to lobbying by an employee directly on behalf of their employer and not under these facts where their employer, CH, is retained by an outside principal for the purpose of lobbying.

Whether or not a member of CH staff or a contracted professional who accompanies a CH registered lobbyist to a meeting with a public employee or elected official must register as a lobbyist involves the same analysis. A lobbyist is a person who receives compensation for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal.

Therefore, under the facts and circumstances you describe, if a staff member of your firm accompanies Mr. Hearing and performs a purely ministerial function such as the taking of notes, he or she is not engaging in lobbying. Likewise, if a traffic engineer or landscape designer is present to assist Mr. Hearing in his presentation, but does not otherwise engage directly in the negotiation or other lobbying activity that Mr. Hearing performs, they too would not be participating in lobbying activity. Akin to the analysis whereby professionals meet directly with staff to establish criteria, submit required information, or otherwise comply with established process, where their presence is only to extract relevant information or assist Mr. Hearing with information relevant to his ability to communicate with the public employee or official, and they do not attempt to influence a decision, they are not engaged in lobbying. Once your staff member engages in the process of influencing a public decision by participating in a negotiation or other exchange, they are lobbying on behalf of CH's principal and must therefore be registered as required by the code.

IN SUMMARY, based on the information you provided, CH staff who are not engaged in lobbying activities and merely seek to extract information may meet with county staff in order to obtain that information without registering as a lobbyist. Any attempt to engage in negotiation, or otherwise influence the process will likely change the relationship to one of lobbying and will require registration. The same analysis applies to professional staff, including contracted engineering professionals, who accompany a registered lobbyist, where they directly participate in seeking to influence a decision.

This opinion construes the Palm Beach County Code of Ethics and Lobbyist Registration Ordinance, but is not applicable to any conflict under state law. Inquiries regarding possible conflicts under state law should be directed to the State of Florida Commission on Ethics.

Please feel free to contact me at (561) 233-0724 should you have any further questions in this matter.

Sincerely

Alan S. Johnson. **Executive Director**

ASJ/mcr/gal



Palm Beach County Commission on Ethics

Commissioners

Manuel Farach, *Chair* Robin N. Fiore, *Vice Chair*

> Ronald E. Harbison Daniel T. Galo

Executive Director

Alan S. Johnson

July 16, 2012

Pastor Leo Abdella, Community Relations Director Christ Fellowship Church 5343 Northlake Boulevard Palm Beach Gardens, FL 33418

Re: RQO 12-050 Lobbyist

Dear Pastor Abdella,

The Commission on Ethics (COE) considered your request for an advisory opinion and rendered its opinion at a public meeting held on July 12, 2012.

YOU ASKED in your email dated June 25, 2012, whether an organization that previously retained a lobbyist for land planning matters, and may again retain a lobbyist in the future, but does not presently retain a lobbyist, is a principal or employer of a lobbyist as defined by the Palm Beach County Code of Ethics (the Code).¹

IN SUM, based on the facts and circumstances you provided, Christ Fellowship Church (CFC) is not a principal or employer of a lobbyist as defined by the Code. While CFC has employed a lobbyist in the past, such employment was remote in time and CFC does not have an existing or pending contract with any individual or entity for lobbying services.

The FACTS as we understand them are as follows:

You are a pastor at Christ Fellowship Church (CFC). CFC has several campuses in Palm Beach County. When CFC purchased and developed those properties, the church hired a land planner and registered lobbyist to assist in the site plan review process. Should CFC expand in the future, you anticipate that the church will again hire a land planner to assist in the process. Because CFC retained a lobbyist in the past and may do so in the future, you are seeking clarification from the Commission as to whether CFC is a principal or employer of a lobbyist.

THE LEGAL BASIS for this opinion is found in the following relevant sections of the revised Palm Beach County Code of Ethics:

Section 2-442 of the Code defines a lobbyist, in part, "as any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal ..." To fall within the definition of a lobbyist, the lobbyist must have an *existing* contract or be employed for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal. Similarly, a business, organization or individual that retained a lobbyist in the past, but does not have an existing contract or agreement to employ a person for the purpose of lobbying, is no longer a principal or employer of a lobbyist.

¹ In RQO 12-048, Mr. Abdella asked whether CFC could invite municipal and county elected officials to a 2 day leadership summit. The COE opined that the value of the summit in excess of \$100, calculated for each individual elected official, would constitute a prohibited gift if CFC were a vendor or lobbyist of the applicable official's government. No reference was made in the facts and circumstances indicating that CFC had employed a lobbyist in the past, or may do so again in the future.
Just as \$2-444(a)(1) prohibits officials, advisory board members and public employees from accepting gifts valued in excess of \$100, annually in the aggregate, given by lobbyists, principals or employers of lobbyists who lobby their government entity, principals and employers of lobbyists are prohibited by \$2-444(a)(2) from giving such a gift. Under the circumstances you describe, these prohibitions would not apply to a donor who does not employ a lobbyist.

IN SUMMARY, based upon the facts and circumstances presented, CFC is not a principal or employer of a lobbyist and is not subject to the gift law prohibitions specific to lobbyists as provided by the Code.

This opinion construes the Palm Beach County Code of Ethics Ordinance and is based upon the facts and circumstances that you have submitted. It is not applicable to any conflict under state law. Inquiries regarding possible conflicts under state law should be directed to the State of Florida Commission on Ethics.

Please feel free to contact me at (561) 233-0724 should you have any further questions in this matter.

Sincerely, Alan S. Johnson,

Alan S. Johnson, Executive Director

ASJ/mcr/gal



Palm Beach County Commission on Ethics

Commissioners

Edward Rodgers, Chair Manuel Farach, Vice Chair Robin N. Fiore Ronald E. Harbison Bruce E. Reinhart

Executive Director

Alan S. Johnson

November 4, 2011

Gregory Miklos Boca Raton Community Appearance Board 2263 N.W. Boca Raton Boulevard, Suite 112 Boca Raton, FL 33431

Re: RQO 11-067 Voting Conflicts

Dear Mr. Miklos,

The Palm Beach County Commission on Ethics (COE) considered your request for an advisory opinion on October 6, 2011 and again on November 3, 2011, and rendered its opinion at a public meeting held on November 3, 2011.

YOU ASKED as a member of the Boca Raton Community Appearance Board (CAB), whether a member of your outside business may represent a customer or client of your firm in front of the CAB, so long as you abstain from voting and do not participate in any part of the decision-making process.

IN SUM, as an appointed official you are prohibited from using your official position as an advisory board member to give a special financial benefit, not shared with *similarly situated members of the general public*, for yourself, your outside business, or a customer or client of your outside business. Voting on a client's proposal, participating in conversations or attempting to influence CAB members would therefore constitute a misuse of office. The prohibition extends to you, or someone using your official position on your behalf. Therefore, the financial misuse and voting conflicts sections of the Code of Ethics do not prohibit a member of your outside business from representing a customer or client provided that you *publicly disclose the nature of the conflict*, file the required state disclosure form, refrain from voting and do not participate in, or influence the process.

THE FACTS as we understand them are as follows:

You are an architect who serves on the Community Appearance Board (CAB), an advisory board for the City of Boca Raton (the City). The CAB reviews all commercial and multifamily residential projects in the City and all signage (free-standing and on buildings) for aesthetics and code compliance.

Your firm, Miklos and Associates, is based in the City and you are contacted frequently by clients who wish to develop, remodel, or rezone a property they own within the City. As their architect, you meet with the City zoning staff to work out site specifics and other issues. Once the preliminary site, floor and evaluation plans are completed, you present your proposal to the Planning Advisory Board (PAB) for review and discuss the reviewer's comments from related departments such as traffic, fire, utility, zoning or environmental divisions. After these discussions, you make the required revisions to the proposal as needed and then resubmit your plans to the PAB and the City Council. Throughout this

2633 Vista Parkway, West Palm Beach, FL 33411 561.233.0724 FAX: 561.233.0735 Hotline: 877.766.5920 E-mail: ethics@palmbeachcountyethics.com Website: palmbeachcountyethics.com process, you will be the member of your firm representing the client. Prior to final approval, this plan must go before the CAB. At that time you disclose the nature of your conflict- that your firm represents the client's plan subject to the Board's approval, abstain from voting and do not participate in any part of the process, including conversations with staff regarding CAB issues. Subsequent to the abstention, you file a state conflict form as required by statute. In dealing with the CAB and the department within the CAB's authority, another member of your firm presents the project.

THE LEGAL BASIS for this opinion is found in the following relevant sections of the Palm Beach County Code of Ethics:

Section 2-443(a) prohibits you from using your official position to give yourself, your outside business, or a customer or client of your outside business a financial benefit, in a manner which you *know or should know with the exercise of reasonable care will result in a special financial benefit not shared with similarly situated members of the general public.* A customer or client is defined as a person or entity to whom your outside business has supplied goods or services in excess of \$10,000 over the previous 24 months.

Section 2-443(c) similarly prohibits you from voting on an issue or participating in a manner that would result in a special financial benefit attributable to yourself, outside business or customer as previously described. Essentially, the voting conflict section addresses the scenario whereby in voting you would violate the misuse of office prohibitions of the code. In such a scenario you are required to 1) disclose the nature of your conflict before your board discusses the issue; 2) abstain from any discussion or vote or otherwise participate in the matter; and 3) File a state voting conflict form (8B), submitting a copy to the CAB clerk and the Palm Beach County COE. The language of §2-443(c) is as follows:

County and municipal officials...shall abstain from voting and not participate in any matter that will result in a special benefit as set forth in subsections (a)(1) through (7) above...Officials who abstain and disclose a voting conflict as set forth herein, shall not be in violation of subsection (a), provided the official does not otherwise use his or her office to take or fail to take any action, or influence others to take or fail to take any action, in any other manner which he or she knows or should know with the exercise of reasonable care will result in a special financial benefit, not shared with similarly situated members of the general public...

In this context, "participate" means that you may not present your clients project to the CAB or take part in any presentation or discussion regarding your client's project with your fellow CAB board members. You are not prohibited from meeting with and presenting to Zoning staff and other related city advisory boards.¹ However, while you may submit and discuss your client's project with staff prior to the matter coming before the CAB, you may not use your official position to influence the process. The misuse of office and voting conflict prohibitions apply to you personally, or someone using your official title or position at your direction. Therefore, you are not prohibited from working with City staff on your client's project up and until it goes before your board, so long as it is in your professional as

¹ Please note that contacting CAB members about a matter coming before the board may result in a Sunshine Law violation.

²⁶³³ Vista Parkway, West Palm Beach, FL 33411 561.233.0724 FAX: 561.233.0735 Hotline: 877.766.5920 E-mail: ethics@palmbeachcountyethics.com Website: palmbeachcountyethics.com

compared to your official capacity. Additionally, this provision does not prohibit other owners or employees of your outside business from representing your client's interest in these matters.

IN SUMMARY, based on the facts and circumstances presented, you may not use your appointed office to give yourself, your outside business or a customer or client of your outside business a special financial benefit, not shared with similarly situated members of the general public. When faced with a conflict, you must disclose the nature of that conflict, refrain from participating and file the required conflict disclosure form 8b. The Code of Ethics does not prohibit a business associate or other individual from representing your client's interests before the CAB separate and apart from you or your official office.

This opinion construes the Palm Beach County Code of Ethics Ordinance, but is not applicable to any conflict under state law. Inquiries regarding possible conflicts under state law should be directed to the State of Florida Commission on Ethics.

Please feel free to contact me at (561) 233-0724 should you have any further questions in this matter.

Sincerély Alan S. Johnson,

Executive Director

ASJ/mr/gal



Title DS

VAIL, JENNIFER 400 COLUMBIA DRIVE, SUITE 110 WEST PALM BEACH FL 33409

Title DT

BLACKMAN, WES 241 COLUMBIA DRIVE LAKE WORTH FL 33460

Title DS

FITZHUGH, ERIN 807 NORTH O STREET LAKE WORTH FL 33460

Title D

BANTING, PETER 11147 MONET RIDGE ROAD PALM BEACH GARDENS FL 33410

Annual Reports

Report Year	Filed Date
2010	05/08/2010
2011	04/25/2011
2012	04/18/2012

Document Images

View image in DDE formet
View image in PDF format

Note: This is not official record. See documents if question or conflict.

Previous on List	<u>Next on List</u>	<u>Return To List</u>	Officer/RA Name Search
Events	No Name History		Submit
		act us Document Searches E-Filing Ser Copyright © and Privacy Policies State of Florida, Department of Stat	

FLORIDA I DIVISION	Departmen of Corpoi	IT OF STATE RATIONS	Sunbiz	State Flag	
Home	Contact Us	E-Filing Services	Document Searches	Forms	Help
Previous on List	Next on List	Return To List			Name Search
No Events	No Name Hi	story	anna diministrati di mangana angga angga ang ang ang ang ang a	- 1 ***********************************	Submit
Detail by	Officer/F	Registered Ag	jent Name		
Florida Nor	Profit Corp	oration			
LAKE WORTH'S	COLLEGE PAR	K HOMEOWNERS ASSO	CIATION, INC.		
Filing Infor	mation				
Document Nun FEI/EIN Numbe Date Filed State Status	nber N960000060 r 650717000 11/20/1996 FL ACTIVE	907			
Principal A	ddress				
College Pari Lake Worth F					
Changed 04/30/	2007				
Mailing Add	dress				
P O BOX 622 LAKE WORTH F	FL 33460				
Changed 03/29/	1999				
	Agent Name	e & Address			
LINDSEY, MAR` 327 COLUMBIA LAKE WORTH F	DRIVE				
Name Changed:	01/18/2011				
Address Change	d: 01/18/2011				
Officer/Dire	ctor Detail				
Name & Addres	S				
CASELLA, CATI 25 HARBOR DR LAKE WORTH F	IVE				
Title D					
Blackman, We 241 Columbia Lake Worth F	DRIVE				
Title P					
LINDSEY, MAR` 327 COLUMBIA					

LAKE WORTH FL 33460

Title D

PICKETT, KC 2160 NOTRE DAME DRIVE LAKE WORTH FL 33460

Title T

YORSTEN, RUSSELL VANDERBILT DRIVE LAKE WORTH FL 33460 US

Title VP

PICKETT, JOHN 2160 NOTRE DAME DRIVE LAKE WORTH FL 33460

Annual Reports

Report Year Filed Date		
2010	04/03/2010	
2011	01/18/2011	
2012	03/21/2012	

Document Images

<u>03/21/2012 ANNI</u>	JAL REPORT	C	View image in PDF format	
<u>01/18/2011 ANNI</u>	JAL REPORT	[View image in PDF format	
<u>04/03/2010 - ANNI</u>	JAL REPORT		View image in PDF format	
<u>04/30/2009 ANNI</u>	JAL REPORT		View image in PDF format	
<u>09/02/2008 ANNI</u>	JAL REPORT		View image in PDF format	
<u>04/30/2007 ANNI</u>	JAL REPORT		View image in PDF format	
<u>04/30/2006 ANNI</u>	JAL REPORT		View image in PDF format	
<u>08/31/2005 ANNI</u>	JAL REPORT		View image in PDF format	
<u>08/05/2004 ANNI</u>	JAL REPORT		View image in PDF format	
<u>02/10/2003 ANNI</u>	JAL REPORT		View image in PDF format	
<u>01/30/2002 ANNI</u>	JAL REPORT		View image in PDF format	
<u>07/10/2001 ANNI</u>	JAL REPORT	[View image in PDF format	
<u>04/12/2000 ANNI</u>	JAL REPORT		View image in PDF format	
<u>03/29/1999 ANNI</u>	JAL REPORT		View image in PDF format	
<u>01/21/1998 ANNI</u>	JAL REPORT	Ĺ	View image in PDF format	
<u>03/06/1997 ANNI</u>	JAL REPORT		View image in PDF format	
<u> 11/20/1996 DOC</u>	UMENTS PRIOR TO	<u>) 1997</u>	View image in PDF format	
Note: This is not official record. See documents if question or conflict.				
Previous on List	Next on List	<u>Return</u>	To List	Officer/RA Name Search
No Events	No Name History			Submit
ddaeldalan a suara sayata na araka wada manana sa	Home Cor	ntact us	Document Searches E-Filing Services Fc	orms Help

WHAT SERVICES REQUIRE A DBPR LICENSE?

The businesses and professions listed below are licensed and regulated by the Florida Department of Business and Professional Regulation. If you have any questions about whether a specific service, establishment, or individual may require a license, please contact our Customer Contact Center at 850.487.1395.

- > Alcoholic Beverages and Tobacco
- > Architects
- > Asbestos Contractors and Consultants
- > Athlete Agents
- > Auctioneers
- > <u>Barbers</u>
- > Boxing, Kickboxing and Mixed Martial Arts
- > Building Code Administrators and Inspectors
- > Certified Public Accounting
- > Community Association Managers
- > Construction Industry
- > <u>Cosmetology</u>
- > Drugs, Devices and Cosmetics Program
- > Electrical and Alarm Contractors
- > Elevators and Other Conveyances, Technicians, Inspectors and Companies
- > Employee Leasing Companies
- > Geologists
- > <u>Harbor Pilots</u>
- > Home Inspectors
- > Hotels, Motels, Apartments and other lodging
- > Interior Design
- > Landscape Architecture
- > Mold-Related Services
- > Pari-Mutuel Wagering Facilities
- > Real Estate
- > Restaurants, Take-outs, Delivery, Caterers and Mobile Food Vendors
- > Talent Agencies
- > Veterinary Medicine
- > Yacht and Ship Brokers and Salespersons

Please visit our **Unlicensed Activity page** to learn more about how you can help us combat unlicensed activity.

PALM BEACH COUNTY COMMISSION ON ETHICS MEMORANDUM OF LEGAL SUFFICIENCY

To: Palm Beach County Commission on Ethics

From: Alan S. Johnson, Executive Director

Re: C12-011 – Wes Blackman, Chairman, Lake Worth Historical Resources Preservation Board

<u>Recommendation</u>

Regarding Respondent, Wes Blackman, Chairman, Lake Worth Historical Resources Preservation Board, COE staff recommends a finding of **LEGAL SUFFICIENCY** be entered in Complaint C12-011.

Legal sufficiency exists where there is an allegation of a violation of an ordinance within the jurisdiction of the Ethics Commission, purportedly committed by an individual within the authority of the Ethics Commission, based substantially on the personal knowledge of the complainant, relating to an alleged violation occurring after the effective date of the code, and filed with the Ethics Commission within two years of the alleged violation.

Background

This matter came to the attention of the Commission on Ethics (COE) staff through sworn complaint dated September 17, 2012 by Judith Just, a member of the Lake Worth Historical Resources Preservation Board (HRPB). The Respondent, Wes Blackman, is the current Chairman of HRPB, which is an advisory board of the City of Lake Worth with quasi judicial powers. HRPB has the sole power to issue a "Certificate of Appropriateness" required for various construction related building changes, as well as for any new construction to be located within any of the six (6) areas of the City that are identified as "historic preservation" districts. These historic preservation districts include: College Park; Northeast Lucerne; Old Lucerne; Old Town; Southeast Lucerne; and South Palm Park. This Complaint involves the Old Lucerne Historic Preservation District.

Complainant's specific allegation involves the proposed construction of a new home on a current vacant lot to be located at 302 North Lakeside Drive, Lake Worth, FL 33460. Complainant and her husband own and live in a home located at 306 North Lakeside Drive, directly adjacent to this vacant lot. In April of this year, Complainant alleges that the City posted a notice regarding proposed construction of a single family home on this vacant lot. A copy of an Application for Certificate of Appropriateness for this location was included in the documents filed with this Complaint. The application lists Respondent as one of the agents of the applicant.

The Complainant advises that she and her husband, as well as other neighbors, have met with both Respondent and the architect about this project. Complainant states that on the date of their meeting, April 27, 2012, Respondent stated that although he was a member of the HRPB, he would not be voting on this particular issue when it came before the Board, and asked them to contact him directly with any concerns regarding the proposed home construction. While not a member of the HRPB herself at the time of this meeting, Complainant was appointed to this Board on July 23, 2012, and Complainant alleges that in July, Respondent reapplied for his board position and during an interview he failed to disclose his relationship to this project and pending application before the Board. Respondent was reappointed to HRPB on July 24, 2012.

The specific basis for this Complaint is an allegation that Respondent worked with City staff as an agent of either the applicant or the architect, and has been involved in lobbying City staff, while not being registered as a lobbyist as required by the Lobbyist Registration Ordinance.

<u>Analysis</u>

As the Chairman of the Lake Worth Historical Resources Preservation Board (HRPB), Respondent is subject to the provisions of the Countywide Lobbyist Registration Ordinance (LRO), as of April 2, 2012, when the LRO became effective within the City of Lake Worth (the City).

The following sections of the Lobbyist Registration Ordinance are relevant to this inquiry.

Sec. 2-352. Definitions.

Unless expressly provided herein to the contrary, for purposes of this article, the following definitions will apply:

Advisory board shall mean any advisory or quasi-judicial board created by the board of county commissioners, by the local municipal governing bodies, or by the mayors who serve as chief executive officers or by mayors who are not members of local municipal governing bodies.

Central Lobbyist Registration Site will mean the official location for countywide lobbyist registration.

Lobbying shall mean seeking to influence a decision through oral or written communication or an attempt to obtain the goodwill of any county commissioner, any member of a local municipal governing body, any mayor or chief executive officer that is not a member of a local municipal governing body, any advisory board member, or any employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board, the board of county commissioners, or the local municipal governing body lobbied as applicable.

Lobbyist shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal responsibility to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

Local Municipal Governing Body will mean the councils and commissions of the municipalities located within Palm Beach County, Florida.

Official or employee means any official or employee of the county or the municipalities located within the county, whether paid or unpaid. The term "employee" includes but is not limited to all managers, department heads and personnel of the county or the municipalities located within the county. The term also includes contract personnel and contract administrators performing a government function, and chief executive officer who is not part of the local governing body. The term "official" shall mean members of the board of county commissioners, a mayor, members of local municipal governing bodies, and members appointed by the board of county commissioners, members of local municipal governing body, as applicable, to serve on any advisory, quasi judicial, or any other board of the county, state, or any other regional, local, municipal, or corporate entity.

Persons and entities shall be defined to include all natural persons, firms, associations, joint ventures, partnerships, estates, trusts, business entities, syndicates, fiduciaries, corporations, and all other organizations.

Principal shall mean the person or entity a lobbyist represents, including a lobbyist's employer or client, for the purpose of lobbying.

Sec. 2-353. Registration and expenditures.

(a) Registration required. Prior to lobbying, all lobbyists shall submit an original, fully executed registration form to county administration, which shall serve as the official location for countywide lobbyist registration and which shall be known as the "Central Lobbyist Registration Site." The registration may be submitted in paper or electronic form pursuant to countywide policies and procedures. Each lobbyist is required to submit a separate registration for each principal represented. A registration fee of twenty-five dollars (\$25) must be included with each registration form submitted. A registrant shall promptly send a written statement to county administration canceling the registration for a principal upon termination of the lobbyist's representation of that principal. This statement shall be signed by the lobbyist. Lobbying prior to registration is prohibited. It is the responsibility of the lobbyist to keep all information contained in the registration form current and up to date.

Upon inquiry it was confirmed that Respondent met with City staff regarding this issue in his capacity as representative of the applicant regarding the application for consideration of a Certificate of Appropriateness for new construction in a Historic District. Specifically, Respondent met with; Kelly Christensen, City Preservation Planner, Karen Vaughn, City Planning, Preservation Manager, William Waters, Director of Community Sustainability and City Attorneys Monica Morandi and Melissa Anderson.

<u>Conclusion</u>

Based on the fact that the allegations provided in the Complaint allege a violation of an ordinance within the jurisdiction of the COE and are based substantially upon the personal knowledge of the Complainant, the Complaint filed in C12-011 against Respondent, Wes Blackman, alleging a violation of §2-353(a) is LEGALLY SUFFICIENT.

BY:

Alan S. Johnson, Executive Director Florida bar #223352 Commission on Ethics

11/06/2012 Date

PALM BEACH COUNTY COMMISSION ON ETHICS MEMORANDUM OF INVESTIGATION

То:	Alan S. Johnson, Executive Director
From:	James A. Poag, Investigator
Re:	C12-011 – Wes Blackman, Chairman, Lake Worth Historical Resources Preservation Board

Background

This matter came to the attention of the Commission on Ethics (COE) staff through sworn complaint dated September 17, 2012 by Judith Just, a member of the Lake Worth Historical Resources Preservation Board (HRPB). The Respondent, Wes Blackman, is the current Chairman of HRPB, which is an advisory board of the City of Lake Worth with quasi judicial powers. All employees and elected/appointed officials of the City of Lake Worth are under the jurisdiction of the Commission on Ethics as of January 11, 2011, by memorandum of understanding and June 1, 2011 by referendum.

The HRPB has the sole power to issue a "Certificate of Appropriateness" required for various construction related building changes, as well as for any new construction to be located within any of the six (6) areas of the City that are identified as "historic preservation" districts. The historic preservation districts include: College Park, Northeast Lucerne, Old Lucerne, Old Town, Southeast Lucerne and South Palm Park. This Complaint involves the Old Lucerne Historic Preservation District.

The Complainant's allegation involves the proposed construction of a new home on a current vacant lot located at 302 North Lakeside Drive, Lake Worth, FL 33460. The Complainant and her husband reside at 306 North Lakeside Drive, directly adjacent to the vacant lot. In April, 2012, the Complainant alleges that the City posted a notice regarding proposed construction of a single family home on the vacant lot. A copy of an Application for Certificate of Appropriateness for this location was included in the documents filed with the Complaint. The application lists the "Applicant" as Tim and Elizabeth Hulett, who currently reside in West Palm Beach. It lists the property owner as Hector Cabrera of Lake Worth and Brower Architectural Associates of Palm Beach and Wes Blackman of Lake Worth as Agents of the applicant.

The Complainant advises that she and her husband, as well as other neighbors, have met with both Wes Blackman and the project architect. Complainant states that on the date of their meeting, April 27, 2012, Respondent stated that although he was a member of the HRPB, he would not be voting on this particular issue when it came before the Board, and asked residents to contact him directly with any concerns regarding the proposed home construction. While not a member of the HRPB herself at the time of this meeting, the Complainant was appointed to this Board on July 23, 2012. She further alleges that Respondent reapplied for his board position in July, and during an interview failed to disclose his status as agent to an applicant coming before the board in what she believes is a conflict of interest. Respondent was reappointed to HRPB on July 24, 2012.

The specific basis for this Complaint is an allegation that Respondent has met with City staff as an agent of either the applicant or the architect, and has been involved in lobbying efforts of City staff, while not being registered as a lobbyist as required by the Lobbyist Registration Ordinance.

Investigation

I assumed this investigation from Investigator Mark Bannon on Wednesday, October 3, 2012. On this same date, I accompanied Investigator Bannon to conduct an interview with Kelly Christensen, Preservation Planner, at her office located at 1900 second Avenue North, Lake Worth Florida. During the interview Investigator Bannon asked Christensen if she met with the Respondent at any time concerning the property at 302 Lakeside Drive. According to Christensen, on July 18, 2012, she met with the project architect Ken Brower and the Respondent along with Karen Vaughn, City Planning and Preservation Manager and William Waters, City Director for Community

Sustainability. Christensen recalls that during the meeting, Brower was more involved in the discussion with staff while the Respondent simply observed. Christensen reported one other meeting that took place in July 2012 with the Board members to discuss board rules and procedures. She stated, during this meeting the Respondent made no mention of the property at 302 Lakeside Drive, however he did ask a question concerning the procedures to abstain from a vote.

At the conclusion of the interview, Investigator Bannon asked Christensen to provide copies of all emails between her and the Respondent. In addition she was asked to provide copies of all contact logs for the months of July and August 2012.

On October 5, 2012, I received the information Investigator Bannon requested from Christensen via email with attachments. I reviewed the attached emails and found one email communication between Christensen and the Respondent that took place on September 5, 2012. In this email exchange, the Respondent asked Christensen to, "Confirm whether or not the Old Lucerne Historic District is a local or national historic district". I also reviewed the contact logs from July 2012 thru August 2012 and found that neither the Respondent nor Brower had ever signed the contact logs.

A review of the City of Lake Worth Application for Consideration of a Certificate of Appropriateness for new construction at the property (COA# 12-00100066) lists the "Applicant" as Tim and Elizabeth Hulett. It lists the property owner as Hector Cabrera of Lake Worth. The application lists as Agents to the applicant, Brower Architectural Associates of Palm Beach and the Respondent. After my initial review of the information contained in the file, I determined that I needed to conduct additional interviews.

On October 11, 2012, I made contact with William Waters, Director of Community Sustainability and set an appointment to interview him on October 16, 2012, at 9:00 AM. I also made contact with Vaughn and set an appointment to interview her at 8:00 AM on the same date.

It should be noted, during the investigation several unsuccessful attempts were made to contact the Hulett family.

• Sworn statement: Hector Cabrera, Owner

On October 15, 2012, I contacted Hector Cabrera, the owner of the property located 302 Lakeside Drive, Lake Worth, FL (the property). I asked Cabrera if he would be willing to answer a few questions concerning the sale of his property. He agreed and came to the Commission on Ethics Offices located at 2633 Vista Parkway, West Palm Beach, FL 33411, to provide a statement. The interview was recorded and Cabrera was placed under oath. No other persons were present during this interview.

Mr. Cabrera stated that he is currently the owner and seller of the property located at 302 North lakeside drive, lake Worth, FL. According to Cabrera the property is currently vacant however the prospective buyers plan to build a new single family home on the site which requires approval from the Lake Worth Historical Resources Preservation Board (HRPB). I asked Cabrera if he was familiar with the HRPB approval process and he stated that he did have knowledge of the process because he has presented other projects to this board for approval in the past. I then asked him if he knew the Respondent, Wes Blackman. He stated that he knew Mr. Blackman as a long standing member of the HRPB.

I asked Cabrera if he had hired Blackman to conduct any business on his behalf for the sale of the property or to obtain approval from the HRPB, he replied "no, I have no business relationship with him." I then asked if he knew what Blackman's involvement with the project was. He stated that he was not sure what Blackman's involvement was because as the seller he has no interest. I also asked Cabrera if he knew who hired Blackman. He stated that he did not know.

End of Interview.

<u>Sworn statement: Karen Vaughn, Planning & Preservation Manager, City of Lake Worth Department of</u> <u>Community Sustainability</u>

October 16, 2012, I went to the offices of the City of Lake Worth Community Sustainability located at 1900 second Avenue North Lake Worth, FL, to interview Karen Vaughn, Planning & Preservation Manager. The interview was recorded and Vaughn was placed under oath. No other persons were present during this interview.

According to Vaughn, the property is a vacant lot that is located in a historic preservation district and requires the HRPB to issue a "Certificate of Appropriateness" prior to any new construction taking place on the site. Vaughn added that the property is the last undeveloped parcel in the neighborhood and the property line runs along the municipal golf course. The property is a legal lot of record that is zoned for single family home construction by right, with a permitted height restriction up to 35 feet.

I asked Vaughn if she knew the Respondent, she replied "Yes," however only through his service on the HRPB. Vaughn stated that the application process for COA# 12-00100066 began prior to her employment with the City. When she became involved with COA# 12-00100066 much of process had been completed however the application had not been presented to HRPB for approval.

I asked Vaughn to explain the process to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness for a new construction project. She stated that for new construction projects in a historic preservation district, a series of pre-development meetings are held with the applicant prior to the application package being submitted to the Department of Community Sustainability (the department) to ensure that the applicant meets all of the requirements of the Land Development Code. Additionally, the Certificate of Appropriateness ensures that the proposed construction is compatible with the existing structures in the neighborhood.

I asked Vaughn if she or any other staff members met with the Respondent regarding COA# 12-00100066 prior to the application being presented to HRPB for approval. She said that there were several pre-development meetings that took place prior to the application being submitted however she only participated in one where the Respondent was present. I asked if any other individuals were present during this meeting. Vaughn indicted that William Waters, Kelly Christensen and Ken Brower were also present. I then asked her if she could recall the date that this meeting took place, however; she was uncertain of that information and suggested that the exact date could be obtained from Christensen. I asked Vaughn to explain her understanding of Respondent's purpose for attending the meeting. She stated that she understood him to be a representative of the project. She explained that the Respondent did not speak much during the meeting, and Brower and Waters were primarily involved in a discussion regarding architectural style.

I then asked Vaughn what she believed the Respondent's role to be in COA# 12-00100066. She stated that she was not sure, however she assumed that he was hired to help navigate through the City's zoning code. I asked her if she knew who was responsible for hiring the Respondent. She stated that she did not know if the Respondent was hired by the owner, the buyer or the architect. I asked if it would be unusual to hire someone like the Respondent to deal with an application for a Certificate of Appropriateness. She stated that she did not feel that it would be an unusual occurrence. I asked if she had any other communications with the Respondent during the application process. She replied, "No" and stated the only other contact she had with the Respondent was during an HRPB orientation where all board members were present which took place mid-summer 2012.

I then asked if she had any knowledge regarding the sign-in procedure for registered lobbyists. Vaughn stated that the City's procedure required all visitors to the building to sign-in and to indicate whether or not they are a lobbyist. I asked her if there would be any reason why an individual would not sign-in, particularly the Respondent and Brower on the date of July 18, 2012. She indicated there is no reason that she is aware of in which an individual would not be required to sign in, however the process is new and the persons at the front desk may not have asked them to sign in because they were there to meet with a specific person.

End of Interview.

Sworn statement: William Waters, Director, City of Lake Worth Department of Community Sustainability

October 16, 2012, I went to the offices of the City of Lake Worth Community Sustainability located at 1900 Second Avenue North Lake Worth, FL, to interview William Waters, Director. The interview was recorded and Waters was placed under oath. No other persons were present during this interview.

I asked Waters if he knew the Respondent, he replied "Yes", and that he has known him over the past 20 years as they both are land development planners. Waters stated that Respondent was appointed to the HRPB over a year and a half ago, however prior to that he served as the Board Chairman when both Planning and Zoning and Historic Preservation were one board.

I asked Waters to describe his understanding of the Respondent's involvement in COA# 12-00100066. According to Waters, he did not know what the Respondent's role was, except when the project was initially started the Respondent introduced him to Brower. Following the introductions, the Respondent sat off in the corner while he and Brower discussed the application process. I then asked Waters how many meetings he participated in with the Respondent. He replied, "Two." I asked if he remembered the dates of those meetings. He stated that the first meeting took place in early March 2012 and the second meeting in early April 2012, however there were subsequent meetings in which the Respondent was not present.

I asked him to describe the Respondent's involvement in the meeting in which he was present. Waters stated that the Respondent listened, took notes and asked a question regarding a proposed zoning ordinance and how it would affect the project and a question regarding the Comprehensive Plan. I asked Waters if the Respondent attempted to influence staff's decision during either one of these meetings. He replied, "No."

I then asked if he knew who hired the Respondent to work on COA# 12-00100066. According to Waters, he believed the Respondent to be an intermediary between the applicant and Brower keeping each of them informed. Waters added that he was not sure exactly who hired Respondent. He believed it to be Brower.

I asked Waters whether it would it be unusual to hire someone like the Respondent to deal with an application for a Certificate of Appropriateness. He stated that the larger the project, the more difficult it becomes for the applicant to attend meetings, and therefore, it increases their willingness to hire an owner representative. According to Waters, there are few times in the City when an owner representative is hired, because they have not had many new construction projects in the Historic Preservation District. Waters stated that this project is only the second new construction during his tenure with the City. He stated that the prior new construction also had an owner representative.

I asked Waters if he had any direct e-mail communication with the Respondent during the application process. He stated that most of his communication was directly with Brower. I asked if he would supply me with a copy of all his e-mail communication regarding COA# 12-00100066 and also the exact dates of the two meetings in which the Respondent was present. He agreed to supply me with the requested information following our meeting.

End of Interview.

• Telephone statement: Ken Brower, Brower Architectural Associates

On October 16, 2012, I made contact with Ken Brower, Brower Architectural Associates. I asked Brower if he knew the Respondent. He replied "yes", and that he knew that the Respondent was the Chairman of the HRPB. I then asked if he or the Hulett family hired the Respondent to work on COA# 12-00100066. According to Brower, the Respondent was hired by the Hulett family and that it was Respondent who put Brower in contact with the Hulett family regarding the architectural component of the project. I then asked if he knew how the Respondent's services were solicited. Brower stated that he was not sure; however he believed that Greg Rice, who works for the Hulett family, is the individual responsible for putting Respondent in contact with the Hulett family. I asked him to describe the Respondent's role in COA# 12-00100066. According to Brower, the Respondent was hired to "facilitate the process, because it can be very cumbersome and time consuming."

End of Interview.

On October 17, 2012, I received an e-mail communication from Waters with several e-mail attachments. A review of the e-mails provided by Waters revealed that his initial meeting with the Respondent took place on March 9, 2012, prior to the April 1, 2012 effective date of the Lobbyist Registration ordinance. My review also revealed e-mail communication between the Respondent and several other City staff members regarding a proposed easement agreement for the property located at 302 Lakeside Drive, Lake Work, FL.

On October 18, 2012, I made contact with Christie Goddeau, City Attorney. I asked Goddeau about an email message that she sent to the Respondent dated August 21, 2012 regarding the grant of an easement for 302 N. Lakeside Drive, Lake Worth, FL 33460. According to Goddeau, she got involved in the easement agreement a few months after the interim City Attorney took employment elsewhere. Goddeau stated that she never had any meetings or conversations with Respondent. She said she was only responsible for drafting the final easement agreement and forwarding it to the Respondent. I then asked at any time during her involvement with the easement if the Respondent made any written or oral attempt to influence her decision. She replied, "No."

I also made contact with Monica Morandi, Utility Engineer for the City of Lake Worth. According to Morandi, on July 16, 2012, she and Melissa Anderson, former Interim City Attorney, met with the Respondent and the Hulett's regarding a right of way easement. I asked Morandi what the Respondent's role was during their meeting. Morandi stated that the Respondent introduced the owners and indicated that he was their representative. I asked her if the Respondent attempted to influence her decision regarding the easement at any time during the meeting. She replied, "No." According to Morandi the Respondent simply listened and took notes regarding what would be needed for the easement.

• Sworn statement: Greg Rice, Marketing Director, Hulett Environmental Services

On October 24, 2012, I interviewed Greg Rice, Marketing Director for Hulett Environmental Services. The interview was held at the Commission on Ethics Offices located at 2633 Vista Parkway, West Palm beach, FL. The interview was recorded and Rice was placed under oath. No other persons were present during this interview.

I asked Rice if he knew the Respondent, Wes Blackman. He replied, "Yes," and stated that he was familiar with the Respondent because of his professional work as a land development planner and his service on the HRPB. I then asked Rice if he was familiar with the proposed new construction project located at 302 N. Lakeside Drive, Lake Worth, FL. According to Rice, he was very familiar with the site because he is a resident of Lake Worth. He stated that at one point he sought to purchase the lot himself. I then asked if he had any direct involvement with COA# 12-00100066 on behalf of the Hulett family. Rice stated that he had no direct involvement on the project; however, he did receive a call from the applicant prior to the purchase of the lot. According to Rice, the applicant expressed his interest in purchasing the lot and building a new home on the property. Rice said that he advised the applicant that if he was interested in purchasing the property, then he would recommend that the applicant hire someone with experience in historic preservation development to assist them in navigating the process.

I asked Rice if he or the applicant hired the Respondent. According to Rice, he recommended the Respondent to the applicant, who in-turn hired the Respondent. I then asked why he recommended the Respondent for the project. He stated that he knew that the Respondent was a land development planner in Lake Work and a member of the HRPB who had performed this type of service in the past. I asked Rice what the Respondent's role was in the project. He stated that the Respondent was hired to "shepherd" the applicant through the application process. According to Rice the Respondent was responsible for assisting the applicant in completing application documents and ensuring deadlines were met.

End of Interview.

Telephone statement: Melissa Anderson, former Assistant City Attorney for the City of Lake Worth

On October 29, 2012, I made contact with Melissa Anderson, former Assistant City Attorney for the City of Lake Worth. I asked Anderson about her email communication with the Respondent regarding the easement agreement for 302 N. Lakeside Drive. According to Anderson in May 2012, she was advised by Morandi that there was an existing sanitary gravity line located on the property that required the homeowner to dedicate a 15ft easement prior to receiving approval on the architectural plans for the proposed new home construction. Anderson stated that she received a request from Morandi to draft the proposed easement agreement and to forward it to the Respondent. A review of e-mail documentation submitted to the file revealed, on July 18, 2012, Anderson forwarded a copy of the draft easement agreement to Morandi and Waters for review.

I then asked Anderson if she ever met with the Respondent concerning the easement agreement. She replied, "Yes," she along with Morandi met on one occasion with the Respondent and the Hulett's, however, she could not remember the exact date. Witness testimony and documents obtained during the interview revealed that this meeting took place on July 16, 2012. I then asked Anderson to describe the Respondent's role during this meeting. She stated that the Respondent asked questions about what was needed in order to complete the project and that he took notes during their conversation. I asked at any time during the meeting did the Respondent attempt to influence her or any other staff member with regard to the easement. She replied, "No." I then asked, if the Respondent attempted to influence her either in writing or orally at any other time during her involvement with the project and she indicated he had not.

<u>Sworn statement: Wes Blackman, Respondent</u>

On October 30, 2012, I interviewed Wes Blackman, Chairman of Lake Worth HRPB. The interview was held at Blackman's Office, located at 241 Columbia Drive, Lake Worth, FL. The interview was recorded and Blackman was placed under oath. No other persons were present during this interview.

According to Blackman, in March 2012, he was hired by the Huletts to serve as a consultant regarding the establishment of an easement for the property located at 302 N. Lakeside Drive and to also assist in providing information regarding code changes related to the application process for COA# 12-00100066. I asked Blackman, how the Huletts became aware of his services. He stated, he was recommended to the Huletts by Greg Rice, because Rice is familiar with his background in land development planning through their interactions as residents of Lake Worth. Blackman explained that Rice recommended him to the Huletts because he felt that he could assist them to understand changes to the application process due to changes to the code and the regulatory structure within the City.

I then asked Blackman, if he had performed this service for other clients in the past. He replied, "Yes", on one other occasion for a window replacement project that came before the HRPB, however, he abstained from voting. I asked Blackman to explain the conversations he had with the Hulett's at the time his services were contracted. According to Blackman, his services would be to provide information to assist the architect in designing the construction plans so that they meet zoning regulations of the historic preservation district. Blackman added that did disclose that he was a member of the HRPB, however, he advised them he would not be voting on the issue. He also stated that at no time did he guarantee the Huletts that he would be able to get the application approved.

I asked Blackman if he met with any Lake Worth staff members to discuss the project. He stated that he believed that he had two or three meeting with staff. Witness testimony and documentary evidence indicated that there were three meetings between the Respondent and Lake Worth staff. I then asked Blackman to describe his role in each of these meetings. He stated, during each of these meetings the architect was the person communicating with staff and he was there listening and taking notes. I asked him if he made any attempts during these meeting to influence any staff decisions. He replied, "No." I also asked, if he attempted to use his official position to gain favorable or preferential treatment from staff concerning project approval. He again replied, "No."

I asked Blackman about his meetings with the residents in the community. He explained that it was part of his role as an urban planner to meet with the residents in the community of the proposed project. He further stated as a

member of the American Institute of Certified Planners, he is responsible for providing accurate information to any individual who may be affected by a proposed project. This requires meeting adjacent property owners to the proposed project and working with them to make sure that they are aware of what is taking place and to reduce any impact the project may have on the community.

Blackman stated when he met with the Residents he did disclose that he was a member of the HRPB and that he would not be voting. I asked him to explain the context in which he disclosed this information. According to Blackman, he wanted to provide a clear record that "he did not have a horse in the race." Blackman confirmed that each time the issue came before the HRPB, he recused himself from the vote and did not participate in any conversation.

End of Interview

A review of the HRPB meeting minutes revealed that COA# 12-00100066 was discussed on September 12, 2012. The item was passed and the Respondent abstained from the vote and did not participate. In addition, on September 13, 2012, the Respondent filed the appropriate Form 8B with the State Commission on Ethics and a copy has been submitted to file.

• Documents Submitted to File

- 1. Copy of e-mails from K. Christensen
- 2. Copy of e-mails from W. Waters
- 3. Copy of contact logs from Planning, Zoning and Historic Preservation Department, located at 1900 Second Avenue North, Lake Worth, for the period from 7/03/2012 to 09/20/2012.
- 4. Form 8B Memoranda of Voting Conflict (4) for County, Municipal, and Other Public Officers for Wes Blackman.
- <u>Analysis</u>

The following portions of the PBC Commission on Ethics ordinance are relevant to this Inquiry:

Section 2-254. Creation and jurisdiction.

The Palm Beach County Commission on Ethics (hereinafter "commission on ethics") is hereby established. The jurisdiction of the commission on ethics shall extend to *any person required to comply with the countywide code of ethics, the county lobbyist registration ordinance,* and the county post-employment ordinance... (Emphasis added)

The following sections of the Palm Beach County Code of Ethics are relevant to this inquiry.

Section 2-442. Definitions.

Official or *employee* means any official or employee of the county or the municipalities located within the county, whether paid or unpaid.... *The term "official" shall mean* members of the board of county commissioners, a mayor, members of local municipal governing bodies, and *members appointed by* the board of county commissioners, *members of local municipal governing bodies* or mayors or chief executive officers that are not members of local municipal governing body, as applicable, *to serve on any advisory, quasi judicial, or any other board of the county, state, or any other regional, local, municipal, or corporate entity.* (Emphasis added)

Section 2-443(a), *Misuse of public office or employment*, states in relevant portion:

An *official* or employee shall not use his or her official position or office, or take or fail to take any action, or influence others to take or fail to take any action, in a manner which he or she knows or should know with the

exercise of reasonable care will result in a special financial benefit, not shared with similarly situated members of the general public, for any of the following persons or entities: (Emphasis added)

- (1) Himself or herself;
- (4) An outside employer or business of his or hers, or of his or her spouse or domestic partner, or someone who is known to such official or employee to work for such outside employer or business;
- (5) A customer or client of the official or employee's outside employer or business;

Section 2-443(c) Disclosure of voting conflicts, states in relevant portion:

County and municipal officials as applicable *shall abstain from voting and not participate in any matter that will result in a special financial benefit as set forth in subsections (a)(1) through (7) above (Emphasis added) In addition, the official must publicly disclose the conflict and complete and file a State of Florida Commission on Ethics Conflict Form 8B. Simultaneously with filing the 8B Form, the official must submit a copy to the county commission on ethics.*

Witness testimony indicated that the Respondent was hired at the recommendation of Greg Rice (Hullet employee) to assist the Hulett family with completing the application process for a Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) for the construction of a new home to be built on a vacant lot located at 302 S. Lakeshore Drive, Lake Worth, FL. (COA# 12-00100066). A review of documents submitted to file indicated that the property address was located in a "historic district" requiring COA# 12-00100066 to be approved by the HRPB, the same Board that the Respondent serves as the Chairman. On September 12, 2012, COA# 12-00100066 was approved by the HRPB. A review of the recorded meeting conducted by Investigator Bannon revealed that the Respondent publicly recognized that he had a conflict of interest with COA# 12-00100066, did not participate in any discussion on the matter and abstained from voting.

The Complainant also alleged that the Respondent failed to disclose a conflict of interest at the time of his reappointment to the HRPB on July 24, 2012. Even if this allegation is true, it does not constitute a violation of the Palm Beach County Code of Ethics. Recurring conflicts of interest are addressed under the State of Florida Ethics Code. It should be noted that Respondent has filed four (4) 8B Conflict of Interest Forms since January, 2012.

The following sections of the Palm Beach County Lobbyist Registration Ordinance are relevant to this inquiry.

ARTICLE VIII. LOBBYIST REGISTRATION

Sec. 2-351. Title and purpose.

(a) This article may be cited as the "Palm Beach County Lobbyist Registration Ordinance."

Sec. 2-352. Definitions.

Unless expressly provided herein to the contrary, for purposes of this article, the following definitions will apply:

Advisory board shall mean any advisory or quasi-judicial board created by the board of county commissioners, by the local municipal governing bodies, or by the mayors who serve as chief executive officers or by mayors who are not members of local municipal governing bodies.

Central Lobbyist Registration Site will mean the official location for countywide lobbyist registration.

Lobbying shall mean seeking to influence a decision through oral or written communication or an attempt to obtain the goodwill of any county commissioner, any member of a local municipal governing body, any mayor or chief executive officer that is not a member of a local municipal governing body, any advisory board member, or any employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board, the board of county commissioners, or the local municipal governing body lobbied as applicable.

Lobbyist shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal responsibility to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

Official or *employee* means any official or employee of the county or the municipalities located within the county, whether paid or unpaid.... The term "official" shall mean members of the board of county commissioners, a mayor, members of local municipal governing bodies, and *members appointed by the board of county commissioners, members of local municipal governing bodies or mayors or chief executive officers that are not members of local municipal governing body, as applicable, to serve on any advisory, quasi judicial, or any other board of the county, state, or any other regional, local, municipal, or corporate entity. (Emphasis added)*

Principal shall mean the person or entity a lobbyist represents, including a lobbyist's employer or client, for the purpose of lobbying.

Sec. 2-353. Registration and expenditures.

(a) Registration required. Prior to lobbying, all lobbyists shall submit an original, fully executed registration form to county administration, which shall serve as the official location for countywide lobbyist registration and which shall be known as the "Central Lobbyist Registration Site." The registration may be submitted in paper or electronic form pursuant to countywide policies and procedures. Each lobbyist is required to submit a separate registration for each principal represented. A registration fee of twenty-five dollars (\$25) must be included with each registration form submitted. A registrant shall promptly send a written statement to county administration canceling the registration for a principal upon termination of the lobbyist's representation of that principal. This statement shall be signed by the lobbyist. Lobbying prior to registration is prohibited. It is the responsibility of the lobbyist to keep all information contained in the registration form current and up to date.

Sec. 2-354. Record of lobbying contacts.

(a) Contact log. Except when appearing before the board, local municipal governing body, or any advisory board, all persons shall sign, for each instance of lobbying, contact logs maintained and available in the office of reception of each department of county or municipal government as applicable. The person shall provide his or her name, whether or not the person is a lobbyist as defined in this article, the name of each principal, if any, represented in the course of the particular contact, and the subject matter of the lobbying contact. All contact logs shall be maintained by the County or municipality as applicable for a period of five (5) fiscal years. (Emphasis added)

The Complaint alleges that the Respondent failed to register as a lobbyist pursuant to Sec. 2-353. *Registration and expenditures.* A lobbyist is defined as any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal. Lobbying is defined as seeking to influence a decision through oral or written communication, or attempt to obtain goodwill, of any public official or employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may forseeably be presented for consideration to an advisory board or governing body.

Whether or not a particular individual is captured within these definitions is determined by the specifics facts and circumstances of the contact between that individual and public employees and officials. In this particular case, there is no documentary evidence or witness testimony indicating that the Respondent was contracted to perform services defined as *lobbying* pursuant to Sec. 2-352, *Definitions*, of the Palm Beach County Lobbyist Registration Ordinance. Interviews with City staff revealed that the Respondent's contacts with staff involved his seeking information from staff and acting as a facilitator for the applicant, and that during each of the Respondent's meetings with staff, the Respondent did not attempt to influence a staff decision. According to the uncontroverted testimony, the information exchange during these meetings was one-sided with information flowing from staff to the Respondent simply asking questions and taking notes.

In RQO 12-025, the COE determined that a person who contracts with a principal for economic consideration who meets with county or municipal staff for the sole purpose of gathering information for a project, asking technical questions only, and not providing information to county or municipal staff other than what is needed to meet technical requirements for required approvals, is not engaged in lobbying and is not required to register as a lobbyist.

Submitted by:

James A. Poag, Investigator PB County Commission on Ethics Reviewed by:

(Initials)

16/12 Date

Kelly Christensen

From:	lisa segarra [golden_girl_usa@yahoo.com]
Sent:	Wednesday, May 02, 2012 1:41 PM
To:	Kelly Christensen
Subject:	Fw: HRPB - 302 N. Lakeside application for Certificate of Appropiateness
Categories:	Red Category, HRPB

Please see the letter I wrote to Mr. Occhiogrosso concerning the HRPB - 302 N. Lakeside application for Certificate of Appropiateness Thanks you! Lisa Segarra

Lisa T. Segarra, MPH,RD,LD Certified Personal Trainer and Registered Dietitian 515 East Beach Drive Ocean Springs, MS 39564 228-875-2954

"Twenty years from now you will be more disappointed by the things that you didn't do than by the ones you did. So throw off the bowlines. Sail away from the safe harbor. Catch the trade winds in your sails. Explore. Dream. Discover." -- Mark Twain

----- Forwarded Message -----From: lisa segarra <<u>golden_girl_usa@yahoo.com</u>> To: Gestalt Design <<u>gestaltdesign@bellsouth.net</u>> Sent: Wednesday, May 2, 2012 12:02 AM Subject: Re: HRPB - 302 N. Lakeside application for Certificate of Appropriateness

Mr. Manuel Occhiogrosso, Chairman of the Historical Resources Preservation Board City of Lake Worth, Florida

Dear Mr. Occhiogrosso,

Regrettably I am unable to visit Lake Worth at this time to discuss in person the development of 302 N. Lakeside Drive with either the owner of the property, or with you. Therefore, I am writing to you regarding the application for Certificate of Appropriateness(application number 2-00100066) regarding the proposed construction of a 4000 square foot spec home on the vacant lot at 302 North Lakeside Drive. This is adjacent to my historic cottage, located at 230 North Lakeside Drive. My cottage is full of Old South Florida charm, and built in 1925. I have painstakingly tried to maintain the character and historic quality of my home. I have seen the plans for the proposed new construction, and feel that a house of this size, bulk, and scale will be incompatible with the neighbourhood, and will negatively impact the historic nature of the quaint Parrot Cove neighbourhood, as well as negatively effect the real estate and aesthetic value of my cottage home, as well as the other homes in the neighbourhood. Would it be possible for the architect to design something less daunting, and overwhelming to the neighborhood, but still desirable to the owner of the property?

I hope that this will be considered very carefully; we all want to work together to preserve the charm and old Florida character of our neighbourhood.

Respectively submitted,

Lisa Segarra, Owner, 230 North Lakeside Drive Lake Worth, Florida



Lisa T. Segarra, MPH,RD,LD Certified Personal Trainer and Registered Dietitian 515 East Beach Drive Ocean Springs, MS 39564 228-875-2954

"Twenty years from now you will be more disappointed by the things that you didn't do than by the ones you did. So throw off the bowlines. Sail away from the safe harbor. Catch the trade winds in your sails. Explore. Dream. Discover." -- Mark Twain

May 5, 2012

TO: Chair & Members of the Historic Resources Preservation Board Mr & Mrs. Hulett

RE: HRPB Project No. 12-00100066 302 N. Lakeside Drive PNC#38-43-44-21-15-430-0010

In reference to the above application and information posted for the board meeting May 9^{th.} I will not be available to attend the meeting but submit my comments within.

I have had a chance to consider the applications vision in it's entirety. The information presented to the board is worded as conformable but is not convincing. Taking into consideration all of the "radical departures from the historic patterns within the historic district", specifically items 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, and then the responses that do not answer the criteria relative to the adjoining /adjacent structures but are "in keeping with the proposed style", criteria 1, 2, 8, 10, 11;. summed together, becomes a big stretch in meeting the old Florida charm the neighborhood has. Regardless of the property being designed within the newer setbacks, you have to agree that the comparative elements are lost.

Further described in the elevation exercise sheet, A2.03, without measuring every inch, it is obvious that the building pushes the limits to the extent that it is out of place with the immediate homes. For example, every house it compares to has a one story feature and in some cases, some sort of curvature, as well as the height of other two story homes are consistent with the other. It is plain to see that this home, out of character the way it is.

I acknowledge the efforts in putting the initial presentation together, but I request that you, the board and the Owner re-evaluate the front elevation, (west facing) and propose a one story feature and reduce the height of the roof line to put forth a flow in appearance to the adjoining and adjacent homes.

For a visual I have taken the liberty in providing a cut and paste of the applicants elevations suggesting that just the entrance and the office area, be designed as a one story. It would entail pushing the upper level of the house back, and lower the height of the roof line, thus creating a beautiful covered porch off the entire back of the house. This would include pushing back the upper deck as well. Doing so, you achieve more consistency with adjoining houses along the front without fully compromising the initially vision of roof lines and no loss in square footage of the house.

Finally, like my neighbors, I welcome the development of this property, and I live here because of the uniqueness of architecture in the neighborhood. I hold my confidence in you that modifications are

made to this proposal to preserve the architectural flow we have in Old Lucerne. A neighborhood that somehow blends from one house to the next because of one similarity to another.

Respectfully requested, Regina Miller 303 N Lake Side Drive (directly across the street) Lake Worth, FL 33460 Tel: 561-667-5387 Email: <u>Pro_Solutions@comcast.net</u>



Suggested Front Elevation



Suggested North Side

Here are the City of Lake Worth's prominent architectural styles referred to at. <u>http://www.lakeworth.org/index.asp?Type=B_BASIC&SEC</u>={C490B34C-1F7A-44AF-BB3B-CD8123C23064} Perhaps we can utilize some of these concepts as well....:)

Prominent Architectural Styles: <u>http://www.lakeworth.org/index.asp?</u> <u>Type=B_BASIC&SEC</u>={C490B34C-1F7A-44AF-BB3B-CD8123C23064}

Art Deco/Art Moderne (1920's-1930's)

This style is usually found on public or commercial structures and is frequently used for apartment buildings. Identifying features of this style include a smooth wall surface, usually of stucco, zigzags, chevrons and other stylized or geometric motifs on the façade. A vertical emphasis is often placed on the structure through the use of towers or vertical projections above the roofline.

Bungalow (1900-1940)



Influenced by peasant huts in India, Bungalows have a particularly good design for Florida climate utilizing large, wide overhangs, wrap around porches, and pier foundations to cool the interior. Design characteristics typically include battered porch piers or columns, brick chimneys, multi-plane roof lines often with cross or front facing gables with exposed rafters tails, dormers, roof brackets or knee braces, and gable vents. Fenestration can include casements, and single or double hung sash with 3/1 and 4/1 light configurations. Hand carved woodwork and stained glass craftsmanship is also common in the interior as well as exterior.

Frame Vernacular



Frame Vernacular

Constructed of wood framing and reflecting no high architectural style or formal architectural design, the vernacular house can be either symmetrical or asymmetrical in design. Built by laymen, often the owner, with no formal construction experience who utilized local building materials and craftsmanship techniques. (Also Masonry Vernacular)

Mediterranean Revival (1915-1940)



Influenced by the Italian and Spanish styles and popularized by Henry Flagler and Addison Mizner, this style displays low pitched, multi-plane roof lines often with red clay tiles and little or no overhang. Tile visor roofs are also common. A stucco exterior, often textured, covers an asymmetrical, wood or hollow clay tile frame. Arches are prevalent within the fenestration design including entryways, arcades, and colonnades. <u>Minimal Traditional (1935-1950)</u>



Typically, a one-story building displaying a low or intermediate pitched gable roof with a large chimney. Shares Tudor style traits such as rock or brick facing (particularly on the chimney) and minimal wood detailing. Popularized after World War II, this style is often associated with tract housing.

Mission Revival

Minimally ornamented with built-up, flat roofs with stepped or curvilinear parapets, a wood or hollow clay tile frame with smooth or rough textured stucco exterior. Tile visor roofs over windows and entryways are also common. Fenestration often includes casement windows and arched entryways.

Monterrey (1925-1955)



Two-story building with low pitched gable or hip roof. Second story displays a balcony typically cantilevered over the first floor and covered by the principal roof. Fenestration includes paired windows often with false shutters

Resort Colonial Revival (1930-1939)

Typically two-story with a rectangular or square floor plan topped with hip or side-gabled roof and often wood cladding. Symmetrical and asymmetrical facades are common with minimal detailing. Fenestration includes a six, eight, or nine light pattern on a double hung sash with bay, paired, and triple windows, often part of the design elements

Kelly Christensen

From:	Robert Wanvestraut [roberthw@hotmail.com]
Sent:	Saturday, July 14, 2012 3:21 PM
To:	Kelly Christensen
Subject:	302 N Lakeside Drive
Follow Up Flag:	Follow up
Flag Status:	Completed
Categories:	Red Category

Dear Ms. Christensen,

It has come to my attention the lot at 302 N. Lakeside Drive is in line to have a 2 story 4,000 sqrft home developed on the property.

Please be advised as to my objection to the construction of a home of those dimensions in our (historic) neighborhood.

I am not against development and have been generally happy to see recent developments in our area (Snook Island waterfront area, Artist Lofts etc.). However, a structure of the dimensions proposed for the home soon to be on 302 N. Lakeside is incongruent with historic nature of the rest of the area.

Please forward this correspondence to the Historical Resource Preservation Board for their review.

Sincerely,

Robert Wanvestraut 1128 North O Street Lake Worth FL 33460





Kelly Christensen

From:	William Waters
Sent:	Monday, June 25, 2012 2:52 PM
То:	Kelly Christensen; Karen Vaughn
Subject:	FW: COA#12-00100066 302 N. Lakeside
Attachments:	Historic guidelines.pdf

Categories: Red Category, HRPB

FYI Ladies. Thanks.

William Waters, AIA, NCARB, LEED AP BD+C FL AR94136 Director for Community Sustainability City of Lake Worth 1900 Second Avenue North Lake Worth, Florida 33461 561-586-1634 wwaters@lakeworth.org

From: Judith Just [mailto:judithjust01@hotmail.com] Sent: Monday, June 25, 2012 2:40 PM To: William Waters Subject: FW: COA#12-00100066 302 N. Lakeside

Since you are communicating directly with Wes Blackman ...

From: judithjust01@hotmail.com To: wesblackman@gmail.com CC: golden_girl_usa@yahoo.com; jeanne.thompson@state.ma.us; pro_solutions@comcast.net; peter.just@topproducer.com; jmorris187@aol.com Subject: COA#12-00100066 302 N. Lakeside Date: Sun, 24 Jun 2012 08:28:35 -0400

Mr. Blackman,

Peter and I reviewed the latest changes to the proposed construction. Have you ever reviewed the Florida guidelines for new construction as it relates to historic preservation?

Attached is a printout which I found under the Lake Worth website Historic Preservation page which specifically addresses new construction in historic Florida communities. As you know Old Lucerne is on the National Historical Register, does this not warrant following preservation guidelines for new construction?

Old Lucerne Historic Residential District (added 2001 - - #01000526) Also known as Townsite of Lucerne Roughly along N. Lakeside Dr., N. Palmway St., and N. O St., from Lake Ave. to 7th Ave. N, Lake Worth

Historic Significance: Event, Architecture/Engineering

Architectural Style: Mission/Spanish Revival

Area of Significance: Community Planning And Development, Architecture

Period of Significance: 1950-1974, 1925-1949, 1900-1924

Owner: Private

Historic Function: Domestic, Religion Historic Sub-function: Multiple Dwelling, Religious Structure, Single Dwelling Current Function: Domestic, Religion Current Sub-function: Multiple Dwelling, Religious Structure, Single Dwelling

As an active board member of the Historical Preservation Resource Board how do you reconcile lobbying for the construction of an inappropriately designed building in our historic neighborhood?

Clearly this project does not meet the compatibility criteria set out in the attached document. The adjacent residents are not requesting construction reflect something of a historical nature/design, we want compatible height, mass, scale and set backs to the site's adjacent properties!

The building 2 doors south of the proposed site should not be used for compatibility/comparison, it is not adjacent to the construction site, nor is it historic and obviously was never compatible to its adjacent properties. According to the scale of your drawings the proposed construction is even taller than this building!

With the options of many acceptable designs why choose one that is not compatible and force the proverbial hippotomus into a tea cup?

JJ

Judith Ann Just, Attorney, P.A. Tel. 561 547-0549 Fax 561-214-4788 Cell 561-379-5372 E-mail: judithjust01@hotmail.com

The information contained in this e-mail transmission is privileged and confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, nor the employee

or agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination or copying of this transmission

(including any attachments) is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender by email reply.

Thank you.

Kelly Christensen

From:	William Waters
Sent:	Tuesday, June 26, 2012 9:48 AM
То:	Kelly Christensen
Subject:	FW: COA#12-00100066 302 N. Lakeside
Attachments:	Historic guidelines.pdf

Categories: Red Category, HRPB

Please file. Thanks.

William Waters, AIA, NCARB, LEED AP BD+C FL AR94136 Director for Community Sustainability City of Lake Worth 1900 Second Avenue North Lake Worth, Florida 33461 561-586-1634 wwaters@lakeworth.org

From: Judith Just [mailto:judithjust01@hotmail.com] Sent: Monday, June 25, 2012 2:40 PM To: William Waters Subject: FW: COA#12-00100066 302 N. Lakeside

Since you are communicating directly with Wes Blackman ...

From: judithjust01@hotmail.com To: wesblackman@gmail.com CC: golden_girl_usa@yahoo.com; jeanne.thompson@state.ma.us; pro_solutions@comcast.net; peter.just@topproducer.com; jmorris187@aol.com Subject: COA#12-00100066 302 N. Lakeside Date: Sun, 24 Jun 2012 08:28:35 -0400

Mr. Blackman,

Peter and I reviewed the latest changes to the proposed construction. Have you ever reviewed the Florida guidelines for new construction as it relates to historic preservation?

Attached is a printout which I found under the Lake Worth website Historic Preservation page which specifically addresses new construction in historic Florida communities. As you know Old Lucerne is on the National Historical Register, does this not warrant following preservation guidelines for new construction?

Old Lucerne Historic Residential District (added 2001 - - #01000526) Also known as Townsite of Lucerne Roughly along N. Lakeside Dr., N. Palmway St., and N. O St., from Lake Ave. to 7th Ave. N, Lake Worth

Historic Significance: Event, Architecture/Engineering Architectural Style: Mission/Spanish Revival Area of Significance: Community Planning And Development, Architecture Period of Significance: 1950-1974, 1925-1949, 1900-1924 Owner: **Private**

1
Historic Function: Domestic, Religion Historic Sub-function: Multiple Dwelling, Religious Structure, Single Dwelling Current Function: Domestic, Religion Current Sub-function: Multiple Dwelling, Religious Structure, Single Dwelling

As an active board member of the Historical Preservation Resource Board how do you reconcile lobbying for the construction of an inappropriately designed building in our historic neighborhood?

Clearly this project does not meet the compatibility criteria set out in the attached document. The adjacent residents are not requesting construction reflect something of a historical nature/design, we want compatible height, mass, scale and set backs to the site's adjacent properties!

The building 2 doors south of the proposed site should not be used for compatibility/comparison, it is not adjacent to the construction site, nor is it historic and obviously was never compatible to its adjacent properties. According to the scale of your drawings the proposed construction is even taller than this building!

With the options of many acceptable designs why choose one that is not compatible and force the proverbial hippotomus into a tea cup?

ງງ

Judith Ann Just, Attorney, P.A. Tel. 561 547-0549 Fax 561-214-4788 Cell 561-379-5372 E-mail: judithjust01@hotmail.com

The information contained in this e-mail transmission is privileged and confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, nor the employee

or agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination or copying of this transmission

(including any attachments) is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender by email reply.

Thank you.

From:	Julie Marie [julimariad@gmail.com]
Sent:	Monday, July 09, 2012 9:48 AM
To:	Kelly Christensen
Subject:	302 N Lakeside Drive
Follow Up Flag:	Follow up
Flag Status:	Completed
Categories:	Red Category

Dear Kelly Christensen,

Thank you for your work for our City. As a homeowner in our City's Old Lucerne Histric Residential District, I would like to request your improvement to the proposed new home plan for 302 N Lakeside Drive. I am in favor of new construction, but it should be consistent with the character of our neighborhood. It is important to reflect the Mission / Spanish Revival or Art Deco architecture. The current proposed elevation is a box style home that would be in a mass development subdivision. Please request architectural style be changed to Mission / Spanish Revival or Art Deco.

Thank you, Julie Augustyn 632 N Lakeside Drive Lake Worth, FL 33460

From: Sent:	Lou Ann [labohn@comcast.net] Thursday, July 12, 2012 10:33 AM
То:	amoroso@lakeworth.org; Christopher McVoy; Scott Maxwell; Suzanne Mulvehill; Pam Triolo; City Manager; Kelly Christensen; waters@lakeworth.org
Subject:	Parrott Cove Construction
Categories:	Red Category, HRPB

I have been noticed that an unacceptable building project for a huge private home at 302 N. Lakeside is being considered for approval. This is a historic area and this size home does NOT fit the neighborhood nor is it consistent with the historic designation of Parrott Cove, a/k/a Old Lucerne. It isn't good for the community, nor for the owners of this project. That size home is a much better fit in College Park, not Parrot Cove. I and many others will be attending the August 8th meeting if this issue is on the agenda. We will state our disapproval of this inappropriate structure in a cottage community that has been designated as "Historic".

Thank you for your attention to this important matter. Your vote to prohibit this intrusion to our neighborhood will be greatly appreciated, AND it the responsible thing to do.

Lou Ann LaBohn 514 N. Palmway Lake Worth, FL 33460 561-512-0818

From:	James K. Brower [ken@baapb.com]
Sent:	Friday, July 13, 2012 10:34 AM
To:	Kelly Christensen
Subject:	RE: 302 North Lakeside Drive COA Application
Categories:	Red Category

Kelly,

I didn't think you were suggesting anything one way or the other.....[until you mentioned it – kidding]. I appreciate that you attached it. And I appreciate that you and the rest of the staff have been very helpful throughout this process.

Thank you for agreeing to set up a meeting. I will likely be bringing Wes Blackman.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Best,

Ken

J. Kenneth Brower, AIA BROWER ARCHITECTURAL ASSOCIATES, Inc. 350 South County Road Suite 207 Palm Beach, Florida 33480 Ph: 561 659 1948 Fax: 561 659 1968 Cell: 561 762 0363

From: Kelly Christensen [mailto:kchristensen@LakeWorth.org]
Sent: Thursday, July 12, 2012 5:12 PM
To: 'James K. Brower'
Subject: RE: 302 North Lakeside Drive COA Application

Mr. Brower-

I completely understand where you are coming from. I hope, that by including the flyer, you did not think that I was suggesting anything one way or the other about this project. I just wanted to make sure that you had all the information that I have so that when you and your client attend the meeting that you are fully aware of all the comments that are out there, so that you do not get ambushed by unexpected questions. It has been no issue at all for us to help facilitate bringing this project before the Board. It what I am here for, to help all applicants through this public hearing process as easily as possible.

I will get together with William tomorrow to figure out what when would be a good time to set up a meeting. I'd like to include him and Karen, because they have also been involved in the process of bringing the application to the HRPB.

Have a great evening, and I will speak to you tomorrow,

Kelly M. Christensen Preservation Planner Department for Community Sustainability



City of Lake Worth 1900 2nd Avenue North Lake Worth, Florida 33461 561-586-1690 kchristensen@lakeworth.org www.lakeworth.org

Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communication to or from local officials regarding City business is considered public records and available to the public and media upon request. Your communications may therefore be subject to public disclosure.

From: James K. Brower [mailto:ken@baapb.com]
Sent: Thursday, July 12, 2012 5:02 PM
To: Kelly Christensen
Subject: RE: 302 North Lakeside Drive COA Application

Good afternoon Kelly,

We have put a lot of work into making some significant changes to the project as a result of meeting with neighbors, reading their letters, along with the recent flyer. We have also had to work around the need to grant the city an easement along the southern 17.5 feet of the property. That situation led to the incorporation of a side entry garage, which significantly changed the house's street appearance, for the better in my opinion. We have also incorporated a number of other changes that we would like to fully present at the August HRPB meeting. We appreciate being granted the various continuances while we dealt with these issues.

We would like an opportunity to meet with you next week to review the project. I am also preparing a letter to those people that were mentioned on the flyer distributed through the neighborhood. The letter will review the changes to the project, over time, that are not represented in the flyer or the graphic that is part of the flyer. Taken as a whole, these changes address many of the neighbors' concerns. I would also point out that many neighbors support the project and we plan to present evidence of that prior to the meeting.

Please let me know when you might be available to meet with us next week.

Thank you,

Ken Brower

J. Kenneth Brower, A1A BROWER ARCHITECTURAL ASSOCIATES, Inc. 350 South County Road Suite 207 Palm Beach, Florida 33480 Ph: 561 659 1948 Fax: 561 659 1968 Cell: 561 762 0363 From: Kelly Christensen [mailto:kchristensen@LakeWorth.org]
Sent: Thursday, July 12, 2012 9:28 AM
To: 'James K. Brower'
Subject: RE: 302 North Lakeside Drive COA Application

Mr. Brower-

I wasn't going to make the plans available to the public until the middle of next week at the earliest, to give you some time to make any tweaks should they come up.

As you are probably aware, we have been getting some public comment in on this project. All of the letters we received will be available in the agenda packet for you to review ahead of the meeting. We were also recently informed that someone within the neighborhood distributed a flyer about the project, which I've attached to this email. We thought you and your client might want to see this right away, as it was widely distributed in the neighborhood.

Have a good morning,

Kelly M. Christensen Preservation Planner Department for Community Sustainability



City of Lake Worth 1900 2nd Avenue North Lake Worth, Florida 33461 561-586-1690 <u>kchristensen@lakeworth.org</u> www.lakeworth.org

Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communication to or from local officials regarding City business is considered public records and available to the public and media upon request. Your communications may therefore be subject to public disclosure.

From: James K. Brower [mailto:ken@baapb.com]
Sent: Wednesday, July 11, 2012 5:25 PM
To: Kelly Christensen
Subject: RE: 302 North Lakeside Drive COA Application

Thank you Kelly. Can you also let me know when these documents will be made public? Best, Ken

J. Kenneth Brower, A1A BROWER ARCHITECTURAL ASSOCIATES, Inc. 350 South County Road Suite 207 Palm Beach, Florida 33480 Ph: 561 659 1948 Fax: 561 659 1968 Cell: 561 762 0363 From: Kelly Christensen [mailto:kchristensen@LakeWorth.org]
Sent: Wednesday, July 11, 2012 4:45 PM
To: 'James K. Brower'
Subject: RE: 302 North Lakeside Drive COA Application

Mr. Brower-

I have the plans in hand, thank you! I will be in touch in a week or two to deliver a new sign with the proper meeting date on it.

Have a great afternoon,

Kelly Christensen

From: James K. Brower [mailto:ken@baapb.com]
Sent: Wednesday, July 11, 2012 3:51 PM
To: Kelly Christensen
Subject: RE: 302 North Lakeside Drive COA Application

She is on her way now.

J. Kenneth Brower, AIA BROWER ARCHITECTURAL ASSOCIATES, Inc. 350 South County Road Suite 207 Palm Beach, Florida 33480 Ph: 561 659 1948 Fax: 561 659 1968 Cell: 561 762 0363

From: Kelly Christensen [mailto:kchristensen@LakeWorth.org]
Sent: Wednesday, July 11, 2012 3:39 PM
To: 'James K. Brower'
Subject: RE: 302 North Lakeside Drive COA Application

Mr. Brower-

I will be here, just have her ask the ladies at the desk to call me up when she gets here.

Thanks,

Kelly Christensen

From: James K. Brower [mailto:ken@baapb.com]
Sent: Wednesday, July 11, 2012 3:38 PM
To: Kelly Christensen
Subject: RE: 302 North Lakeside Drive COA Application

Kelly,

Linda from my office, is dropping of another set of application drawings and a disk and I wanted to be sure you or someone knows they are coming. They should be here by 4:15 (sorry not sooner, but snags always seem to appear just when you don't expect them).

Thank you,

Ken

J. Kenneth Brower, AIA BROWER ARCHITECTURAL ASSOCIATES, Inc. 350 South County Road Suite 207 Palm Beach, Florida 33480 Ph: 561 659 1948 Fax: 561 659 1968 Cell: 561 762 0363

From: Sent: To:	kurt [kurthyde@yahoo.com] Sunday, July 15, 2012 11:02 AM amoroso@lakeworth.org; Christopher McVoy; Scott Maxwell; Suzanne Mulvehill; Pam Triolo; City Manager; Kelly Christensen; waters@lakeworth.org
Subject:	302 N. Lakeside
Follow Up Flag: Flag Status:	Follow up Completed

Categories: Red Category

I bought in Lake Worth in 2008 primarily because of its look and feel as a cottage community that has a proper height, mass, and scale. I love the historic nature of it and felt that it has great potential as long as it preserves its historic look. The house proposed on 302 N. Lakeside is a step in destroying that look and feel. Allowing such inappropriate structures for the neighborhood will also destroy many of the positive steps that Lake Worth has taken to preserve its historic character. In addition, data shows that towns with a preserved historic area fair far better in maintaining values than those that don't.

Please do not allow the construction of 302 N. Lakeside in its present form. It must conform to its historic district's look.

Kind regards,

Kurt Hyde 1018 N. Palmway

From: Sent:	Maryanne Webber [webbergalleries@bellsouth.net] Monday, July 16, 2012 10:41 AM
To:	William Waters; Kelly Christensen
Cc:	City Manager
Subject:	302 North Lakeside Drive Certificate of Appriateness

Categories:

Red Category

Mr. William Waters, AIA, NCARB, LEED AP BD+C, FL AR94136 Director for Community Sustainability City of Lake Worth 1900 Second Avenue North Lake Worth, Florida 33461

Re: Certificate of Appropriateness 302 North Lakeside Drive Lake Worth, FL

Dear Mr. Waters,

It has come to our attention that the vacant lot at 302 North Lakeside Drive will be reviewed by the Historic Resources Preservation Board in early August. We have made it a point to see the *most recent* plans for this proposal and we both feel that the owner and their architect has responded to and accommodated the suggestions of some of the neighbors on the street. We think the house will be a great addition to our street, our neighborhood and our historic district.

We have resided at 327 North Lakeside Drive since 1989. Our home is two story and it was built in 1913. It along with the City of Lake Worth will be celebrating its centennial birthday next year. Most likely at the time our home was built it was not the norm nor the average home being built in Lake Worth, but today it is a valued part of the historic aspect of our city.

We encourage the approval of the project.

Thank you,

Bruce and Maryanne Webber 327 N. Lakeside Drive Lake Worth

> Maryanne Webber Gallery 711 Lucerne Avenue Lake Worth, Florida 33460 561 585 0003 www.webbergallery.com

From:	James K. Brower [ken@baapb.com]
Sent:	Wednesday, July 18, 2012 9:47 AM
То:	Kelly Christensen
Subject:	RE: 3:00

My mistake, you are correct. It's 2:00. Wes Blackman will also be attending. Thanks

J. Kenneth Brower, AIA BROWER ARCHITECTURAL ASSOCIATES, Inc. 350 South County Road Suite 207 Palm Beach, Florida 33480 Ph: 561 659 1948 Fax: 561 659 1968 Cell: 561 762 0363

From: Kelly Christensen [mailto:<u>kchristensen@LakeWorth.org</u>] Sent: Wednesday, July 18, 2012 9:41 AM To: 'James K. Brower' Subject: RE: 3:00

Mr. Brower-

I had our meeting down for 2:00 this afternoon. William and I will both be in attendance. Is this time still okay for you? William has another meeting scheduled for 3:00 already.

Kelly M. Christensen Preservation Planner Department for Community Sustainability



City of Lake Worth 1900 2nd Avenue North Lake Worth, Florida 33461 561-586-1690 <u>kchristensen@lakeworth.org</u> <u>www.lakeworth.org</u>

Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communication to or from local officials regarding City business is considered public records and available to the public and media upon request. Your communications may therefore be subject to public disclosure.

From: James K. Brower [mailto:ken@baapb.com] Sent: Wednesday, July 18, 2012 9:41 AM To: Kelly Christensen Subject: 3:00 Good morning Kelly,

Just confirming our meeting there, today at 3:00.

Thanks,

Ken

J. Kenneth Brower, A1A BROWER ARCHITECTURAL ASSOCIATES, Inc. 350 South County Road Suite 207 Palm Beach, Florida 33480 Ph: 561 659 1948 Fax: 561 659 1968 Cell: 561 762 0363

From: Sent: To: Subject: Wes Blackman [wesblackman@gmail.com] Wednesday, September 05, 2012 1:34 PM Kelly Christensen Re: Old Lucerne Historic District

Thank you.

On Wed, Sep 5, 2012 at 1:29 PM, Kelly Christensen <<u>kchristensen@lakeworth.org</u>> wrote:

Mr. Blackman-

The Old Lucerne district is in fact listed on the *National Register* as a residential historic district. The boundaries are the same between the local and national district.

Kelly M. Christensen

Preservation Planner

Department for Community Sustainability



City of Lake Worth

1900 2nd Avenue North

Lake Worth, Florida 33461

561-586-1690

kchristensen@lakeworth.org

www.lakeworth.org

Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communication to or from local officials regarding City business is considered public records and available to the public and media upon request. Your communications may therefore be subject to public disclosure.

From: Wes Blackman [mailto:wesblackman@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, September 05, 2012 1:21 PM
To: Kelly Christensen
Subject: Old Lucerne Historic District

Can you confirm whether or not the Old Lucerne Historic District is a local or a national historic district. The only two I remember being on the National Register were College Park and the Old Town (Downtown) historic districts.

241 Columbia Drive

Lake Worth, Florida 33460

561 308 0364

×

email: wesblackman@gmail.com

241 Columbia Drive Lake Worth, Florida 33460 561 308 0364 email: <u>wesblackman@gmail.com</u> ł

ł

ł

ł

ł

ł

ł

ł

ł

ł

ł

3

From:
Sent:
To:
Subject:

Kelly Christensen [kchristensen@LakeWorth.org] Thursday, July 12, 2012 10:39 AM 'Lou Ann' RE: Parrott Cove Construction

Ms. LaBohn-

Thank you very much for your comments regarding COA#12-00100066 302 North Lakeside Drive. Your email will be added to the file for this project, and will be forwarded to the Historic Resources Preservation Board when this case comes to public hearing.

The flyer you received about this project was not distributed by City of Lake Worth staff, and the graphic of the exterior of the building is not currently part of the official application for this project. You will be able to access the up-to-date meeting packet, including building elevations, approximately one week before for the August 8, 2012 meeting at the following link when it becomes available:

http://www.lakeworth.org/index.asp?Type=B_BASIC&SEC={C86A230D-BF65-42FD-81FE-97C659B28B55}&DE={9F7A31B1-1069-4D2F-9AF2-8FF8C52CC4F5}

If you have any further questions concerning this information, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Kelly M. Christensen Preservation Planner Department for Community Sustainability



City of Lake Worth 1900 2nd Avenue North Lake Worth, Florida 33461 561-586-1690 <u>kchristensen@lakeworth.org</u> www.lakeworth.org

Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communication to or from local officials regarding City business is considered public records and available to the public and media upon request. Your communications may therefore be subject to public disclosure.

From: Lou Ann [mailto:labohn@comcast.net]
Sent: Thursday, July 12, 2012 10:33 AM
To: amoroso@lakeworth.org; Christopher McVoy; Scott Maxwell; Suzanne Mulvehill; Pam Triolo; City Manager; Kelly Christensen; waters@lakeworth.org
Subject: Parrott Cove Construction

I have been noticed that an unacceptable building project for a huge private home at 302 N. Lakeside is being considered for approval. This is a historic area and this size home does NOT fit the neighborhood nor is it consistent with the historic designation of Parrott Cove, a/k/a Old Lucerne. It isn't good for the community, nor for the owners of this project. That size home is a much better fit in College Park, not Parrot Cove. I and many others will be attending

the August 8th meeting if this issue is on the agenda. We will state our disapproval of this inappropriate structure in a cottage community that has been designated as "Historic".

Thank you for your attention to this important matter. Your vote to prohibit this intrusion to our neighborhood will be greatly appreciated, AND it the responsible thing to do.

Lou Ann LaBohn 514 N. Palmway Lake Worth, FL 33460 561-512-0818

From:	James K. Brower [ken@baapb.com]
Sent:	Friday, July 27, 2012 9:12 AM
To:	William Waters; Kelly Christensen; Karen Vaughn
Cc:	Randy Hulett (Corporate - Management); tim@bugs.com; liz@bugs.com; Wes Blackman
Subject:	COA 302 North Lakeside Drive

Dear M. Waters,

It hasbeen bought to yn attention litat Mr. and Mrs. Hulett wli b out of towlite week of August 16 through lite 10, 202. They are distrous 6 attending lite HRPB meeting on August 2012, for the presentation of thir equest for approval of the Certificate of Appropriateness for 302 Noth Exceeded Dive. To allow het Huletts to the presentation of their project, I amp deteir bhalf, respectfully equesting a continuance to het 6 ptember 2, 2012 HIRB meeting.

Both lite Hudits' and I ne very gateful for lite kild consideration lite HRPB his extended for lite previous continuance requests. As you car avare, litose requests were, for lite most part, du tocircumstances beyond their control. This request for prisonal casions ismade with lite understanding of the time indeffort you not the stafe 6 lite lipartment of Community Sustanability ind lite numbers of the libtoric Resources Preservation Bond have made.

We appreciate and hank you for yountinuing, professional ensideration.

Respectfully,

Ken Bower

J. Kenneth Brower, A1A BROWER ARCHITECTURAL ASSOCIATES, Inc. 350 South County Road Suite 207 Palm Beach, Florida 33480 Ph: 561 659 1948 Fax: 561 659 1968 Cell: 561 762 0363 From: Sent: To: Subject: James K. Brower [ken@baapb.com] Wednesday, September 19, 2012 2:40 PM William Waters FW: 302 N. Lakeside

William,

Please se he note not for Judith Just blow. Isn't ti nice that she likes the buse not hat he isoppreciative of the concessions made? Ken

J. Kenneth Brower, AIA BROWER ARCHITECTURAL ASSOCIATES, Inc. 350 South County Road Suite 207 Palm Beach, Florida 33480 Ph: 561 659 1948 Fax: 561 659 1968 Cell: 561 762 0363

From: James K. Brower [mailto:<u>ken@baapb.com]</u> Sent: Wednesday, September 19, 2012 2:35 PM To: 'Judith Just' Subject: RE: 302 N. Lakeside

Judith,

I am happy took the you lik the hous, lank you. I will pass youwishes along to het Huletts. Best Regards, Ken

J. Kenneth Brower, A1A BROWER ARCHITECTURAL ASSOCIATES, Inc. 350 South County Road Suite 207 Palm Beach, Florida 33480 Ph: 561 659 1948 Fax: 561 659 1968 Cell: 561 762 0363

From: Judith Just [mailto:judithjust01@hotmail.com] Sent: Thursday, September 13, 2012 6:52 PM To: ken@baapb.com Subject: 302 N. Lakeside

Ken,

Yesterday was the first time Peter and I had seen the actual rendering of the home. It looks lovely - although the actual design was never an overwhelming concern to us this is a more esthetically pleasing design. We were more concerned about the close proximitey to our small home, the prospective height and mass of the new home.

Please convey our thanks to the Huletts for their concessions and working to address our concerns. I hope they all understand we have our perspective and they have theirs, it was never personal. They seem like a nice family and a lovely young couple. We wish them the best.

Thank you for working so hard to try and address everyone's concerns.

ງງ

Judith Ann Just, Attorney, P.A. Tel. 561 547-0549 Fax 561-214-4788 Cell 561-379-5372 E-mail: <u>judithjust01@hotmail.com</u>

The information contained in this e-mail transmission is privileged and confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, nor the employee

or agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination or copying of this transmission

(including any attachments) is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender by email reply.

Thank you.

From: Sent: To: Subject: William Waters [wwaters@LakeWorth.org] Wednesday, October 17, 2012 11:02 AM James Poag A. Meetings for 302 North Lakeside Drive

Mr. Poag,

Per your request concerning meetings that I attended with regard to 302 North Lakeside Drive, there was one scheduled for 1:30 pm, Friday, March 9, 2012. This was the first meeting to discuss this project. I have not been able to find the one for April, though I do recall my meeting with Mr. Brower and included my new Historic Preservation Staff. I will continue to look. Thank you.

William Waters, AIA, NCARB, LEED AP BD+C FL AR94136 Director for Community Sustainability City of Lake Worth 1900 Second Avenue North Lake Worth, Florida 33461 561-586-1634 wwaters@lakeworth.org May 16, 2012

Mr. William Waters, Director for Community Sustainability Chair and Board Members, Historic Resources Preservation Board 1900 2nd Avenue North Lake Worth, FL 33461

Re: Request for Continuance 12-00100066 302 North Lakeside Drive

Dear Mr. Waters and Historic Resources Preservation Chair and Board Members:

This is to respectively request a continuance of the above mentioned item to your July 8, 2012 HRPB meeting. This project initially appeared on your May 9, 2012 HRPB agenda. We requested and were granted a continuance to the June 12, 2012 HRPB meeting. Thank you for granting that continuance on such short notice.

The reason for this request is because the issue regarding the un-recorded easement in the abandon right of way of 3rd Avenue North, which is necessitating some re-design of the proposed new residence, has not been resolved. We expect the easement issue to be resolved soon and we will submit design revisions for your consideration at the July HRPB meeting. Anticipated design revisions will include relocating the garage entrance from [facing] North Lakeside Drive to facing south, entering the garage from the south side yard and reducing the width of the house along North Lakeside Drive, allowing for additional open space.

Thank you for your continued consideration.

With Best Regards,

J. Kenneth Brower, AIA BROWER ARCHITECTURAL ASSOCIATES, Inc. 350 South County Road Suite 207 Palm Beach, Florida 33480 Ph: 561 659 1948 Fax: 561 659 1968 Cell: 561 762 0363

Cc: Tim and Liz Hulett Randy Hulett Karen Vaughn, Planning and Preservation Manager, City of Lake Worth Kelly Christenson, Preservation Planner, City of Lake Worth Wes Blackman

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: Attachments: Christy Goddeau [christy@torcivialaw.com] Wednesday, August 22, 2012 2:49 PM Michael Bornstein William Waters FW: Proposed easment for 302 N. Lakeside GRANT OF EASEMENT - 302 N. Lakeside.doc

Mike – this is the email I sent recently on the easement at 302 N. Lakeside – this was after I coordinated with Ms. Morandi on the easement and she suggested coordinating with Mr. Blackman. Please let me know if it should go to the architect and attorney who you will be meeting with next week.

Thanks!

Christy L. Goddeau, Esq. Board Certified City County and Local Government Attorney Law Offices of Glen J. Torcivia, P.A. Northpoint Corporate Center 701 Northpoint Parkway, Suite 209 West Palm Beach, FL 33407 (561) 686-8700 (561) 686-8764 fax christy@torcivialaw.com THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN TH CONFIDENTIAL. IT IS INTENDED ONLY ABOVE. IF THE READER OF THIS MESS HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMI COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIF

Placed a CAIL to Goddeau 10/17/12 Lake Worth #

ILEGED AND R ENTITY NAMED Γ, YOU ARE OF THIS - ...LULIVED THIS COMMUNICATION

IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY BY TELEPHONE COLLECT AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS VIA THE U.S. POSTAL SERVICE. WE WILL REIMBURSE YOU FOR YOUR EXPENSES. THANK YOU.

From: Christy Goddeau Sent: Tuesday, August 21, 2012 5:30 AM To: 'wesblackman@gmail.com' Cc: cgoddeau@lakeworth.org; mmorandi@lakeworth.org; Joni Taurosa (jtaurosa@LakeWorth.org) Subject: Proposed easment for 302 N. Lakeside

Mr. Blackman:

As you may know, our firm is serving as the interim City Attorney for the City of Lake Worth. I have reviewed your prior correspondence with Melissa Anderson and prepared the attached draft easement. Please review and let me know if you have any questions, comments or revisions. Exhibit "A" will consist of the legal description you provided and the survey. Please also provide me with the owner's legal name (if different than online) and appropriate mailing address.

Thank you,

Christy L. Goddeau, Esq. Board Certified City County and Local Government Attorney Law Offices of Glen J. Torcivia, P.A. Northpoint Corporate Center 701 Northpoint Parkway, Suite 209 West Palm Beach, FL 33407 (561) 686-8700 (561) 686-8764 fax christy@torcivialaw.com

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS TRANSMISSION IS ATTORNEY PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL. IT IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY NAMED ABOVE. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION OR COPYING OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY BY TELEPHONE COLLECT AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS VIA THE U.S. POSTAL SERVICE. WE WILL REIMBURSE YOU FOR YOUR EXPENSES. THANK YOU.

From:	Melissa Anderson [manderson@LakeWorth.org]
Sent:	Wednesday, July 18, 2012 3:55 PM
To:	Jamie Brown; William Waters
Subject:	FW: let me know what you think
Attachments:	Amended Easement ver 1 302 North Lakeside.doc

Can you please review and let me know your thoughts. This is on the wes blackman utility easement.

Melissa P. Anderson, Asst. City Attorney City Attorney's Office 7 North Dixie Highway Lake Worth, Florida 33460 (561)586-1631 *Board Certified by the Florida Bar in City, County and Local Government Law

From: Melissa Anderson Sent: Wednesday, July 18, 2012 11:42 AM To: Monica Morandi Subject: let me know what you think

Melissa P. Anderson, Asst. City Attorney City Attorney's Office 7 North Dixie Highway Lake Worth, Florida 33460 (561)586-1631 *Board Certified by the Florida Bar in City, County and Local Government Law

From:	Melissa Anderson [manderson@LakeWorth.org]
Sent:	Wednesday, July 18, 2012 3:55 PM
То:	Jamie Brown; William Waters
Subject:	FW: let me know what you think
Attachments:	Amended Easement ver 1 302 North Lakeside.doc

Can you please review and let me know your thoughts. This is on the wes blackman utility easement.

Melissa P. Anderson, Asst. City Attorney City Attorney's Office 7 North Dixie Highway Lake Worth, Florida 33460 (561)586-1631 * Board Certified by the Florida Bar in City, County and Local Government Law

From: Melissa AndersonSent: Wednesday, July 18, 2012 11:42 AMTo: Monica MorandiSubject: let me know what you think

Melissa P. Anderson, Asst. City Attorney City Attorney's Office 7 North Dixie Highway Lake Worth, Florida 33460 (561)586-1631 *Board Certified by the Florida Bar in City, County and Local Government Law

EASEMENT AGREEMENT

THIS AGREEMENT, made and entered into the _____ day of _____, 2012, by and between ______ and, parties of the first part, and the City of Lake Worth, a municipal corporation existing under the laws of the State of Florida, its licensees, agents, successors, and assigns, party of the second part.

WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, the said parties of the first part are seized and possessed of the following described real property located at 302 North Lakeside, Lake Worth, Florida with the following legal description:

Lot 1, Block 430, TOWNSITE OF LUCERNE (now known as Lake Worth), according to the Palm Beach Farms Co. Plat No. 2, recorded in Plat Book 2, Page 29, in the Office of the Clerk of the Circuit Court in and for Palm Beach County, Florida; together with the North 20 feet of abandoned 3rd Avenue lying south of and adjacent thereto, which formerly constituted a public right of way abandoned by the city of Lake Worth, Florida pursuant to Resolution 38-92 dated August 17, 1992.

WHEREAS, the party of the second part desires to have the exclusive right to use a portion of the above-described lands for public utility easement purposes.

NOW THEREFORE, the parties of the first part, for and in consideration of the sum of One and no/100 Dollar (\$1.00) and other good and valuable consideration to them in hand paid, the receipt whereof is hereby acknowledged, do hereby grant to the party of the second part a fifteen seventeen and a half foot utility easement as shown as Exhibit "A" attached here for UTILITY EASEMENT PURPOSES ONLY: to lay or cause to be laid, and maintain water, sewer and natural gas pipes, mains and conduits; manholes and markers, underground or buried cables and wires, cable terminals, splicing boxes, pedestals, and other amplifiers, boxes, appurtenances and devices; to erect, maintain, repair, rebuild, operate and control electric power transmission lines, telephone, telegraph, or other communications lines or systems including the right to erect poles, wires, and anchors, cables and any necessary appurtenances; the right to clear said former right-of-way and keep it clear of brush, trees, semi-permanent structures not approved by the city and removal of fire hazards; together with all reasonable rights of ingress and egress necessary for the full and complete use, occupation, and enjoyment of the easement hereby granted, and all rights and privileges incident thereto.

The party of the second part may remove or cause to be removed any previously approved semi-permanent structures which encroach upon the utility easement at any time for any reasonable purpose, which the reasonableness of such purpose shall be in the party of the second part's sole discretion. The party of the first part shall have the being expressly understood, however, that in the event party of the second part, its licensees, agents, successors and assigns, abandons or vacates the easement herein granted, that the same shall revert back to the parties of the first part, their heirs, successors or assigns.

Signed, sealed and delivered in the presence of:

Signature of Witness

Printed Name of Witness

Signature of Witness

Printed Name of Witness

、STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF PALM BEACH)

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this _____ day of _____, 2012, by ______, who is personally known to me

or who has produced ______ as identification and who did (did not) take an oath.

From:	Wes Blackman [wesblackman@gmail.com]
Sent:	Tuesday, May 15, 2012 3:14 PM
То:	Karla White; Monica Morandi
Cc:	William Waters; Elaine Humphreys; Michael Bornstein
Subject:	Re: Easement - 302 North Lakeside

If an initial meeting is necessary to start things off, we can make those arrangements.

For the City Attorney and the City Manager, 302 North Lakeside contains the northern 20 ft of the former 3rd Avenue North R-O-W. As we were working with the property, we discovered a manhole that is part of an east/west running sewer pipe 12 ft. north of the property line. It is our understanding that the city would like an easement of 15 feet centered on the sewer pipe. The owner/applicant is willing to accommodate the city's request. The house is currently being re-designed to avoid being placed over the southern 19.5 ft of the property.

We are eager to start the process as soon as possible. Please let us know how we should proceed.

On Tue, May 15, 2012 at 9:59 AM, Wes Blackman <<u>wesblackman@gmail.com</u>> wrote: I want to bring both of you up to date on what is happening with this property.

The project application was on last week's HRPB agenda and when we asked for a continuance until the board's June 13th meeting. We are currently re-designing the site so that the easement would not be violated by the house location.

What we need to know is the time frame the city would need to create an easement and at what point in the process an easement being in place would become critical. We are hoping that this is something that would not hold-up the HRPB approval process. Can we get working on the easement right now?

Please copy whoever would need to see this in the city attorney's office with your response.

Thank you. Please call if you have any questions.

241 Columbia Drive Lake Worth, Florida 33460 <u>561 308 0364</u> email: wesblackman@gmail.com

×

241 Columbia Drive Lake Worth, Florida 33460 561 308 0364 email: <u>wesblackman@gmail.com</u>

From:Monica Morandi [mmorandi@LakeWorth.org]Sent:Tuesday, May 15, 2012 4:07 PMTo:Wes Blackman; Karla WhiteCc:William Waters; Elaine Humphreys; Michael Bornstein; Melissa AndersonSubject:RE: Easement - 302 North Lakeside

Good Afternoon Wes,

Per our conversation, since the project site has been surveyed recently, you will not be required to have the property resurveyed. In order to dedicate the required easement for the existing sanitary gravity line, please have your surveyor provide the sketch and legal description of the proposed 15ft Utility Easement. Forward this to Melissa Anderson at the City Attorney's Office. She will then draft the Easement Agreement, and submit it for your approval and signature. Ms. Anderson can be reached at (561) 533-7388.

Please let me know if you should have any further questions or concerns.

Thank you,

Monica Morandí, P.E. Utilities Engineer City of Lake Worth (561) 586-1798

From: Wes Blackman [mailto:wesblackman@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, May 15, 2012 3:14 PM
To: Karla White; Monica Morandi
Cc: William Waters; Elaine Humphreys; Michael Bornstein
Subject: Re: Easement - 302 North Lakeside

If an initial meeting is necessary to start things off, we can make those arrangements.

For the City Attorney and the City Manager, 302 North Lakeside contains the northern 20 ft of the former 3rd Avenue North R-O-W. As we were working with the property, we discovered a manhole that is part of an east/west running sewer pipe 12 ft. north of the property line. It is our understanding that the city would like an easement of 15 feet centered on the sewer pipe. The owner/applicant is willing to accommodate the city's request. The house is currently being re-designed to avoid being placed over the southern 19.5 ft of the property.

We are eager to start the process as soon as possible. Please let us know how we should proceed.

On Tue, May 15, 2012 at 9:59 AM, Wes Blackman <<u>wesblackman@gmail.com</u>> wrote: I want to bring both of you up to date on what is happening with this property. The project application was on last week's HRPB agenda and when we asked for a continuance until the board's June 13th meeting. We are currently re-designing the site so that the easement would not be violated by the house location.

What we need to know is the time frame the city would need to create an easement and at what point in the process an easement being in place would become critical. We are hoping that this is something that would not hold-up the HRPB approval process. Can we get working on the easement right now?

Please copy whoever would need to see this in the city attorney's office with your response.

Thank you. Please call if you have any questions.

×

241 Columbia Drive Lake Worth, Florida 33460 561 308 0364 email: wesblackman@gmail.com

×

241 Columbia Drive Lake Worth, Florida 33460 561 308 0364 email: <u>wesblackman@gmail.com</u>

From:	James K. Brower [ken@baapb.com]
Sent:	Wednesday, May 16, 2012 12:59 PM
То:	William Waters; Karen Vaughn; Kelly Christensen
Cc:	Wes Blackman; tim@bugs.com; liz@bugs.com; Randy Hulett (Corporate - Management);
	Greg Rice (Corporate - Management)
Subject:	RE: 302 North Lakeside Drive Application
Attachments:	2nd Continuance Request 5 15 12 docx

William,

Attached, plase find a econd request for a continuance for 302 North Likeside Dive to the July HRPB meeting.

Please dt m kow if yo ned ny additonal niformation. Thank you nd With Bst Rgards,

Ken

J. Kenneth Brower, AIA BROWER ARCHITECTURAL ASSOCIATES, Inc. 350 South County Road Suite 207 Palm Beach, Florida 33480 Ph: 561 659 1948 Fax: 561 659 1968 Cell: 561 762 0363

CITY OF LAKE WORTH CONTACT LOG (ALL INFORMATION IS MANDATORY) DEPARTMENT/DIV./OFFICE

1

PLEASE PRINT NAME	DATE	SUBJECT MATTER	PERSON VISITING	ARE YOU A LOBB (Please c	YIST?	REPRESENTING "Lobbyist, must list principal, if any, represented when discussing this subject"
Store	7/3/12	Denit		YES	NO	-
Mena	7/3/12	- Papmit		YES	NO	
Madeleine Burnside	7/5/12	set backs for pool	madeleuABusts	YES	NO	
R. Gamer	715-12	set backs for pool Interferences	LARRY	YES	NÒ	
SACK FADDEN	-15/D	Plenni		YES	NO	
STORN SIMM	7/5/2	· Q limit		YES	NO	
Christlenezin	7.15/12	Premit		YES	NO	
BOB BAILEV	7/5/02	PENMIT		YES	NO	
Chytap Reussell				YES	NO	
Ambru (m	7/5/12	Joning	Kelly	YES	NO	
Inniel		Poris	me	YES	NO	
	716	Permit		YES	NO	
Brian	07/06	Permit	Mike	YES	NO	·

.

"Lobbying" shall mean seeking to influence the decision of any Elected Official, any Advisory Board member, or any Employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foresceably be presented for consideration to the advisory board or elected body as applicable.

"Lobbyist" shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal or most significant responsibilities to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

Rev. 3/2012

CITY OF LAKE WORTH CONTACT LOG (ALL INFORMATION IS MANDATORY) DEPARTMENT/DIV./OFFICE

PLEASE PRINT NAME	DATE	SUBJECT MATTER	PERSON VISITING	ARE YOU A REGISTERED LOBBYIST? (Please circle one)	REPRESENTING "Lobbyist, must list principal, if any, represented when discussing this subject"
SusanTaylor	7/6/2019	Palm Lake Condo Gates +	Mr. Waters	YES NO	-
Durisni Cluy	96/12	Brint		YES NO	
DOGE THARP	7/6/12	TERMIT		YES NO	
Bryan				YES NO	
Roselene West	719	Nofice		YES NO	
Dorto Soc Placed	7/9/12	- Perit		YES NO	
Aprille, Oponnell	7/9/12	Permit		YES (NO)	
Aprille O Donnell Jueschneic	47-9	Permit.		YES NO	
MITKE MURIKY	7-9	VARJANCE/PERMET	ROBNEY	YES (NO)	
ZANE A. EASLey	2-9			YES NO	
RoyLumsden	7/9	Mutering	C. Lenstrom	YES NO	
Roibern Turso	7/4	PERMIY KR.		YES NO	
Brian Kelleher		Permit		YES NO	

.

• 、

"Lobbying" shall mean seeking to influence the decision of any Elected Official, any Advisory Board member, or any Employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board or elected body as applicable.

"Lobbyist" shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal or most significant responsibilities to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

Rev. 3/2012

CITY OF LAKE WORTH CONTACT LOG (ALL INFORMATION IS MANDATORY) DEPARTMENT/DIV./OFFICE

PLEASE PRINT NAME	DATE	SUBJECT MATTER	PERSON VISITING	LOBI (Please o	REGISTERED 3YIST? sircle one)	REPRESENTING "Lobbyist, must list principal, if any, represented when discussing this subject"
Scott ESKew	7-9-12	OPON PORMAT?	Biby Dept.	YES	(NO)	
Rob Pagan	7/9/12		Blds net / Zonim	YES	NO	
Susan Jaylor	79/12	Palm Lake Conda	Sergio/Rodney	YES	NO	
Mary Linkon	7/4/12	& PP Beb	Clay (wheten	YES	NO	
Justin Jensen	7/10/12	Bldg Permit App		YES	NO	
hongossi	7/10/12	Plu PERMIT		YES	NO	
- Encx Da Dios		CANCELLATION LETTER		YES	NO	
Will Many	7/10/12	permit		YES	<u>KO</u>	
Ella P Curman		· · ·		YES	NO	
Monique Ká	1/10	Permit.		YES	NO	
MCAVOY KEVIN J.	7/12			YES	NO	
Christine Mello	7/11/12	Review file		YES	NO	
Gary Filtoph	7/1/12	Plu Permit	Coutter	YES	MC)	

"Lobbying" shall mean seeking to influence the decision of any Elected Official, any Advisory Board member, or any Employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board or elected body as applicable.

"Lobbyist" shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal or most significant responsibilities to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

Rev. 3/2012
2

CITY OF LAKE WORTH CONTACT LOG (ALI

(ALL INFORMATION IS MANDATORY)

Y) DEPARTMENT/DIV./OFFICE

.

PLEASE PRINT/NAME	DATE	SUBJECT MATTER	PERSON VISITING	LOBI	REGISTERED 3YIST? circle one)	REPRESENTING "Lobbyist, must list principal, if any, represented when discussing this subject"
Stone	7/11/12	Remit		YES	NO	
LINDSTROM AIR	5/11/12	PICK UP PERMIT		YES	NO	
T. J OAVIS	2/4/12	COA		YES	(NO)	
Maya Degaspe		<u> </u>	1	YES	NO	· · ·
	7/11/12	Permit-	Patsy/MONICA	YES	NO	HPH PBC
Anna Alvarez	7-11-12	Penmittinh	1	YES	NO	
and a proner	7-11-12-	Drop of PLANS	Kelly	YES	NO	
Marshall Adams	7/12/12		George Suirgius	YES	NO	
michael A Floering		r.		YES	NO	
GRAZIALO /	7-12	PERMIT		YES	NO	
BOBBAILEY	7/12	PERMET		YES	NO	
Crawford Dors	2/12	D/o Parmit	Sean	YES	NO	
Scioto Esikelu	7.12	P/U PRINTS		YES	NO	

"Lobbying" shall mean seeking to influence the decision of any Elected Official, any Advisory Board member, or any Employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board or elected body as applicable.

"Lobbyist" shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal or most significant responsibilities to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

Rev. 3/2012

.

PLEASE PRINTINAME	DATE	SUBJECT MATTER	, PERSON VISITING	ARE YOU A REGISTERED LOBBYIST? (Please circle one)	REPRESENTING "Lobbyist, must list principal, if any, represented when discussing this subject"
T. DALE	7,13	17 5 m 8t.	-	YES NO	
Jerry Zimben			Permit	YES NO	· ·
Michoel Hlatt		1826 N. Dixie	Planning/Zonning	YES NO	
Joan Ingersoll	1-13	Variance / Permits	Sirgis	YES NO	
Coundace	7-13			YES NO	······································
Lorna Biener	7-13	Alterations to Historic Home		YES NO	
WIN Many	7-13	permit		YES NO	
ally and	7-13	Permit.	cuertical permit	YES NO	
Pragu Stoan	7-13	Pormit	T T	YES NO	
VLYSFS	7.3	Permit 1	BROTHER	YES NO	
E. Echevanaria	2/13	Deamit	j/ nx · · · · · · ·	YES NO	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
JACKBONE	7-14	Permit PU		YES NO	
RANK PAIGN	7/16	Mn Whens - PZZ Bronnd		YES NO	

"Lobbying" shall mean seeking to influence the decision of any Elected Official, any Advisory Board member, or any Employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board or elected body as applicable.

"Lobbyist" shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal or most significant responsibilities to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

PLEASE PRINT NAME	DATE	SUBJECT MATTER	PERSON VISITING	ARE YOU A REGISTERED LOBBYIST? (Please circle one)	REPRESENTING "Lobbyist, must list principal, if any, represented when discussing this subject"
JAIMELINARES (07/16/12	HONE 1 MSPECTIONS	MR. CARBALLO	YÈS NO	
RICARDO GOMEL	4/16/12		MR. PHIL	YES NO	
Roberto Marchin	7/16/12	1	-K Chashenson	YES NO	
Grnette Powell	7/16	Itis Pres	K. Christiansen	YES NO	
WIN Man	7/16	permi, l		YES NO	
JIMFINNegian	7/16	. permit	lkellej	YES (NO)	
Nonne (illen	7/16	pole attadment	Clay (INSTOM	YES NO	
ChristophinSing	KIN7116	De CHachmitt	Clay Cinstom	YES NO	
M-SCREEN BUILD	1-16	Przem Problem	JOHN	YES NO	•
Bernard & Bonnell	7-16	Pump Station Permit		YES NO	
Deam than ,	7/16	P2B	Koven	YES NO	
Foreth chuch				YES NO	
JAIME LINAREZ	7/16			YES NO	

.

,

"Lobbying" shall mean seeking to influence the decision of any Elected Official, any Advisory Board member, or any Employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board or elected body as applicable.

"Lobbyist" shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal or most significant responsibilities to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

Rev. 3/2012

ØØ

PLEASE PRINT NAME	DATE	SUBJECT MATTER	PERSON VISITING	ARE YOU A REGISTERED LOBBYIST? (Please circle one)	REPRESENTING "Lobbyist, must list principal, if any, represented when discussing this subject"
JOHN PACE	7/16/12	PIERMIT		YES NO'	
Nikki bomack	7/10/2	Permit Pidcup		YES NO	
DUSTEN ZAEKS	7/16/12	MTG		YES NO	
AnA A	7-16-12	PICKUP.		YES NO	· ·
LEVAL S.	7.14.12	·, \		YES NO	
At Pestana	7-16-p			YES NO	
Mark A. Parrilla	7-16-12	-PEZ OVICATION		YES NO	
honfossi	7-16-12	PERMIT A/U	•	YES NO	
JIM PAINE	7/17/12	- VARIANCE	KENY	YES NO	
Fudith DE Tesus	7/17/12	permit		YES NO	
Christ one len	7/19/10	- Dermit		YES NO	
Sue Vances	5/15/12	(alolop livene	Sire	YES NO'	1
Robert Wife	7/17/12	Planin + 2000	V	YES NO	

"Lobbying" shall mean seeking to influence the decision of any Elected Official, any Advisory Board member, or any Employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board or elected body as applicable.

"Lobbyist" shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal or most significant responsibilities to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

Rev. 3/2012

.

PLEASE PRINT NAME	DATE	SUBJECT MATTER	PERSON VISITING	ARE YOU A REGISTERED LOBBYIST? (Please circle one)	REPRESENTING "Lobbyist, must list principal, if any, represented when discussing this subject"
Nikki ubmack	7/17/12	Permit Plu		YES NO	
TOM SCHRCADER				YES NO	
Chur Man-	7/17/12	0		YES NO	
Ranky Casporate	7/12/12	Dermit		YES NO	· .
David Vogt	7/17/12	Permit / Zonning Codes	· ·	YES NO	
Cary Sabol	7/17/12	Plunner		YES NO	
JES				YES NO	
erleen fischer		115Cenge		YES NO	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
RORN DENNI	7-19	The warmy.	CLAM	YES NO	WESCO
The last				YES NO	
Volut Gay	7-15	Incorection NUSADow		YES NO	
Eva Pusteluiban	7/18	Code vies		YES NO'	
Monique Kin	7/18	Perm. til		YES NO	

-

"Lobbying" signal mean seeking to influence the decision of any Elected Official, any Advisory Board member, or any Employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board or elected body as applicable.

"Lobbyist" shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal or most significant responsibilities to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

	·····		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
PLEASE PRINT NAME	DATE	SUBJECT MATTER	PERSON VISITING	ARE YOU A REGISTERED LOBBYIST? (Please circle one)	REPRESENTING "Lobbyist, must list principal, if any,
					represented when discussing this subject?
SEFF BIDS: Helt	17/18/12	Lult.	hut	YES (NO	
STEPHEN HEMINGWAY	7/18/12	//	- 1/	YES (NO)	
Michael Hiatt	7/18/12	Grand Opening Bonner	Planning / Zoning	YES NO	
mary full.	1/18/12		(18.15) / 2010)	YES NO	· .
Tim MAFER	7-18-12	- ()	Blag.	YES (NO)	
JAVIEN APONSO	7-19-12	MARMIT	BL06	YES NO	
DOMINGO LAMATON	7-19-1R	PENMITING	Rom	YES NO	
Michael	1-19	Permiting	MR Watters	YES NO	
Vince DeFelice	7-19-12	BUSINESS TAX Receip	L Status	YES NO	
MODSVOJELI ABSOLUTE SIGN		P)	• 1	YES NO	
ABSOLUTE SIGN	7/19/12	Permit QUETTON	SERGIO	YES NO	
Lozi Prouter	7/10/12	Permit Copy		YES (NO)	
Monine ky	, , , , ,	c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c		YES NO	

.

"Lobbying" shall mean seeking to influence the decision of any Elected Official, any Advisory Board member, or any Employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board or elected body as applicable.

"Lobbyist" shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal or most significant responsibilities to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

PLEASE PRINT NAME	DATE	SUBJECT MATTER	PERSON VISITING	ARE YOU A REGISTERED LOBBYIST? (Please circle one)	REPRESENTING "Lobbyist, must list principal, if any, represented when discussing this subject"
STEVEN TREPERCE	7/19/12	PERMIT SUBMIT		YES <u>NO</u>	
John ()IVA	7-19-12	CIRA		YES NO	
GUEDAN MORN	7-1972	CODE ENHALLEMENT	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	YES NO	
-JIM PAINE	7/19/12	VARIANEE	ICENY	YES NO	
RICHAMS BARNES	7/19/12		· ·	YES NO	
Mary (willow	7 Aliz	Centhias famming		YES NO '	
George Lingy	7/19/13	Sawen Service ARON		YES NO	
DowLipscenbplurk				YES NO	
Virginia	7/20/12			YES NO	
JUNIOR	7/2012			YES NO	
Trista FullER	/	/		YES NO	
distin Kellaor	7/23/12	Preservation Fundation		YES NO	
KEVIN DOMUN	7/23/12	FUFU		YES NO	

"Lobbying" shall mean secking to influence the decision of any Elected Official, any Advisory Board member, or any Employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board or elected body as applicable.

, '

"Lobbyist" shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal or most significant responsibilities to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

CITY OF LAKE WORTH CONTACT LOG (ALL INFORMATION IS MANDATORY)

DEPARTMENT/DIV./OFFICE

PLEASE PRINT NAME	DATE	SUBJECT MATTER	PERSON VISITING	LOBE	REGISTERED SYIST? .ircle one)	REPRESENTING "Lobbyist, must list principal, if any, represented when discussing this subject"
Noncy/Fritz Massel	Phco7-23-12	pickop + drop off permit		YES	NO	
Stevel Elwin	7/23/12	1	·	YES	NO	s .
LANDY CASTINE	7-23-12			YES	NO	
en l' m	2/03/12	Kelly Auster-		YES (NO	× .
THOMAS GLASS	7/24/12	COPIET		YES	NO	
Michael Hatt	7/24/12	Fence Buffer	Zone/ Plan	YES	NO)	
cinstin Kellogo	7/24/12	Intenship- Keller	Kelly	YES	(NO)	
Shuk Govan	7/23/12	S.E. Panp	Holly	YES	NO	
Jack Ferrary	7/24/12	Pick up Permit		YES	(NO)	
JOEY BASILE	7/24/12	BELL UP PERMIT		YES	NO	
Linda ficcardi	7/24/12	Mtg w/ Monica Mnanchi	Monica	YES	(NO)	
Judith Delg. &	7/24/12	10 11 7		YES	NO	
WARDA BARds	11-1124	Renfal Icense		YES	NO	

"Lobbying" shall mean seeking to influence the decision of any Elected Official, any Advisory Board member, or any Employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board or elected body as applicable.

"Lobbyist" shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal or most significant responsibilities to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

PLEASE PRINT NAME	DATE	SUBJECT MATTER	PERSON VISITING	ARE YOU A H LOBB (Please ci	YIST?	REPRESENTING "Lobbyist, must list principal, if any, represented when discussing this subject"
EDUAMOO HERVEN DOZ	07/23/12	PTCK US PERMIT		YES	NO	
Manta Hunt	7/24/10			YES	NO	
Kimberly Jeckton	7/24/12			YES	NO	
Elsi forrealba	7/2Shz	Ocupational License.		YES	NO	· · ·
AL CILL	7/25/12	Ferrige Buffer		YES	NO	
,	7/25/12	Tax Recept	Sencie	YES	NO	
Sombedy Faction	7/2.5/12	in the former of the second se	- and a	YES	NO	
Kvan Berry	7/25/12	Product Approval	Monicos	YES	NO	
Craig Figher	7/25/12	Product Approval	Would	YES	(NO)	
NIKE WOMACL	7/25/12			YES	NO	
Antoinefith		Liscence	100-1	YES	NO	·
Mh Street	7/3/11-	Winners	into 2. m Your 1	YES	NO	
am Russell	7/25/2	\bigcirc \downarrow $[$	ppe with some	YES	NO	

"Lobbying" shall mean seeking to influence the decision of any Elected Official, any Advisory Board member, or any Employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board or elected body as applicable.

"Lobbyist" shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal or most significant responsibilities to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

CITY OF LAKE WORTH CONTACT LOG (ALL INFORMATION IS MANDATORY) I

MANDATORY) DEPARTMENT/DIV./OFFICE

PLEASE PRINT NAME	DATE	SUBJECT MATTER	PERSON VISITING	ARE YOU A REGISTERED LOBBYIST? (Please circle one)	REPRESENTING ['Lobbyist, must list principal, if any, represented when discussing this subject"
JEANE COOPER	7-25-12	- BTR	KELLY	YES NO	
Marla Eddings	7/25/12	Permits	Ben Kelly	YES NO	
Rene Vian	7/20/12			YES NO	
Khistin Kellond	7/26/12			YES NO	· · ·
Matt Cohein	1/ × 0/ P			YES NO	
Barbara Howard	7-26-12	·		YES NO	
Jim Mercurio	7/27/12		Pre Con Mita	YES NO	
	7/27/12		Pre Con Mfg.	YES NO	
Mike Ventrella Victor Felix	1/26/12			YES NO	
MANUEL Marsts	7/26/12			YES NO	
L'Smith	7/26/12	permit		YES NO	
Jerry Zmby	7/26/12	Permit.		YES NO	
April Strength	7/26/12	Permit Plu	Anr.	YES NO	

"Lobbying" shall mean seeking to influence the decision of any Elected Official, any Advisory Board member, or any Employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board or elected body as applicable.

"Lobbyist" shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal or most significant responsibilities to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

PLEASE PRINTINAME	DATE	SUBJECT MATTER	PERSON VISITING	ARE YOU A REGISTERED LOBBYIST? (Please circle one)	REPRESENTING "Lobbyist, must list principal, if any, represented when discussing this subject"
James T	7/26/2012	permit app		YES NO	•
TOAL MORAN	7/26	ZONING SETBACK INFO		YES NO	
Möhe histle	7/24	permit info	Sergto	YES NO	
Telen Mender			BRAD	YES NO	· ,
Martin Reales		<u></u>		YES NO	
MikeListle	7/26	pennit into	Serato	YES NO	
angela Jacovous		service info	Kelly	YES (NO	
Josh Klill	7.21	ZONIA	Willigy asters	YES NO	
Michael Blub	7/27			YES NO	
(-ARG VON HUSER	5/30	Permi	(- ARY	YES KO	
JACK ZMJOG	K "1/30	Permi SPERMI		YES NO	
Lauren Birkils	130	Pemilit		YES NO	
Michael Hiatt	7/20	Plansky	William Watters	YES NO	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

"Lobbying" shall mean seeking to influence the decision of any Eldeted Official, any Advisory Board member, or any Eraptoyed with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board or elected body as applicable.

1

"Lobbyist" shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal or most significant responsibilities to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

PLEASE PRINT NAME	DATE	SUBJECT MATTER	PERSON VISITING	LOB	REGISTERED BYIST? circle one)	REPRESENTING "Lobbyist, must list principal, if any} represented when discussing this subject"
Stanley Roth	7-30-	Protup Permit	Permit cheak	YES	NO	
Michael W.att	7-30	Planning	Planner on Call/Gargio)	YES	(NO)	
Tammy Pans	731	Zoning	tal water	YES	Xoz	
Lee Bleaking	7/31	Zoning	LIKI BARBARA	YES	NO	
Jerry	7-3	P/G Permit		YES	NO	
mai	7/31	. perneil		YES	NO	
Hammad.	\$1/31	Com plain		YES	NO	
Qilberto Jaine	7.31	Complain Permit Solomitel	- -	YES	A10->	
SANDRO Rissy	7:31	REGIST,		YES	NO	
TOMOD TIMM	\'.O	Oalwit		YES	NO	
Chi Wather Eppe	2:20	Parri +		YES	NO	
John Arnieri	3:10	Demo - Historial		YES	(U)	
Stan Ketcham	8-1	permit		YES	MO	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

"Lobbying" shall mean seeking to influence the decision of any Elected Official, any Advisory Board member, or any Employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board or elected body as applicable.

"Lobbyist" shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal or most significant responsibilities to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

CITY OF LAKE WORTH CONTACT LOG

(ALL INFORMATION IS MANDATORY) DEPARTMENT/DIV./OFFICE

PLEASE PRINTJNAME	DATE	SUBJECT MATTER	PERSON VISITING	ARE YOU A REGISTERED LOBBYIST? (Please circle one)	REPRESENTING "Lobbyist, must list principal, if any, represented when discussing this subject"
CHRID BARBARA	8, 2012	CODE & BUILDING DAPT		YES NO	•
ANN Fergifish	8/1/12	Permit		YES NO	
	SUIZ	Parmit		YES (NO)	
Henry Kus (NO) FI	8/1/12	Building		YES (NO)	
Mike Wharton				YES NO	
Justin Jensen	812/12	Pickup Permit		YES NO	
	8-12-12	Permit	ť	YES (NO	
TYRONE RUN SIM PAINE	8/2/12	DELIVER SUBUEY.	KELLY	YES NO	
5. Some	8/2/12	Pemit	/	YES NO	
JAN ROJA	8312	PERMIT		YES NO	
Cong	83	Remit		YES NO	
Ricento Ateman	8/3/12-	Burrer Parmit .	JOHN GUFLMON	YES NO	
Kelli Birdsall NO	8312	Rental icense for client	۸ ،	YES NO	

"Lobbying" shall mean sceking to influence the decision of any Elected Official, any Advisory Board member, or any Employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board or elected body as applicable.

"Lobbyist" shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal or most significant responsibilities to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

PLEASE PRINT NAME	DATE	SUBJECT MATTER	PERSON VISITING	LOBE	REGISTERED 3YIST? circle one)	REPRESENTING "Lobbyist, must list principal, if any, represented when discussing this subject"
Leval Suith	8/0/12	ROOFING Appliquetion	MiKE.	YES	(NO)	
BEAVER REG. TAVE.	8-6-12	ROOF Permit	Permit Des K	YES	NO	
SEFF BLOOK, Uld	8 816112	With TINJUp	Wilt Strey	YES	NO	
1 (1)	\$14/12	Chstmid Brand Traving	Kusen	YES	NO	· .
Ence Di Dis	8/6/12	()		YES	NO	
Jupith Just	8/6/12		Horas Vaugher	YES	NO	,
Mary Linden	8/7/12	Maps	Michael Blevins	YES	NO	
JT GUBERT	8/7/12	Eng meetly	Monica	YES	NO	
Fres Non	E/7/2	(, (, ·	MONCO	YES	NO	
Rony Culixte	8/7/12	Information		YES	NO	
Kelly Kington	8/1/12	Permits - Roof	Kelly	YES	NO	
TOM SCHRADER		PICK UP PERMIT		YES	NO	
Jusniel (sell	8/7/12	der Kener Jernit		YES	NO	

"Lobbying" shall mean seeking to influence the decision of any Elected Official, any Advisory Board member, or any Employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board or elected body as applicable.

"Lobbyist" shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal or most significant responsibilities to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

PLEASE PRINT NAME	DATE	SUBJECT MATTER	PERSON VISITING	ARE YOU A REGISTERED LOBBYIST? (Please circle one)	REPRESENTING "Lobbyist, must list principal, if any, represented when discussing this subject"
ASNEY GIBO	8/7/0	Permit	Ashter Gilob	YES NO	
J. Store	8/7/18	Pick up		YES (NO)	
LBROWL	87/12	TNSP		YES NO	
IVAN VELASQUEZ	8/1/122		Kaly	YES NO	
Mike Listle	8/7/12	Ple-permit		YES NO	
GLENN RIMPERA	8/1/12	PERMIT	-	YES NO	· ·
Ramen Low	8/2/12	Permit		YES NO	
Elsi torrealba	8/8/12	PER OCUP. Jicense.	Ho. Sergio Madera.	YES NO	
C. Goddeau	8 8/12	(ode/l.D.az.	f. Diaz	YES NO	
GLONN RIMPERA	8/8/12	PERMIT		YES NO	
TE lennifer Lamo	14-818	Appoint -	Deloves Key	YES NO	
family ingo <	618	Appt.	Augro Ker-	YES NO	
Charles Walker	8/8/12	Permit		YES NO	

"Lobbying" shall mean seeking to influence the decision of any Elected Official, any Advisory Board member, or any Employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board or elected body as applicable.

"Lobbyist" shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal or most significant responsibilities to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

PLEASE PRINTINAME	DATE	SUBJECT MATTER	PERSON VISITING	LOBE	REGISTERED 3YIST? circle one)	REPRESENTING "Lobbyist, must list principal, if any, represented when discussing this subject"
Usa Kenn-edu	8810	? Zoning		YES	NO	
Matthew Brin	8/8/12	Busine>s	Front Dusk	YES	NO	
Tomal Gomaly	8812	permitting	From post	YES	NO	
Heater Sardium	18/12	Permitting	1//~	YES	NO	· .
Matthew Brin	\$18/12	Rusinos Permit		YES	NO	
Robert Berille	8/8/12	_ ·		YES	NO	
Barbara Alterma	8/8/12	Qu Z	William Watcos	YES	NO	
-JANET Rover	\$ 9/12			YES	NO	
Aidi	8/9/2	permit plu		YES	NO	
HERM COLEMAN	6/9/12	VT17-17183		YES	NO	
PETE NOBLE	8/9/12	UTILITIES		YES	NO	
IZAFAEL GALVEZ	8/9/12	- MUTLEC	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	YES	NO	·
AL Valdes	8-9-12	- Permitt	Any on !.	YES	NÓ	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

"Lobbying" shall mean seeking to influence the decision of any Elected Official, any Advisory Board member of any Employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board or elected body as applicable.

"Lobbyist" shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal or most significant responsibilities to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

PLEASE PRINT NAME	DATE	SUBJECT MATTER	PERSON VISITING	ARE YOU A LOBB (Please c	YIST?	REPRESENTING "Lobbyist, must list principal, if any, represented when discussing this subject"
KEON DORMAN	8/5/12	OCCUPATION CIREDER		YES	NO	
Victoria Rorton	1 <	Permit		YES	NO	
Mas Bonlahr	8/9/12	Remit Peckup		YES	NO	
John Fable	8/9/12	Permit Peck up Pickup permit copies		YES	(NO)	· .
CLIRIS LAMONICA				YES	NO	
-Sicheral Stourc	8/69/12	conservation progra	Christine	YES	(NO-2)	2
Selvin Kaylu	8/9/1	Zoning		YES	NO	
		12 Mar. Patrict.		YES	NO	
Lamen Birkins		Bick up	· ·	YES	(NO	
George King	8/10/12	PREMIT			(NO)	
STU MENDELSON	8/10/12	Pirmite		YES	NO	·
Norman Septenty	8/10/52	PERMIT		YES	NO	
Priscila Orbea	8/10/12	· ·		YES	NO	

"Lobbying" shall mean seeking to influence the decision of any Elected Official, any Advisory Board member, or any Employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board or elected body as applicable.

"Lobbyist" shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal or most significant responsibilities to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

PLEASE PRINTINAME	DATE	SUBJECT MATTER	PERSON VISITING	ARE YOU A REGISTERED LOBBYIST? (Please circle one)	REPRESENTING "Lobbyist, must list principal, if any, represented when discussing this subject"
MIGT M.S.	Spa	PERMIT	?	YES NO	
Joze A. Oheso	2/10/12	pé Z		YES NO	
Alice F. Campell	8-10-12	Permit		YES NO	
Rick Villano	10-13-12	Rermit		YES NO	· ·
	10/12/12-	Gran		YES NO	
Chiel Gella Lindstrom Air	8/13/12	PERMIT	BUILDING	YES NO'	
Ship Solling	8/15/12	PLONIT	Buildy	YES NO	
ARAT	5/13/12	perent application.	self	" YES NO	
Liberty Criddy	8/14	Map.	M. Bleving	YES NO	
Kevin Mc Ginley	8/14	Meeting - Or Sinistatlura	M. Bluvine Sergio	YES (NO)	
Yusniel cuello	8/14	Revision	0	YES NO	
Nirki	8/14	Permit		YES NO	
Chois MeV.	3/17	Electric - Wheleesle	Clay Lindstocus	YES NO	

"Lobbying" shall mean/seeking to influence the decision of any Elected Official, any Advisory Board member, or any Employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board or elected body as applicable.

"Lobbyist" shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal or most significant responsibilities to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

PLEASE PRINT NAME	DATE	SUBJECT MATTER	PERSON VISITING	ARE YOU A REGISTERED LOBBYIST? (Please circle one)	REPRESENTING "Lobbyist, must list principal, if any, represented when discussing this subject"
MARILYN	8/15/12	PERMIT	BUDG DEPT.	YES NO	
Gary Eyleth	8/15/12	Poly, Attach INFO	·	YES NO'	
-John Al-	8/win	Permit	Bldg Det	YES NO	
Meli Sa	SISIZ	Permit opply	Blog Dept	YES NO	· .
ELVINE GUPONE TH	8/15/12	Perniet oppley Look At COMP COMP. (File 211 Ma. MSt		YES NO	
JEFF BARKER	8/15/12	Pernit		YES NO	
AdamEhilich	6/15	PLMit	Bldg	YES XO	
Diame Ciroxe	815	Permt.	Bldg Dept	YES NO	
Wagner Amida	8/15	Contractor Revistation	Blole. Dest	YES NO	
Admatherety	8/15	Ficence	An Biz	YES NO	
ELLINE, Greenet	8/15	request For IN greations Formers re 211 No. M SX	>	YES NO	· · ·
AL Valdes	8/16	CIO	DL	YES NO	
John Arnieri	8/16	Historical Preservation Permit	Jain	YES NO	

.

"Lobbying" shall mean seeking to influence the decision of any Elected Official, any Advisory Board member, or any Employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board or elected body as applicable.

"Lobbyist" shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal or most significant responsibilities to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

PLEASE PRINTINAME	DATE	SUBJECT MATTER	PERSON VISITING	ARE YOU A REGISTERED LOBBYIST? (Please circle one)	REPRESENTING "Lobbyist, must list principal, if any, represented when discussing this subject"
Chuck Cappela	8/14/2	Dign Cenet	Sseque Maluer	YES NO	
STEVE DANIELS	8/16/12	Romanelli	William Waters	YES (NO)	
Deolno GMANON	8/17-			YES NO	
Peoline Gridon Annie Netty	8/17	PERMIT	ANNIE NETTY	YES NO	· .
Seal Agnewirth	8/17	Permit	Will Waters	YES NO	
DAN COLANGELO	8/17	VIOLATIONS	BLdg DEpt.	YES NO	
RICHARD KONRAPY	8/17	A/C PERMIT	BLD PEPT	YES (NO	
Shw RINALdi	8/17	P+2.		YES (NO)	
Ryan Maier	817	Kental License		YES NO	-
Yusniel	8/17	Perinit Pickup		YES NO	
HECTOR CABLERA	8-20-241	V		YES NO	·
shane Severt	8-20	Permit	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	YES NO	
Tem Williams	8-20	PERMIT Copy		YES (NO)	

"Lobbying" shall mean seeking to influence the decision of any Elected Official, any Advisory Board member, or any Employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board or elected body as applicable.

"Lobbyist" shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal or most significant responsibilities to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

PLEASE PRINT NAME	DATE	SUBJECT MATTER	PERSON VISITING	ARE YOU A REGIS LOBBYIST (Please circle o	?	REPRESENTING "Lobbyist, must list principal, if any, represented when discussing this subject"
GinaSanchee	8,20	reptal property			10	•
Elena Saemienta	820	rental property		YES N	10	
Chelsla Gulliford					10	
BEINRICH M Dennel	8-20	Permitting		YES N	10	· .
Clinis Walk	8-10	Permittin		YES (N	10)	
Lowner Birkins	8/20	.pick . Up		YES N	10	
Adrianne Bensor	8/20			YES N	10	
ice Savarese	8-20	Permit		YES (N		
HARRY Barber	8/20	PERMIT	Blog Depty	YES N	10)	
Clemencia Ausen	820	Zoning Question	Sergio Madera	YES N	10	
A.J. GASABA		Permi		YES N	0	
Elen Dariels	8/20	(00E	DANA NICHOLS	YES N	io 🛛	
	/	· ·		YES N	0	

"Lobbying" shall mean seeking to influence the decision of any Elected Official, any Advisory Board member, or any Employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board or elected body as applicable.

"Lobbyist" shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal or most significant responsibilities to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

PLEASE PRINT NAME	DATE	SUBJECT MATTER	PERSON VISITING	ARE YOU A LOBB (Please c	YIST?	REPRESENTING "Lobbyist, must list principal, if any," represented when discussing this subject"
CT. Testan	152	RooF.	Mike	YES	NO	
Maria Y. Halle	8 22/12	Bid welk limiter		YES	NO	
CE416-SAXNON	8/21/12	JANITORIAL		YES	NO	
Paul Soquedra	8/21/12	Trainformal Chelk thro		YES	NO	· .
Stewart Tiltur	6121112	Sanitor well form.	Harry Clauding	YES	NO	
C.SKAZYPKanish 1	82112	JANNOFIAL PRE BID.	Charl	YES	NO	
Dun Besmand	8/2/1/12	JANIFORAL WALK THRU		YES	NO	
Gina Sanchez	7/2/12	rcntal property	-	YES	NO	
Row Sch mitt	8/01/12	150/ NL ST Work	MIKE	YES	(NO)	
Millie Carreno	8/21	Grouds Maint. Pre Bid meeter		YES	(NQ)	
AL Valdes	8/21	C/0 .		YES	(NO')	
Debbie Jehn		l		YES	NO	
Misra	9.21	ρίο		YES	NO	

"Lobbying" shall mean seeking to influence the decision of any Elected Official, any Advisory Board member, or any Employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board or elected body as applicable.

10

"Lobbyist" shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal or most significant responsibilities to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

PLEASE PRINT NAME	DATE	SUBJECT MATTER	PERSON VISITING	ARE YOU A REGISTERED LOBBYIST? (Please circle one)	REPRESENTING "Lobbyist, must list principal, if any, represented when discussing this subject"
T. DALE	8/21	PERMIT APPLICATION		YES NO	
STEVE GOYDOSIA	8-21	PLANNOR OF THE DAY		YES NO	
CHARS STEVENS	8.21	PERMIT AP		YES NO	
John Game	8/21	Inspection	Mite Rizad	YES NO	Self.
Phil Dover	8/22	Precon		YES NO	Dover Engl
Felse lotaso	8/22	. lie-con		YES NO	Foster Maine
DOUG ME INTEE	8/22	HISTORIC	KEUY	YES NO	
RICHTARD KOMRADY	7/22	P/G PERMIT		YES NO	S The second
STAVE ATILINSO.	8/22	PERMITTICE.		YES NO	
Rop REGAN	8 22	CONE ENT.	Kein	YES NO	
DAN Patrocch	8/73	CODE FEWFEncement	Eda	YES NO	
EARY VON HESEN	8/23	PERMITCK.	<i>J</i>	YES NO	
Virginia	8123	Revision		YES NO	

"Lobbying" shall mean seeking to influence the decision of any Elected Official, any Advisory Board member, or any Employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board or elected body as applicable.

"Lobbyist" shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal or most significant responsibilities to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

PLEASE PRINT NAME	DATE	SUBJECT MATTER	PERSON VISITING	ARE YOU A REGISTERED LOBBYIST? (Please circle one)	REPRESENTING "Lobbyist, must list principal, if any, represented when discussing this subject"
Howard Sink (A	8-23	Historic Planing	K-e/11/	YES NO	
JAY BOJAS	8-53	BETBACKS	ROGNEY.	YES NO	
PATTYCK Kulsont	8.23	Keicheren at Brage	Kelley,	YES NO	
BEAVER RFG.	P-23	Permit	1	YES NO	· .
Janielle McCarroll	8-28	Historic	DELIVED BOCS	YES NO	
•	8-23	Historic/POOLARIANCE	TO RECY	YES NO	
CIM PAINE Elura E Green H	8-28	2/1 NO. M ST.	LEFT Proto Fu, Wilson	YES NO	
April Strength	8-28	Permit plu		YES NO	
Roger Brezeh	8-29	Permi		YES NO	
MARILYS	829	Permt Plu		YES NO	
CHRIS LAMONICS	8-29	PY PERMOT	-	YES NO	
ConsTrucTion Tect.	8/29/12	- PU PERMIT	, (YES NO	
Gler Daniels	8/29/12	CODE.	DANA N.	YES NO	

"Lobbying" shall mean seeking to influence the decision of any Elected Official, any Advisory Board member, or any Employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board or elected body as applicable.

"Lobbyist" shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal or most significant responsibilities to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

4

ARE YOU A REGISTERED REPRESENTING PLEASE PRINT NAME LOBBYIST? DATE SUBJECT MATTER PERSON VISITING "Lobbyist, must list principal, if any, (Please circle one) represented when discussing this subject" YES NO) 8 -2084 ision tin -27) YES NO 8/29/12 Wience amest 1 YES NO 25 h NO YES RORMIT 6/12 NÒ YES 125 30 NO YES NO) YES Permit 81 entri 30 Prev in it YES NO 30 Ľ Lerm Lo hashore NO YES 8/ 30/ Iveg, Mounad May NO) YES Hurdrins 8-30-12 John NO YES 8 301 U permit CON YES NO 830 iam NÖ 18/30 YES PERMIT SUBMIT VALRON HOIAMS PERMIT SUBMIT

DEPARTMENT/DIV./OFFICE

(ALL INFORMATION IS MANDATORY)

"Lobbying" shall mean seeking to influence the decision of any Elected Official, any Advisory Board member, or any Employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board or elected body as applicable.

"Lobbyist" shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal constraints is significant responsibilities to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

Rev. 32012

CITY OF LAKE WORTH CONTACT LOG

PLEASE PRINT NAME	DATE	SUBJECT MATTER	PERSON VISITING	ARE YOU A REGISTERED LOBBYIST?	REPRESENTING "Lobbyist, must list principal, if any,
				(Please circle one)	represented when discussing this subject"
DAVID BRADY	8/31	Permit		YES NO-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
REIAN PLEWINSKI	\$ 31	Per 1#		YES NO	-
Audrup/Lover	8/31	pernit extension		YES NO	
H. Kusialski	9/4			YES NO	· .
Libirkins		PU		YES NO	
	VG 9-4	582-5310 FRee 8	STIMATES	YES NO	
Brinn Kellehen	9/4	Promit		YES NO	
Branwilson	9/64			YES NO	
Grabe Sundin	9/4	Halfway House Info	1,	YES NO	
J. MEARS	Q5		,	YES NO	
Micory Maning	9-4-12	Open flemp.		YES NO	
AUCIA LEALM	9-14-12			YES NO	
FM ATRINS	N9-5-12			YES NO	·

"Lobbying" shall mean seeking to influence the decision of any Elected Official, any Advisory Board member, or any Employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board or elected body as applicable.

"Lobbyist" shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal or most significant responsibilities to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

CITY OF LAKE WORTH CONTACT LOG (ALL INFO

TLOG (ALL INFORMATION IS MANDATORY)

) DEPARTMENT/DIV./OFFIC

PLEASE PRINTINAME	DATE	SUBJECT MATTER	PERSON VISITING	ARE YOU A F LOBB (Please ci	YIST?	REPRESENTING "Lobbyist, must list principal, if any," represented when discussing this subject"
Gilberto Jaines	9-7-2017	RICH OF PERMIT		YES	NO	
CHUCK	9-7	REFORSTER + PERMIT APP.		YES	NO	
Mner Brub	9-71-	Sign Provs		YES	NO	,
Rielw	9-+	Meeting W Kelly		YES	NO	· .
n-Hel-	9-10-12	INPUTTING PROCODUROS		YES	NO	
B. Chequis	9.10.12	A4HZ- DO Extension	Karen - Flanning Zaning-	YES	NO	Cotteur Heaving-
ATIONAL	9/10	Prof. UP	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	YES	NO	
Amosele.	9/10	terres		YES	NO	
FON N BUTLOR IL	9/10	PERLMUT INFO.	PERMY TELL	YES	NO	
JEFERIDOY/14		HUSSIAN Que	Cult Stynus	YES	NO	
Richard Bind	9-10	Permit App	Penmit D.o.K	YES	(NO)	·)
En Aibloms	9-11	Permits 1829 N H St. LIA FR.	Remit Desic	YES	NO	
Ubigner Almiida	9-11	Remist application		YES	NO	

"Lobbying" shall mean seeking to influence the decision of any Elected Official, any Advisory Board member, or any Employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foresceably be presented for consideration to the advisory board or elected body as applicable.

"Lobbyist" shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal or most significant responsibilities to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

Rev. 3/2012

k

1 Mar

Ð

PLEASE PRINT/NAME	DATE	SUBJECT MATTER	PERSON VISITING	ARE YOU A REGISTERED LOBBYIST? (Please circle one)	REPRESENTING "Lobbyist, must list principal, if any, represented when discussing this subject"
MIKE MALPONDO	9/11/2	submit doe to permit		YES NO	
Konny	alilm	Check on formit		YES (NO)	
	9/12/12	PICK UP PERMIT.		YES NO	
Joey ORTIZ	9/12/12	Repuered of Bus her Depuered of Bus her		YES NO	· .
JBKERN	91212			YES NO	
Ramos pa	9/1-	Nice up trences		YES NO	
EAL	9/12	Submit rebote Form		YES NO	
Katie, Millieron	- 9/12	inspection/ Biz		YES NO	,
Rich Philpot	9/12	Perhit.	·	YES NO	
5-HI HAB AHME	09/12	JUST QUESTION		YES NO	
Darex	Q12	· D · P		YES NO	
DATIO TRIMDADE	9(12	TSAD ELECT		YES NO	· ·
Wagner Almerda	9/12	Pemit Dick up/sUbmission		YES NO	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

.

"Lobbying" shall mean seeking to influence the decision of any Elected Official, any Advisory Board member, or any Employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board or elected body as applicable.

"Lobbyist" shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal or most significant responsibilities to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

CITY OF LAKE WORTH CONTACT LOG (ALL INFORMATION IS MANDATORY)

) DEPARTMENT/DIV./OFFICE

PLEASE PRINT/NAME	DATE	SUBJECT MATTER	PERSON VISITING	ARE YOU A REGISTERED LOBBYIST? (Please circle one)	REPRESENTING "Lobbyist, must list principal, if any, represented when discussing this subject"
MAKICMGALLOTE	9/12/12	Permit Dlog off		YES NO	
GLOWN AMETCO	Alizia	PERMIT P, CK-UP		YES NO?	
Cerven A	9-12	Der l'		YES NO	
LENZ B.	9/12/12	femil Care of Co		YES NO	•
RHI	9/12/12	_ ,		YES NO	
TUESDAY	alialia	. COPY OF PLUMBING PC	ANS	YES NO	
Dariana	9/12/12	staying open Longer		YES NO'	
(Par Snithi	9/12/12		Seg 10	YES NO	
Helen	9/12/12	Budding	<i>, 0</i>	YES NO	
BRIAN CHEGUS	9/13/12	Zapinx -	Sergio	YES NO	CH
DAN HEARN	9 13 12	Building	Ketty	YES NO	
Mig wol May 100	9/13/12		melly	YES NO	
Don Sattaon		Pormet	,	YES NO	Repid Fing

"Lobbying" shall mean seeking to influence the decision of any Elected Official, any Advisory Board member, or any Employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board or elected body as applicable.

"Lobbyist" shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal or most significant responsibilities to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

Ì

	1				:
PLEASE PRINT NAME	DATE	SUBJECT MATTER	PERSON VISITING	ARE YOU A REGISTERED LOBBYIST?	REPRESENTING "Lobbyist, must list principal, if any,
	DAIL	BODIECT MATTER		(Please circle one)	represented when discussing this subject?
Jeff Paolino	9-13		DANA Nichols.	YES NO	
VEH PAULINO	9-15	CODE VIOLATION (MAGISMORE	GADTA NICHOIS.		
Jean Adolphe	9/14	Zoning	SERGIO	YES NO	
				YES NO	
Jennifer Vail	9.14	Zohing			LDS
-IRACY Smith Coffe	19/14	Astric Preservation	Kelly	YES NO	CRA.
Kar, Numle	9/12			YES NO	
Eric A. Ray	9-14	Permit		YES NO	
1		·	~	YES NO	
LOVIN BUTICH I	9.14	KERMAT	REPAIR TECH		
Siele Knu TH	9/14	PEIMit		YES NO	
L L D			·	YES (NO)	
Julie Pepe	9/14	submit permit			
PAULKICHARDSTO	9.14	SUBMIT		YES NO	
				YES NO	
Ed Ferrara	9-17	Pickup Permit			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Lance Guy	9:50	Palm Cakes	Sergio	YES NO	
Hugh Morton	10:05	FAIM LAKE F	SERGIO	YES NO	

"Lobbying" shall mean seeking to influence the decision of any Elected Official, any Advisory Board member, or any Employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board or elected body as applicable.

"Lobbyist" shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal or most significant responsibilities to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

PLEASE PRINTJNAME	DATE	SUBJECT MATTER	PERSON VISITING	ARE YOU A REGISTERED LOBBYIST? (Please circle one)	REPRESENTING "Lobbyist, must list principal, if any, represented when discussing this subject"
Lee Savarese Master Contract	9-17-12	Permit	Lee Savarese	YES NO	
Master Contract	213 9/1-	Rem H		YES (NO	
Mile Poole	a/17/1+	Rejistation (Pernit		YES NO	
IClausson	9/17/12	2		YES NO	
Margarita Perdeni		Permit.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	YES NO	
Chris Riendeau	9/18/12	zoning issue	·	YES NO	• .
Isin In	9/18/42	Rimt	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	YES NO	
MARK DAVIS	Sidn	Permit plu	Plela, Dep-	YES NO	
Medache	9-19-1	s	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	YES NO	
Lance, Cuy	9-19.12	Pala Lates	Sensia	YES NO	
GARY/ KNLEISTEN	9-19-12	Bent	0	YES NO	
Built Richt Roofing	9/10/12	Sign Question	Blde Pept	YES NO	
Alex A	9/19/2	Pennit	Blog. Dept	YES NO	· ·

"Lobbying" shall mean seeking to influence the decision of any Elected Official, any Advisory Board member, or any Employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board or elected body as applicable.

.

.

"Lobbyist" shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal or most significant responsibilities to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

ARE YOU A REGISTERED · REPRESENTING PLEASE PRINT NAME LOBBYIST? DATE SUBJECT MATTER PERSON VISITING "Lobbyist, must list principal, if any, (Please circle one) represented when discussing this subject" YES NO a wani MILLAN KO YES William Warters đ Coll in YES NO NO YES CATT G 1 19 12 YES NO Rasa 9/20 12 YES NO EMMIZ 91 12 YES NO 9 hh. 2017 118 9/20 NO YES PErmi Greg LE KOUTH Snuth YES NO 9-20-12 Lic roxce NO YES 9-20-12 limit D'Donnell Tonlle (NO) YES PIV PEMIT 9-20 STOWN YES NO MIKE MA YES NO 2/20/201 HUJSI HELDRICK USETING SONAGZ

"Lobbying" shall mean seeking to influence the decision of any Elected Official, any Advisory Board member, or any Employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board or elected body as applicable.

"Lobbyist" shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal or most significant responsibilities to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

Rev. 3/2012

5

FORM 8B MEMORANDUM COUNTY, MUNICIPAL, AND OTH	OF VOTING CONFLICT FOR HER LOCAL PUBLIC OFFICERS
LAST. NAME-FIRST NAME-MIDDLE NAME Bluckman Charles Wesley	NAME OF BOARD, COUNCIL, COMMISSION, AUTHORITY, OR COMMITTEE Lake Warth Historic (Cosame Veservato)
MAILING ADDRESS 24 Columbian Drive	THE BOARD, COUNCIL, COMMISSION, AUTHORITY OR COMMITTEE ON
CITY Lake Wouth COUNTY	NAME OF POLITICAL SUBDIVISION
DATE ON WHICH VOTE OCCURRED	

WHO MUST FILE FORM 8B

This form is for use by any person serving at the county, city, or other local level of government on an appointed or elected board, council, commission, authority, or committee. It applies equally to members of advisory and non-advisory bodies who are presented with a voting conflict of interest under Section 112.3143, Florida Statutes.

Your responsibilities under the law when faced with voting on a measure in which you have a conflict of interest will vary greatly depending on whether you hold an elective or appointive position. For this reason, please pay close attention to the instructions on this form before completing the reverse side and filing the form.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 112.3143, FLORIDA STATUTES

A person holding elective or appointive county, municipal, or other local public office MUST ABSTAIN from voting on a measure which inures to his or her special private gain or loss. Each elected or appointed local officer also is prohibited from knowingly voting on a measure which inures to the special gain or loss of a principal (other than a government agency) by whom he or she is retained (including the parent organization or subsidiary of a corporate principal by which he or she is retained); to the special private gain or loss of a relative; or to the special private gain or loss of a business associate. Commissioners of community redevelopment agencies under Sec. 163.356 or 163.357, F.S., and officers of independent special tax districts elected on a one-acre, one-vote basis are not prohibited from voting in that capacity.

For purposes of this law, a "relative" includes only the officer's father, mother, son, daughter, husband, wife, brother, sister, father-in-law, mother-in-law, son-in-law, and daughter-in-law. A "business associate" means any person or entity engaged in or carrying on a business enterprise with the officer as a partner, joint venturer, coowner of property, or corporate shareholder (where the shares of the corporation are not listed on any national or regional stock exchange).

ELECTED OFFICERS:

+

In addition to abstaining from voting in the situations described above, you must disclose the conflict:

PRIOR TO THE VOTE BEING TAKEN by publicly stating to the assembly the nature of your interest in the measure on which you are abstaining from voting; and

WITHIN 15 DAYS AFTER THE VOTE OCCURS by completing and filing this form with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who should incorporate the form in the minutes.

APPOINTED OFFICERS:

Although you must abstain from voting in the situations described above, you otherwise may participate in these matters. However, you must disclose the nature of the conflict before making any attempt to influence the decision, whether orally or in writing and whether made by you or at your direction.

IF YOU INTEND TO MAKE ANY ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DECISION PRIOR TO THE MEETING AT WHICH THE VOTE WILL BE TAKEN:

• You must complete and file this form (before making any attempt to influence the decision) with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who will incorporate the form in the minutes. (Continued on other side)

APPOINTED OFFICERS (continued)

- A copy of the form must be provided immediately to the other members of the agency.
- · The form must be read publicly at the next meeting after the form is filed.
- IF YOU MAKE NO ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DECISION EXCEPT BY DISCUSSION AT THE MEETING:
- You must disclose orally the nature of your conflict in the measure before participating.
- You must complete the form and file it within 15 days after the vote occurs with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who must incorporate the form in the minutes. A copy of the form must be provided immediately to the other members of the agency, and the form must be read publicly at the next meeting after the form is filed.

DISCLOSURE OF LOCAL OFFICER'S INTEREST Blackinghereby disclose that on September 12, 2012 (a) A measure came or will come before my agency which (check one) inured to my special private gain or loss; inured to the special gain or loss of my business associate, inured to the special gain or loss of my relative, _ X inured to the special gain or loss of Tim Hule H by whom I am retained; or inured to the special gain or loss of ____ which is the parent organization or subsidiary of a principal which has retained me. (b) The measure before my agency and the nature of my conflicting interest in the measure is as follows: Certificate of Appropriatements Application for new construction at 302 N. Inheside Prive - I assisted in preparetion of The application Date Filed Signature

NOTICE: UNDER PROVISIONS OF FLORIDA STATUTES §112.317, A FAILURE TO MAKE ANY REQUIRED DISCLOSURE CONSTITUTES GROUNDS FOR AND MAY BE PUNISHED BY ONE OR MORE OF THE FOLLOWING: IMPEACHMENT, REMOVAL OR SUSPENSION FROM OFFICE OR EMPLOYMENT, DEMOTION, REDUCTION IN SALARY, REPRIMAND, OR A CIVIL PENALTY NOT TO EXCEED \$10,000.

CE FORM 8B - EFF. 1/2000

FORM 8B MEMORANDUM COUNTY, MUNICIPAL, AND OTH	OF VOTING CONFLICT FOR HER LOCAL PUBLIC OFFICERS
LASTRAME-FIRST NAME-MIDDLE NAME IStockman Chambes Western	NAME OF BOARD, COUNCIL, COMMISSION, AUTHORITY, OR COMMITTEE H.3. TO-ic Kegovvers Veserver-""
Z41 Columbic Drive	THE BOARD, COUNCIL, COMMISSION, AUTHORITY OR COMMITTEE ON WHICH I SERVE IS A UNIT OF: CITY COUNTY CONTRACTOR OTHER LOCAL AGENCY
DATE ON WHICH VOTE OCCURRED	NAME OF POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONA La 20 WOV-H
	MY POSITION IS:

WHO MUST FILE FORM 8B

This form is for use by any person serving at the county, city, or other local level of government on an appointed or elected board, council, commission, authority, or committee. It applies equally to members of advisory and non-advisory bodies who are presented with a voting conflict of interest under Section 112.3143, Florida Statutes.

Your responsibilities under the law when faced with voting on a measure in which you have a conflict of interest will vary greatly depending on whether you hold an elective or appointive position. For this reason, please pay close attention to the instructions on this form before completing the reverse side and filing the form.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 112.3143, FLORIDA STATUTES

A person holding elective or appointive county, municipal, or other local public office MUST ABSTAIN from voting on a measure which inures to his or her special private gain or loss. Each elected or appointed local officer also is prohibited from knowingly voting on a measure which inures to the special gain or loss of a principal (other than a government agency) by whom he or she is retained (including the parent organization or subsidiary of a corporate principal by which he or she is retained); to the special private gain or loss of a relative; or to the special private gain or loss of a business associate. Commissioners of community redevelopment agencies under Sec. 163.356 or 163.357, F.S., and officers of independent special tax districts elected on a one-acre, one-vote basis are not prohibited from voting in that capacity.

For purposes of this law, a "relative" includes only the officer's father, mother, son, daughter, husband, wife, brother, sister, father-in-law, mother-in-law, son-in-law, and daughter-in-law. A "business associate" means any person or entity engaged in or carrying on a business enterprise with the officer as a partner, joint venturer, coowner of property, or corporate shareholder (where the shares of the corporation are not listed on any national or regional stock exchange).

ELECTED OFFICERS:

In addition to abstaining from voting in the situations described above, you must disclose the conflict:

PRIOR TO THE VOTE BEING TAKEN by publicly stating to the assembly the nature of your interest in the measure on which you are abstaining from voting; and

WITHIN 15 DAYS AFTER THE VOTE OCCURS by completing and filing this form with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who should incorporate the form in the minutes.

APPOINTED OFFICERS:

Although you must abstain from voting in the situations described above, you otherwise may participate in these matters. However, you must disclose the nature of the conflict before making any attempt to influence the decision, whether orally or in writing and whether made by you or at your direction.

IF YOU INTEND TO MAKE ANY ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DECISION PRIOR TO THE MEETING AT WHICH THE VOTE WILL BE TAKEN:

• You must complete and file this form (before making any attempt to influence the decision) with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who will incorporate the form in the minutes. (Continued on other side)

APPOINTED OFFICERS (continued)

- · A copy of the form must be provided immediately to the other members of the agency.
- · The form must be read publicly at the next meeting after the form is filed.
- IF YOU MAKE NO ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DECISION EXCEPT BY DISCUSSION AT THE MEETING:
- · You must disclose orally the nature of your conflict in the measure before participating.
- You must complete the form and file it within 15 days after the vote occurs with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the
 meeting, who must incorporate the form in the minutes. A copy of the form must be provided immediately to the other members of the
 agency, and the form must be read publicly at the next meeting after the form is filed.

DISCLOSURE OF LOCAL OFFICER'S INTEREST
1. Charles Wesky Black whereby disclose that on May 9 20 12:
(a) A measure came or will come before my agency which (check one)
inured to my special private gain or loss;
inured to the special gain or loss of my business associate,;
inured to the special gain or loss of my relative,;
A inured to the special gain or loss of Time flulett, by
whom I am retained; or
inured to the special gain or loss of, which
is the parent organization or subsidiary of a principal which has retained me.
(b) The measure before my agency and the nature of my conflicting interest in the measure is as follows:
6126/17 CturkarR
Date Filed Signature

NOTICE: UNDER PROVISIONS OF FLORIDA STATUTES §112.317, A FAILURE TO MAKE ANY REQUIRED DISCLOSURE CONSTITUTES GROUNDS FOR AND MAY BE PUNISHED BY ONE OR MORE OF THE FOLLOWING: IMPEACHMENT, REMOVAL OR SUSPENSION FROM OFFICE OR EMPLOYMENT, DEMOTION, REDUCTION IN SALARY, REPRIMAND, OR A CIVIL PENALTY NOT TO EXCEED \$10,000.

CE FORM 8B - EFF. 1/2000

	OF VOTING CONFLICT FOR
COUNTY, MUNICIPAL, AND OTH LAST NAME-FIRST NAME-MIDDLE NAME Bland Grand Charles INteg here	NAME OF BOARD, COUNCIL, COMMISSION, AUTHORITY, OR COMMITTEE
MAILING ADDRESS Colombile Prive	THE BOARD, COUNCIL, COMMISSION, AUTHORITY OR COMMITTEE ON WHICH I SERVE IS A UNIT OF:
CITY Lake Worth Portin Beach	NAME OF POLITICAL SUBDIVISION:
DATE ON WHICH VOTE OCCURRED	MY POSITION IS:

WHO MUST FILE FORM 8B

This form is for use by any person serving at the county, city, or other local level of government on an appointed or elected board, council, commission, authority, or committee. It applies equally to members of advisory and non-advisory bodies who are presented with a voting conflict of interest under Section 112.3143, Florida Statutes.

Your responsibilities under the law when faced with voting on a measure in which you have a conflict of interest will vary greatly depending on whether you hold an elective or appointive position. For this reason, please pay close attention to the instructions on this form before completing the reverse side and filing the form.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 112.3143, FLORIDA STATUTES

A person holding elective or appointive county, municipal, or other local public office MUST ABSTAIN from voting on a measure which inures to his or her special private gain or loss. Each elected or appointed local officer also is prohibited from knowingly voting on a measure which inures to the special gain or loss of a principal (other than a government agency) by whom he or she is retained (including the parent organization or subsidiary of a corporate principal by which he or she is retained); to the special private gain or loss of a business associate. Commissioners of community redevelopment agencies under Sec. 163.356 or 163.357, F.S., and officers of independent special tax districts elected on a one-acre, one-vote basis are not prohibited from voting in that capacity.

For purposes of this law, a "relative" includes only the officer's father, mother, son, daughter, husband, wife, brother, sister, father-in-law, mother-in-law, son-in-law, and daughter-in-law. A "business associate" means any person or entity engaged in or carrying on a business enterprise with the officer as a partner, joint venturer, coowner of property, or corporate shareholder (where the shares of the corporation are not listed on any national or regional stock exchange).

ELECTED OFFICERS:

In addition to abstaining from voting in the situations described above, you must disclose the conflict:

PRIOR TO THE VOTE BEING TAKEN by publicly stating to the assembly the nature of your interest in the measure on which you are abstalning from voting; and

WITHIN 15 DAYS AFTER THE VOTE OCCURS by completing and filing this form with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who should incorporate the form in the minutes.

APPOINTED OFFICERS:

Although you must abstain from voting in the situations described above, you otherwise may participate in these matters. However, you must disclose the nature of the conflict before making any attempt to influence the decision, whether orally or in writing and whether made by you or at your direction.

IF YOU INTEND TO MAKE ANY ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DECISION PRIOR TO THE MEETING AT WHICH THE VOTE WILL BE TAKEN:

• You must complete and file this form (before making any attempt to influence the decision) with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who will incorporate the form in the minutes. (Continued on other side)

CE FORM 8B - EFF. 1/2000

APPOINTED OFFICERS (continued)

- · A copy of the form must be provided immediately to the other members of the agency.
- The form must be read publicly at the next meeting after the form is filed.
- IF YOU MAKE NO ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DECISION EXCEPT BY DISCUSSION AT THE MEETING:
- · You must disclose orally the nature of your conflict in the measure before participating.
- You must complete the form and file it within 15 days after the vote occurs with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who must incorporate the form in the minutes. A copy of the form must be provided immediately to the other members of the agency, and the form must be read publicly at the next meeting after the form is filed.

DISCLOSURE OF LOCAL OFFICER'S INTEREST tes Megley Blackmareby disclose that on Ulme 13 ,20 12: (a) A measure came or will come before my agency which (check one) inured to my special private gain or loss; inured to the special gain or loss of my business associate, _____ inured to the special gain or loss of my relative, ____ A inured to the special gain or loss of Tim the pt by whom I am retained; or inured to the special gain or loss of ____ , which is the parent organization or subsidiary of a principal which has retained me. (b) The measure before my agency and the nature of my conflicting interest in the measure is as follows: Retained for techniral assistance velated to item for a centiticate of Appropriateness for New construction 6/26/12 **Date Filed** Signature

NOTICE: UNDER PROVISIONS OF FLORIDA STATUTES §112.317, A FAILURE TO MAKE ANY REQUIRED DISCLOSURE CONSTITUTES GROUNDS FOR AND MAY BE PUNISHED BY ONE OR MORE OF THE FOLLOWING: IMPEACHMENT, REMOVAL OR SUSPENSION FROM OFFICE OR EMPLOYMENT, DEMOTION, REDUCTION IN SALARY, REPRIMAND, OR A CIVIL PENALTY NOT TO EXCEED \$10,000.

CE FORM 88 - EFF. 1/2000

PALM BEACH COUNTY COMMISSION ON ETHICS MEMORANDUM OF NO PROBABLE CAUSE

To: Commission on Ethics

From: Megan C. Rogers, Staff Counsel/Advocate

Re: C12-011 – Wes Blackman, Chairman, Lake Worth Historical Resources Preservation Board

<u>Recommendation</u>

A finding of NO PROBABLE CAUSE and DISMISSAL should be entered in the above captioned matter as to the allegations made in the Complaint.

Probable Cause exists where there are reasonably trustworthy facts and circumstances for the Commission on Ethics (COE) to believe that the Respondent, Wes Blackman, violated the Palm Beach County Code of Ethics.

• Jurisdiction

The COE has jurisdiction pursuant to Chapter 2, Article V, Division 8, §2-258(a) of the Palm Beach County Commission on Ethics Ordinance which states in pertinent part:

Article V, Division 8, Section 2-258. Powers and duties.

- (a) The commission on ethics shall be authorized to exercise such powers and shall be required to perform such duties as are hereinafter provided. The commission on ethics shall be empowered to review, interpret, render advisory opinions and enforce the;
 - (1) County Code of Ethics;
 - (2) County Post-Employment Ordinance, and
 - (3) County Lobbyist Registration Ordinance.

Palm Beach County Code of Ethics:

Art. XIII, §2-443(a), *Misuse of public office or employment*, states in relevant portion:

An *official* or employee shall not use his or her official position or office, or take or fail to take any action, or influence others to take or fail to take any action, in a manner which he or she knows or should know with the exercise of reasonable care will result in a *special financial benefit, not shared with similarly situated members* of the general public, for any of the following persons or entities: (Emphasis added)

- (1) Himself or herself;
- (4) An outside employer or business of his or hers, or of his or her spouse or domestic partner, or someone who is known to such official or employee to work for such outside employer or business;
- (5) A customer or client of the official or employee's outside employer or business;

Art. XIII, §2-443(c) *Disclosure of voting conflicts*, states in relevant portion:

County and municipal officials as applicable *shall abstain from voting and not participate in any matter that will result in a special financial benefit as set forth in subsections (a)(1) through (7) above* (Emphasis added) In addition, the official must publicly disclose the conflict and complete and file a State of Florida Commission on

Ethics Conflict Form 8B. Simultaneously with filing the 8B Form, the official must submit a copy to the county commission on ethics.

Palm Beach County Lobbyist Registration Ordinance:

Art. VIII. §2-352. Definitions, states as follows:

Advisory board shall mean any advisory or quasi-judicial board created by the board of county commissioners, by the local municipal governing bodies, or by the mayors who serve as chief executive officers or by mayors who are not members of local municipal governing bodies.

Central Lobbyist Registration Site will mean the official location for countywide lobbyist registration.

Lobbying shall mean seeking to influence a decision through oral or written communication or an attempt to obtain the goodwill of any county commissioner, any member of a local municipal governing body, any mayor or chief executive officer that is not a member of a local municipal governing body, any advisory board member, or any employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may foreseeably be presented for consideration to the advisory board, the board of county commissioners, or the local municipal governing body lobbied as applicable.

Lobbyist shall mean any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal, and shall include an employee whose principal responsibility to the employer is overseeing the employer's various relationships with government or representing the employer in its contacts with government.

Principal shall mean the person or entity a lobbyist represents, including a lobbyist's employer or client, for the purpose of lobbying.

Art. VIII, §2-353. Registration and expenditures.

(a) Registration required. Prior to lobbying, all lobbyists shall submit an original, fully executed registration form to county administration, which shall serve as the official location for countywide lobbyist registration and which shall be known as the "Central Lobbyist Registration Site." The registration may be submitted in paper or electronic form pursuant to countywide policies and procedures. Each lobbyist is required to submit a separate registration for each principal represented. A registration fee of twenty-five dollars (\$25) must be included with each registration form submitted. A registrant shall promptly send a written statement to county administration canceling the registration for a principal upon termination of the lobbyist's representation of that principal. This statement shall be signed by the lobbyist. Lobbying prior to registration is prohibited. It is the responsibility of the lobbyist to keep all information contained in the registration form current and up to date.

This Memorandum adopts by reference the Memoranda of Inquiry and Investigation prepared by COE investigative staff. In addition, staff adopts by reference the advisory opinion requested by Complainant in RQO 12-059.

Background

This Inquiry is based on a sworn Complaint against Wes Blackman, Chairman, Lake Worth Historical Resources Preservation Board (HRPB), filed by Judith Just, a member of the HRPB, an advisory board of the City of Lake Worth with quasi judicial powers. The HRPB has the sole power to issue a "Certificate of Appropriateness" required for any new construction located within any of the six (6) areas of the City that are identified as "historic preservation" districts. These historic preservation districts include: College Park; Northeast Lucerne; Old Lucerne; Old Town; Southeast Lucerne; and South Palm Park. This Complaint involves the Old Lucerne Historic Preservation District. Construction in these districts cannot commence without a Certificate of Appropriateness.

The Complainant's specific allegations involve the proposed construction of a new home on a vacant lot located adjacent to the Complainant's home. Resondent was hired by the Applicant seeking the Certificate of Appropriateness for this construction. Between April and August, 2012, Respondent attended several meetings with City staff and residents within the affected District. Respondent has not registered as a lobbyist under the central lobbyist registration site maintained by Palm Beach County. The Certificate of Appropriateness was granted by the HRPB on September 12, 2012. Respondent and Complainant abstained and did not participate in the matter before the HRPB and both filed a State of Florida Conflict of Interest Form 8B as required.

Legal sufficiency was found to exist as to the allegations of a violation of the Lobbyist Registration ordinance §2-353(a) and a memorandum of legal sufficiency was filed on November 1, 2012.

Inquiry and Investigation

Inquiry and Investigation into this matter indicated that Respondent was retained by a Representative of the Applicant before the HRPB based upon Respondent's knowledge and experience as a land development planner. According to the sworn testimony of the Applicant's Representative, the Applicant was not solicited by Respondent. The Representative recommended the Respondent to the Applicant who in-turn hired the Respondent to assist the Applicant through the application process, complete application documents and ensure deadlines were met.

According to the Complainant, Respondent met with Complainant and other neighbors in the area of the proposed project along with the Project Architect on April 27, 2012. At that meeting, Respondent stated that although he was a member of the HRPB, he would not be participating or voting on the issue when it came before the Board. The Complainant applied for and became a member of the HRPB on July 23, 2012.

COE Investigative staff conducted interviews with City staff, including; Karen Vaughn, Planning and Preservation Manager, William Waters, Director for Community Sustainability, Monica Morandi, City Utility Engineer, Melissa Anderson, former City Attorney and Christi Goddeau, current City Attorney. While several meetings with staff took place, attended by Respondent, involving easement issues and the issue of a Certificate of Appropriateness, the testimony was consistent that Respondent did not actively participate or attempt to influence any staff decision. Questions asked at these meetings were informational. The purpose of the meetings was to obtain the necessary information from staff to comply with the requirements of the relevant City codes and ordinances. COE Investigative staff additionally conducted interviews with Greg Rice, Marketing Director of Hulett Environmental Services and a representative of the Applicant as well as the Respondent, Wes Blackman. Both Respondent and Mr. Rice confirmed that Respondent did not solicit the Applicant or otherwise use his position as Chairman of the HRPB to obtain this employment. Attempts to interview the Applicant were unsuccessful.

The Complaint alleges that the Respondent failed to register as a lobbyist pursuant to Sec. 2-353. *Registration and expenditures,* of the Palm Beach County Lobbyist Registration Ordinance. A lobbyist is defined as any person who is employed and receives payment, or who contracts for economic consideration, for the purpose of lobbying on behalf of a principal. Lobbying is defined as seeking to influence a decision through oral or written communication, or attempt to obtain the goodwill, of any public official or employee with respect to the passage, defeat or modification of any item which may forseeably be presented for consideration to an advisory board or governing body.

Whether or not a particular individual is captured within these definitions is determined by the specific facts and circumstances of the contact between that individual and public employees and officials. In this particular case, there is no witness testimony or other evidence indicating that the Respondent engaged in lobbying activity as defined by the Palm Beach County Lobbyist Registration Ordinance. Interviews with City staff revealed that the Respondent's contact with staff was informational and that he was acting as a facilitator for the applicant, not as an advocate attempting to influence a staff decision. According to the uncontroverted testimony, the informational exchange during these meetings was one-sided with information flowing from staff to the Respondent and the Respondent simply asking questions and taking notes.

In RQO 12-025, the COE determined that a person who contracts with a principal for economic consideration and who meets with county or municipal staff for the sole purpose of gathering information for a project, asking technical questions geared toward compliance, and not providing information to county or municipal staff other than what is needed to meet technical requirements for required approvals, is not engaged in lobbying and is not required to register as a lobbyist.

In addition, documentary evidence and testimony of witnesses indicate that Respondent did not use his official position as Chairman of the HRPB to obtain a special financial benefit for himself or his customer or client. While both staff and the applicant were aware of Respondent's position on the HRPB, there is no indication that he used his position to either obtain employment or obtain a favorable result from City staff. Most notably, Respondent did not solicit the Applicant. Complainant acknowledges that at a neighborhood meeting Respondent indicated to surrounding neighbors that while he was on the HRPB, he would not vote or participate in the matter. Merely stating his position for transparency purposes does not, under these circumstances, constitute a misuse of his position for financial gain. Both he and Complainant announced their conflict at the HRPB meeting on September 12, 2012, did not vote or participate, and submitted a copy of the 8B State of Florida Conflict of Interest Form to the COE as required.

The Complainant also alleged that the Respondent failed to disclose a conflict of interest at the time of his reappointment to the HRPB on July 24, 2012. Even if this allegation is true, it does not constitute a violation of the Palm Beach County Code of Ethics. Recurring conflicts of interest are addressed under the State of Florida Ethics Code. It should be noted that Respondent has filed four (4) 8B Conflict of Interest Forms since January, 2012.

Conclusion .

Based on the facts and circumstances, there is NO PROBABLE CAUSE to believe that Respondent, Wes Blackman, has violated §2-443(a) or (c) or §2-353(a) of the Palm Beach County Code of Ethics. Therefore, staff recommends that this matter be **DISMISSED**

By:

Megan C. Rogers, Staff Counsel/Advocate Palm Beach County Commission on Ethics

Johenber 4 DATE